Explaining the willingness of clinicians to work with patients with antisocial personality disorder using the theory of planned behaviour and emotional reactions
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Abstract

Many clinicians seem to experience negative emotions towards patients with antisocial personality disorder (ASPD), resulting in the exclusion of patients in many treatment programmes. The behaviour of individuals with ASPD has a significant impact on society, which affects ASPD patients and their environment, and therefore, the exclusion from programmes is a serious concern. Relatively, little is known about why some clinicians are willing to work with ASPD patients and others are not and what factors contribute to an increase in the motivation to do so. In this study, clinicians (n = 130) working in a regular and forensic mental health service in the Netherlands completed a questionnaire based on the theory of planned behaviour (TPB) and the Feeling Word Checklist and questions about the relevant experience gained and education received. The current study confirms the limited willingness to work with ASPD patients, especially in regular mental health care. Experience working with ASPD patients, education on cluster B personality disorders and having experienced verbal and/or physical violence in clinical practice did not fully explain whether or not clinicians were motivated to provide treatment to ASPD patients. TPB appeared to predict the intention to provide psychological therapy to ASPD patients adequately. The impact of positive emotions towards ASPD patients on providing treatment appeared to be stronger than negative emotions. This study provides more insight into why so few clinicians are willing to work with ASPD patients and what may increase motivation to include this group in treatment programmes.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Antisocial personality disorder (ASPD) is characterized by a pervasive pattern of disregard for the feelings of others, often accompanied by violation of the rights of others through negligence or overt action. People with ASPD have great difficulty conforming to social norms and rules, making it very difficult for them to maintain employment and function within a family (APA, 2013; L.M.C. Van den Bosch...
et al., 2018). For an individual to meet the criteria for the DSM-V Antisocial Personality Disorder, they must experience the following: (A) The pattern of disregarding others’ rights is present since age 15 by fulfilling at least three of the following seven behaviours: (1) failure to conform to social norms concerning lawful behaviours, as indicated by repeatedly performing acts that are grounds for arrest; (2) deceitfulness, as indicated by repeatedly lying, use of aliases or conniving others for personal profit or pleasure; (3) impulsivity or failure to plan ahead; (4) irritability and aggressiveness, as indicated by repeated physical fights or assaults; (5) reckless disregard for the safety of self or others; (6) consistent irresponsibility, as indicated by repeated failure to sustain consistent work behaviour or fulfill financial obligations, and (7) lack of remorse, as indicated by being indifferent or rationalizing. In addition, (B) the individual is 18 years or older. (C) shows evidence of conduct disorder before age 15 and (D) antisocial behaviour that is not directly related to schizophrenia or bipolar disorder (APA, 2013).

Because of these maladaptive behaviours, individuals with ASPD evoke strong aversive emotions in others. They are often expelled by their social environment, like family, friends and work, resulting in high rates of unemployment and divorce rates (Bahlmann et al., 2002; Black & Larson, 1999; Holzer & Vaughn, 2017; Raine, 2013). Also, in mental health care, these patients evoke strong emotions that often lead to exclusion from treatment programmes (R.C. Schwartz et al., 2007; Sheehan et al., 2016; L.M.C. Van den Bosch et al., 2018). Being diagnosed with ASPD often is an exclusion criterion for admission to mental healthcare. Even if patients with ASPD seek help for comorbid disorders, like post-traumatic stress disorder, major depression, anxiety disorders or primary for ASPD, they do not get access to treatment (Djadonath & Decoene, 2015; L.M.C. Van den Bosch et al., 2018). The exclusion of ASPD patients in many treatment programmes is also a serious problem. ASPD significantly impacts society and affects patients and their environment regarding interpersonal, financial and emotional consequences (Goldstein et al., 2012; Quinsey et al., 1998; Rijckmans et al., 2020).

Countertransference describes how various feelings are elicited in clinicians, which is a common phenomenon when working with individuals with personality disorders and can be of diagnostic and therapeutic value (Betan et al., 2005). However, countertransference is problematic when it leads to stigma and refraining patients from care (Chartonas et al., 2017).

Concerning ASPD patients, clinicians report feelings of being rejected, on guard, bored, overwhelmed (B. Thylstrup & Hesse, 2008), dominated (i.e., exploited, manipulated and talked down to; Colli et al., 2014; Meloy & Yakeley, 2014; R.C. Schwartz et al., 2007) but also feelings of shock, hostility, moralistic outrage and hatred (Meloy & Yakeley, 2014; R.C. Schwartz et al., 2007). At times, professionals may also experience fear and dread due to feeling threatened and helpless (Colli et al., 2014; Meloy & Yakeley, 2014). Lion (1978) was the first one who described that these reactions might eventually result in therapeutic nihilism, which is the clinician’s rejection of all patients with an antisocial history as being completely untreatable (Meloy & Yakeley, 2014).

Key Practitioner Message

- Providing treatment to ASPD patients is essential because ASPD significantly impacts society and affects patients with ASPD and their environment regarding interpersonal, financial and emotional consequences.
- Experience working with ASPD patients and education on cluster B personality disorders do not automatically lead to enhanced motivation to provide treatment to ASPD patients.
- The most critical factor in our study contributing to providing psychological treatment to ASPD patients is perceived behavioural control. Therefore, training and supervision aimed at feelings of control and competence of the clinician could enhance perceived behavioural control.
- Having experienced verbal and/or physical violence in clinical practice does not automatically lead to reduced motivation to provide treatment to ASPD patients.

An international Delphi study (L.M.C. Van den Bosch et al., 2018) involving 61 experts on ASPD revealed that clinicians working with ASPD patients might also experience professional, positive feelings towards them. These feelings usually stem from a thorough knowledge of theories that explain ASPD and the therapies based upon it. A non-judgmental attitude towards the patient’s behaviour is regarded as necessary by these experts on ASPD because the behaviour is part of the disorder and highlights important treatment targets (e.g., therapy interfering behaviour; L.M.C. Van den Bosch et al., 2018).

Although there are clinicians that have a positive attitude towards ASPD patients, the majority of clinicians report negative emotions towards them, and this results in the exclusion of ASPD patients from many treatment programmes (A. Bateman et al., 2013; L.M.C. Van den Bosch et al., 2018; Crawford et al., 2009; Reid & Gaccone, 2000; van Dan & Rijckmans, 2020). Exclusion from treatment is a serious problem because ASPD patients suffer from psychiatric disorders like post-traumatic stress disorder, major depression, anxiety disorders and addiction, and the number of suicides and suicide attempts is relatively high (Blackburn et al., 2003; Frances et al., 1986; Galbraith et al., 2014; Goodwin & Hamilton, 2003; Lenzenweger et al., 2007; Sareen et al., 2004).

A recent Cochrane systematic review showed that research on treatment programmes targeting antisocial behaviour is scarce and that there is not yet an evidence-based treatment for ASPD available (Gibbon et al., 2020). Although there is no treatment available with substantial empirical evidence for effectiveness, some studies reveal promising results and deserve to be further developed and researched in clinical practice (L.M.C. Van den Bosch et al., 2018). The findings
contradict pessimistic views on the treatability of violent offenders with PD's and support the effectiveness of long-term psychotherapy on rehabilitation and PD symptoms. Furthermore, some evidence-based treatment protocols for borderline personality disorder (BPD) have been adapted for ASPD or the combination of ASPD and BPD and show favourable outcomes, for example, Dialectical Behavioural Therapy (DBT; L.M.C. Van den Bosch et al., 2012; Wetterborg et al., 2020), Mentalisation Based Treatment (MBT; A. Bateman et al., 2016; McGauley et al., 2011) and Schema Focused Treatment (SFT; D.P. Bernstein et al., 2021; D.P. Bernstein et al., 2012; Doyle et al., 2016). Furthermore, ASPD patients who receive cognitive behavioural therapy aimed at ASPD appear to benefit from it, resulting in reduced levels of substance abuse and psychiatric complaints (Crawford et al., 2009; B. Thylstrup et al., 2017; B. Thylstrup & Hesse, 2016).

Little is known about why some clinicians are willing to work with ASPD patients and others are not. Insight into the factors that contribute to the motivation of psychotherapists to treat patients with ASPD could provide insight into what measures increase that motivation. The theory of planned behaviour (TPB) is a motivation theory that has proven to be effective in predicting behaviour in a wide variety of domains like health behaviour, education, ecological behaviour and psychotherapy and therapist behaviour (I. Ajzen, 2011; Clough et al., 2017; Cooke et al., 2016; Rebergen et al., 2006; van Dijk et al., 2012). The TPB states (A) that if people's attitude towards the suggested behaviour is more positive, (B) they think that significant others want them to adopt the behaviour and (C) they believe they can adopt the behaviour, this results in a stronger intention to adopt that behaviour, which makes it more likely that they will behave in that way. I. Ajzen (1991) defines intention as a person's motivation, willingness to exert effort and willingness to try hard to enact the behaviour.

We also measured the nature and intensity of emotions experienced by clinicians and determined whether they influenced the willingness to provide psychological treatment. The Feeling Word Checklist (FWC-30; Whyte et al., 1982) was developed as a more general list to measure the feelings of mental health professionals towards their patients. In addition, the FWC measured the feelings of clinicians towards forensic patients and found a significant relationship to their assessed risk as measured by the Historical Clinical Risk management-20 (HCR-20; Webster et al., 1997), a widely used tool for violence risk assessment (V. de Vogel & de Ruiter, 2004; Dernevik et al., 2001). Therefore, it is plausible that these feelings are also related to the willingness to provide treatment for ASPD patients.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Participants

Participants in this study were 130 clinicians (psychiatrists [10], psychologists [74], social workers [14] and psychiatric nurses [32]), working in regular (66) and forensic mental health services (64) in the mental health institutions GGZ WNB (Mental health institute Western North Brabant [forensic and regular outpatient and inpatient mental health care]), GGZ Breburg (Mental health institute Breburg [forensic and regular outpatient mental healthcare]), Scelta (standard outpatient mental healthcare) and forensic mental health institution Fivoor (four outpatient departments and inpatient forensic psychiatric clinic De Kijvelanden), all in the Netherlands. Details of the participants are provided in Table 1.

2.2 | Measures

Theory of planned behaviour questionnaire for ASPD treatment (TPBaspdT): According to the manual for constructing a theory of planned behaviour questionnaire (I. Ajzen, 2013; Fishbein & Ajzen, 2010), we created a questionnaire to measure the intention to provide psychological treatment to ASPD patients, attitude towards providing psychological treatment to ASPD patients, the perceived social norm to do so and the belief of being capable in providing the treatment. After selection of items that contributed to acceptable internal reliability of a subscale, we constructed a questionnaire with three items for attitude (A), perceived social norm (PSN) and perceived behavioural control (PBC), and two items for intentions (I) (see Appendix A). The items were scored on a 7-point Likert scale. We applied principal component analysis (PCA), using varimax rotation forcing three factors, to check whether the items in our questionnaire adequately represented the factors derived from theory. This analysis was applied to the scores of nine items, which according to TPB theory, predict intention to provide psychological treatment to ASPD patients. The Kaiser-Mayer-Okin (KMO) measure showed good sampling adequacy (KMO = .78 exceeded the recommended value of .6, which is considered good). The three-factor solution explained a total of 77.7% of the variance. Factor 1 (internal consistency reliability is high, Cronbach's alpha is .88) contributing 47.7% to the explained variance, Factor 2 (internal consistency reliability is high, Cronbach's alpha is .84) contributing 20.8% to the explained variance, and 9.1% was explained by Factor 3 (internal consistency reliability is high, Cronbach's alpha is .78). The items loading on the factors revealed that Factor 1 comprised the three PSN items, Factor 2 the three PBC items and Factor 3 the three A items. Reliability of I was also high; Cronbach's alpha is .86.

The Feeling Word Checklist (FWC-30; Whyte et al., 1982) is a short self-report questionnaire containing 30 emotions. Overall, the psychometric properties of the FWC have been found to be acceptable for the different versions of 12, 24, 30 and 58 items (Breivik et al., 2020; Dahl et al., 2012; Lindqvist et al., 2017; Ulberg et al., 2013). The factor structure appeared to be different for the different versions, however. Therefore, we performed a factor analysis on the original 30-item version used in this study, as this version was already available in Dutch (V. de Vogel & Louppen, 2016). Table 2 shows 30 items that relate to feelings. Staff member rates each item on a four-point scale according to the extent to which the staff member experiences each

Participants in this study were 130 clinicians (psychiatrists [10], psychologists [74], social workers [14] and psychiatric nurses [32]),
specific feeling towards the patient (0 = not at all, 1 = little, 2 = much, 3 = very much). The scores of the 30 feelings on the FWC-30 were subjected to a principal component analysis (PCA) using varimax rotation. The Kaiser-Mayer-Oklin (KMO) measure showed good sampling adequacy (KMO > .8 exceeded the recommended value of .6, which is considered good). PCA revealed eight factors with eigenvalues exceeding 1. Inspection of the scree plot revealed a break after the second factor. Therefore, we applied PCA forcing two factors. Six items contributed less than .5 to a factor and were consequently excluded from further analyses. The two-factor solution explained a total of 43.2% of the variance, with Factor 1 (internal consistency reliability is high; Cronbach’s alpha is .84) contributing 26.6% to the explained variance, and 16.6% by Factor 2 (internal consistency reliability is high; Cronbach’s alpha is .86). Inspection of the items loading on the two factors revealed that Factor 1 comprised negative feelings (disappointed, angry, frustrated, sad, anxious, confused, threatened, manipulated, tired, suspicious, overwhelmed, bored, embarrassed and inadequate) and that Factor 2 comprised positive feelings (enthusiastic, helpful, happy, interested, sympathetic, affectionate, receptive, relaxed, objective and strong).

To measure whether clinicians had experience working with ASPD patients, we asked them whether or not they had working experience with ASPD patients (0 = no; 1 = yes).

To gain a deeper understanding of the impact of having experienced verbal or physical aggression on the intention to treat ASPD patients, the respondents answered the following question ‘Have you ever been a victim of aggression in the workplace?’ (0 = no, 1 = yes, victim of verbal aggression; 2 = yes, victim of physical aggression; 3 = yes, victim of verbal and physical aggression).

2.3 | Procedures

To gain insight into clinicians’ motivations for working with ASPD patients, we asked mental health care professionals to complete a TPB-based questionnaire to examine predictors of willingness to treat patients with ASPD. In addition, because patients with ASPD may evoke strong emotions, we also measured the nature and intensity of emotions experienced by clinicians with The Feeling Word Checklist.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Psychiatrist (n = 10)</th>
<th>Psychologist (n = 74)</th>
<th>Social worker (n = 14)</th>
<th>Psychiatric nurse (n = 32)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age in years (SD) range</td>
<td>42.0 (9.6) 28–58</td>
<td>37.4 (11.1) 23–64</td>
<td>51.1 (7.8) 39–64</td>
<td>40.8 (13.5) 19–62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (M/F)</td>
<td>6/4 (60/40)%</td>
<td>18/56 (24/76)%</td>
<td>5/9 (36/64)%</td>
<td>13/19 (41/59)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of experience (SD)</td>
<td>12.7 (8.8)</td>
<td>11.9 (9.7)</td>
<td>19.0 (11.5)</td>
<td>17.7 (12.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work setting (regular/forensic)</td>
<td>6/4 (60/40)%</td>
<td>38/36 (51/49)%</td>
<td>5/9 (36/64)%</td>
<td>15/17 (47/53)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular inpatient</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1 (.01%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>2 (.06%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular outpatient</td>
<td>6 (60%)</td>
<td>37 (50%)</td>
<td>5 (36%)</td>
<td>15 (47%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forensic inpatient</td>
<td>3 (30%)</td>
<td>16 (22%)</td>
<td>4 (29%)</td>
<td>12 (38%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forensic outpatient</td>
<td>1 (10%)</td>
<td>20 (27%)</td>
<td>5 (36%)</td>
<td>3 (9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience with ASPD patients (yes/no)</td>
<td>8/1 (89/11)%</td>
<td>49/18 (73/27%)</td>
<td>12/1 (92/8%)</td>
<td>23/8 (74/26%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Regular mental health service (n = 66)</th>
<th>Forensic mental health service (n = 64)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Psychiatrist (n = 6)</td>
<td>Psychologist (n = 38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social worker (n = 5)</td>
<td>Psychiatric nurse (n = 15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Psychiatrist (n = 4)</td>
<td>Psychologist (n = 36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social worker (n = 9)</td>
<td>Psychiatric nurse (n = 17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude (A)</td>
<td>4.8 (1.9)</td>
<td>5.3 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived social norm (PSN)</td>
<td>3.7 (1.7)</td>
<td>3.5 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived behavioural control (PBC)</td>
<td>3.4 (1.9)</td>
<td>3.1 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intention (I)</td>
<td>3.0 (2.2)</td>
<td>2.7 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(FWC-30)</td>
<td>.7 (.4)</td>
<td>.7 (.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative feelings</td>
<td>.6 (.4)</td>
<td>.1 (.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive feeling</td>
<td>.4 (.6)</td>
<td>.4 (.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(FWC-30; Whyte et al., 1982) determined whether they influenced the willingness to provide psychological treatment.

Two research assistants visited the various teams of the participating mental health institutions during a staff meeting. They requested the clinicians to complete the questionnaires after signing an informed consent declaration. Completion of the questionnaires took less than 15 min.

2.4 | Ethics

We obtained approval of the study from the ethical committees of the participating institutions. The researchers declare that they have no conflicts of interest regarding this study. The data were gathered and analysed anonymously by separating the informed consent declaration from the questionnaires to guarantee that the answers on the questionnaires would have no consequences for the participating clinicians.

3 | RESULTS

Characteristics of the participants are summarized in Table 1.

Analyses of the characteristics of the professions showed that they differed from each other regarding age $F(3, 126) = 5.88, p < .01$, years of experience $F(3, 126) = 3.25, p < .05$, approached statistical significance on gender (chi-square = 6.8, $p = 0.08$), but did not differ from each other on the work setting. Post hoc comparisons using the Bonferroni Test indicated no differences between the groups. Gender, age and years of experience were added as covariates in all subsequent analyses to eliminate their potential effects on responses. We also compared the work settings on age, gender and years of experience. Analysis revealed that there were no significant differences in these variables between the regular and the forensic setting.

Scores on the theory of planned behaviour questionnaire for ASPD treatment (TPBaspdT) and the Feeling Word Checklist (FWC-30) are presented in Table 2.

An analysis of covariance with the profession groups as a between-subjects variable, age and years of experience as covariates, and the TPBaspdT scores and FWC-30 scores as dependent variables showed no significant differences between the professions. An ANOVA analysis with work setting as a between-subjects variable, and the TPBaspdT scores and FWC-30 scores as a dependent variable showed significant more positive attitude $F(1, 128) = 10.64$, $p < .01$, higher perceived social norm $F(1, 123) = 120.97$, $p < .001$, more perceived behaviour control $F(1, 116) = 52.20$, $p < .001$, more intention to provide treatment $F(1, 123) = 58.52$, $p < .001$, less negative emotions $F(1, 122) = 8.87$, $p < .01$ and more positive emotions $F(1, 118) = 24.09$, $p < .001$ in forensic mental health compared to regular mental health service. To investigate whether clinicians experienced more positive emotions than negative ones, we performed a paired t test with positive and negative emotions measured with the FWC sub-scales for positive and negative emotions as variables. Clinicians appeared to experience more positive emotions than negative ones towards ASPD patients, $t = 9.8 p < .001$. This appeared to be especially true for forensic health care $t = 7.6 p < .001$, compared to regular health care, $t = 2.2 p < .05$. To investigate whether experience with ASPD patients influenced clinicians’ emotions, we performed an ANOVA with experience as the independent variable and emotions as the dependent variable. Clinicians with experience working with ASPD patients experienced more positive emotions, $F(1,112) = 9.2 p < .001$, and less negative, $F(1,109) = 3.8 p = .05$, emotions towards ASPD patients compared to clinicians without that experience.

To get insight into the practical implication of the scores on intention to provide treatment, we classified the scores on TPBaspdT-I in three groups: not motivated to provide treatment ($I \leq 3$), ambivalent to provide treatment ($I = 3-5$) and motivated to provide treatment ($I \geq 5$). We compared them for the motivation categories to explore relations between experience working with ASPD, education on cluster B personality disorders and having experience verbal and/or physical violence in clinical practice. The results are presented in Table 3.

A Mann–Whitney U test showed that clinicians in forensic mental health care were more motivated than their colleagues in regular mental health care to treat ASPD patients ($Z = -6.59, p < .001$). However, concerning experience working with ASPD patients, education on cluster B personality disorders, having experienced verbal and/or physical violence in clinical practice for regular and forensic mental health care separately, the results were not significant (all chi-squares >1.8).

We calculated correlations between the scores on the theory of planned behaviour questionnaire for ASPD treatment (TPBaspdT), the Feeling Word Checklist (FWC-30), age and experience to get insight into relations between the variables. Results are presented in Table 4.

We performed a stepwise hierarchical regression with the TPB factors in the first step and emotions (FWC) in the second step. From reviewing the betas presented in Table 5, we can conclude PBC contributed most strongly to behavioural intention. On the other hand, emotions contributed little to the model, with only positive emotions significantly contributing to behavioural intention.

4 | DISCUSSION

Patients with ASPD can evoke strong negative emotions in others. This phenomenon also occurs in mental health, resulting in the exclusion of ASPD patients in many treatment programmes. The current study confirms the limited willingness to work with these patients. In regular mental health care, approximately 60% of the clinicians indicated that they were not motivated to work with ASPD patients, approximately 28% were ambivalent and 12% were motivated. As can be expected, this motivation is substantially higher (65%) in forensic mental health care, where the prevalence of ASPD patients is more elevated. Nonetheless, even in forensic mental health care, one third of the clinicians are not motivated or ambivalent about providing mental help to this group of patients.
An explanation for this finding may be the often described dichotomy made in forensic mental health between the ‘mentally ill’ and the personality disordered (Richman et al., 1999). Recent research indicates that this tendency has not changed (Beryl & Völlm, 2018; Fox et al., 2018; T. Mason et al., 2009). The ‘mentally ill’ are deemed not responsible for their actions and evoke sympathy, while the personality disordered is considered fundamentally flawed, culpable and remorseless. Research shows that clinicians in forensic mental health are inclined to sympathize with the mentally ill and be antagonistic towards the personality.

**TABLE 3** Motivation to work with ASPD patients of clinicians working in regular mental health services and forensic mental health services in relation to experience with ASPD, education on cluster B personality disorders and experienced violence in clinical practice and experienced burden due to the violence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Regular mental health service (n = 64)</th>
<th></th>
<th>Forensic mental health service (n = 61)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not motivated (I ≤ 3)</td>
<td>Ambivalent (I = 3–5)</td>
<td>Motivated (I ≥ 5)</td>
<td>Not motivated (I ≤ 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>38 (59.4%)</td>
<td>18 (28.1%)</td>
<td>8 (12.5%)</td>
<td>6 (9.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience with ASPD patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (n = 33)</td>
<td>17 (51.5%)</td>
<td>10 (31.3%)</td>
<td>6 (18.2%)</td>
<td>5 (9.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (n = 24)</td>
<td>17 (70.8%)</td>
<td>6 (25%)</td>
<td>1 (4.2%)</td>
<td>1 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post graduate education on cluster B personality disorders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (n = 24)</td>
<td>14 (58.3%)</td>
<td>6 (25%)</td>
<td>4 (16.7%)</td>
<td>2 (6.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (n = 40)</td>
<td>24 (60%)</td>
<td>12 (30%)</td>
<td>4 (10%)</td>
<td>4 (13.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experienced violence in clinical practice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, verbal aggression (n = 16)</td>
<td>9 (56.3%)</td>
<td>4 (25%)</td>
<td>3 (18.8%)</td>
<td>1 (4.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, verbal and physical aggression (n = 21)</td>
<td>11 (52.4%)</td>
<td>9 (42.9%)</td>
<td>1 (4.8%)</td>
<td>5 (22.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (n = 19)</td>
<td>14 (73.7%)</td>
<td>2 (10.7%)</td>
<td>3 (15.8%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burden due to experienced violence in clinical practice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (n = 14)</td>
<td>8 (57.1%)</td>
<td>4 (26.6%)</td>
<td>2 (14.3%)</td>
<td>4 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (n = 27)</td>
<td>14 (51.9)</td>
<td>11 (40.7%)</td>
<td>2 (7.4%)</td>
<td>2 (6.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 4** Correlations between the scores on the theory of planned behaviour questionnaire for ASPD treatment (TPBaspdT), the feeling word checklist (FWC-30), age and years of experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Experience</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>PSN</th>
<th>PBC</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>NEG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experience</td>
<td>.87**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude (A)</td>
<td>-.10</td>
<td>-.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived social norm (PSN)</td>
<td>-.08</td>
<td>-.10</td>
<td>.28**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived behavioural control (PBC)</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.30**</td>
<td>.67**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intention (I)</td>
<td>-.25**</td>
<td>-.25**</td>
<td>.41**</td>
<td>.60**</td>
<td>.63**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative feelings</td>
<td>-.00</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>-.25</td>
<td>-.34</td>
<td>-.40</td>
<td>-.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive feeling</td>
<td>-.21</td>
<td>-.24**</td>
<td>.42**</td>
<td>.40**</td>
<td>.47**</td>
<td>.53**</td>
<td>-.24**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < .05, **p < .001.

**TABLE 5** Hierarchical regression analyses with intention as dependent variable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Predictor variables</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>ΔR²</th>
<th>Standardized B after step 1</th>
<th>Standardized B after step 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Attitude (A)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.22**</td>
<td>.15**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Perceived social norm (PSN)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.32**</td>
<td>.29**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Perceived behavioural control (PBC)</td>
<td>.50</td>
<td>.50**</td>
<td>.35**</td>
<td>.25**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Negative feelings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive feeling</td>
<td>.55</td>
<td>.04**</td>
<td></td>
<td>.21**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < .05, **p < .001.
disordered individuals (Beryl & Völlm, 2018; T. Mason et al., 2008; T. Mason et al., 2010).

Surprisingly, the intensity of negative and positive emotions evoked by ASPD patients was not strong (see mean scores FWC in Table 2). On average, the strength of the emotions indicated as having little intensity. Moreover, although also a little intense, the positive emotions appeared to be stronger than the negative emotions, especially in forensic mental health care. A possible explanation for the relatively weak strength of the emotions in comparison to the strong emotions found in other studies might be that we did not present clinicians with actual ASPD patients (e.g., Colli et al., 2014) but asked them to report the strength of emotions without a specific ASPD patient in mind.

Moreover, not all of the clinicians in our study ever worked with ASPD patients. However, the clinicians who had worked with ASPD patients reported more positive and less negative emotions than clinicians without that experience. A possible explanation is that clinicians with more positive feelings towards ASPD patients may choose their field of work based on what they feel motivated to do. Thus, clinicians with interest in ASPD may continue to work in a forensic setting, while those with no interest in this patient group may leave the clinic. An alternative explanation may be that the actual working experience with ASPD allows the opportunity to experience the positive effect of treatment and reduces negative feelings. Several studies showed that actual contact between clinicians and patients with personality disorders reduces stigma and increases positive attitude (E.T. Dunbar et al., 2019; Egan et al., 2014; Sheehan et al., 2016).

However, we also found that experience working with ASPD patients, education on cluster B personality disorders, having experienced verbal and/or physical violence in clinical practice did not satisfactorily explain motivation (or lack of) for working with this group. We observed a difference between regular and forensic mental health care concerning working experience and motivation. Relations between experience working with ASPD patients and education on cluster B personality disorders were not evident when we analysed them in the context of a specific setting, either regular mental health or forensic mental health. For example, more than half of the clinicians who had experience working with ASPD patients in regular mental health care were not motivated to work with them again. Thus, working experience is not enough to enhance motivation to provide ASPD treatment. We observed the same concerning education on cluster B personality disorders and negative experiences. More than half of the clinicians who received post-graduate education on cluster B personality disorders were not motivated to work with ASPD patients. Negative experiences with aggression in clinical practice, which is highly prevalent in ASPD (Daffern, 2007; Hildebrand et al., 2004), do not adversely affect the motivation to treat ASPD patients. Half of the clinicians who experienced verbal and physical aggression in the workplace were still motivated to provide psychological treatment to ASPD patients.

Our finding that experience alone, whether negative or positive, is insufficient to explain motivation is not surprising. Motivation is a complex concept involving various factors and mechanisms (Jochems et al., 2011). Motivation theories provide insight into the processes that moderate the effect of gained experiences on intentions and behaviour (I. Ajzen, 2011; Deci & Ryan, 2008). The accumulated knowledge of clinicians with ASPD patients may affect various factors concerning self-confidence, feelings of trust and safety. In addition, the effects are dependent on several factors like social support, personality, coping and personal circumstances (Beattie et al., 2019; E.T. Dunbar, 2017; Pribe & Reininghaus, 2011; Wolf et al., 2017).

Another explanation for the limited influence of gained experience on attitude may be a stigma. Research shows that clinicians believe those with the clinical diagnostic label of a personality disorder to be more challenging to manage than personality-disordered patients without the label (Newton-Howes et al., 2008). Therefore, the label ASPD may very well shape the experience of clinicians, resulting in a self-fulfilling prophecy (Beryl & Völlm, 2018; Corrigan, 2007; Newton-Howes et al., 2008).

As expected, negative emotions were related to less motivation to treat patients with ASPD. However, positive emotions appeared to be more strongly associated with the motivation to provide treatment to ASPD patients. This suggests that interventions aimed at improving a positive attitude may be more effective than reducing negative emotions. This would support studies that demonstrate the importance of a positive attitude and emotions towards patients with personality disorders on effectiveness (Bowers et al., 2005; Egan et al., 2014; L.M.C. Van den Bosch et al., 2018; Webb & McMurran, 2007). The influence of emotions towards ASPD patients on the motivation to provide treatment to ASPD patients is somewhat limited, however, compared to the factors proposed by the TPB.

Attitude (A), perceived social norm (PSN) and especially perceived behavioural control (PBC) appeared to predict the motivation to provide psychological treatment to this group of patients to a much larger degree than emotions. This is an important finding because it may provide insight into how experience gained, training and supervision might improve the motivation to provide mental health care to ASPD patients or not.

Concerning attitude (A), it is relevant that clinicians become convinced that it is helpful to provide treatment to ASPD patients. This can be achieved by providing information about favourable treatment outcomes from various approaches, for example, DBT (van den Bosch et al., 2012; Wetterborg et al., 2020), MBT (A. Bateman et al., 2016; McGauley et al., 2011), SFT (D.P. Bernstein et al., 2012; D.P. Bernstein et al., 2021; Doyle et al., 2016) and CBT (Crawford et al., 2009; B. Thylstrup et al., 2017). Second, the attitude of clinicians may become more positive when they think working with ASPD patients is intriguing. This may be the case if this work is perceived as challenging, highly specialized work, demanding high levels of competence (I. Ajzen, 2011; Deci & Ryan, 2008). Third, attitudes could be positively enhanced if clinicians are convinced of the importance of providing treatment to ASPD patients. Treatment may reduce their suffering and improve their environment in terms of interpersonal, financial and emotional consequences (Goldstein et al., 2012; Quinsey et al., 1998; Rijckmans et al., 2020).
Perceived social norm may be increased when clinicians encounter examples of colleagues who provide mental health care to ASPD patients and talk about it. If they also say to their colleagues that they are achieving successes and that providing treatment to this group is rewarding, it may also affect the attitude (A) factor. This is an overlooked group that is often excluded from mental health care (L.M.C. Van den Bosch et al., 2018; Rijckmans et al., 2020), and therefore, those colleagues who work with ASPD patients must be stimulated and facilitated by the management to share their knowledge and skills among colleagues as well as in educational settings such as universities and post-graduate education. The use of role models is probably essential to make maximum use of the perceived social norm (PSN) factor.

Perceived behavioural control is the most substantial factor contributing to providing mental health care to ASPD patients. Therefore, it is essential that training leads to a sense of mastery. Clear manuals and instructions (e.g., the Impulsive lifestyle coaching manual [B. Thyistrup et al., 2017] or the Practitioner’s Guide to antisocial behaviour and personality disorders [Rijckmans et al., 2020]) may increase that sense of mastery. In addition, training and supervision should be aimed at the clinician’s feelings of control and competence. Several studies show that clinicians’ positive attitudes are indeed related to having received training about personality disorders (Bowers et al., 2005; E.T. Dunbar et al., 2020; Egan et al., 2014; Keuroghlian et al., 2016). This training aims to increase knowledge and self-efficacy when working with personality disorders and adequate supervision. Another way to increase perceived behavioural control (PBC) might be by providing the clinician with feedback about competence and positive treatment results. Supervision on the spot when working with ASPD patients may be useful in that respect (Weck et al., 2016).

The study had several limitations that must be acknowledged. First, although we used a well-researched and widely applied motivation theory, it cannot be ruled out that we would have obtained other information with a different motivation theory. Second, motivation is a complex concept that has been operationalized in various ways that differ in the underlying theories regarding the behavioural change (Jochems et al., 2011). For example, self-determination theory (SDT) of motivation assumes the fulfillment of basic needs as the basis for changing behaviour, whereas TPB studies conscious cognitions and perceptions of the target behaviour (I. Ajzen, 2011; Deci & Ryan, 2008). Although the theories complement each other, they can differ in predictive value for specific behaviour and, because of their particular theoretical backgrounds, also lead to other recommendations (Jochems et al., 2011). For further research, it could also be of added value to also use other motivational theories such as SDT to predict therapist behaviour.

Second, the results may have been different when we asked participants to consider actual patients when scoring the questionnaires. In other studies, clinicians were instructed to keep specific patients in mind, filling out the FWC (V. de Vogel & Louppen, 2016; B. Thyistrup & Hesse, 2008). Thus, although the intensity of the emotions seems to be comparable to our results, it cannot be ruled out that respondents will react differently to specific patients. Therefore, we suggest that future research should focus on whether clinicians’ experiences with actual patients influence their motivation to invest in treatment.

Third, participants may have answered the questionnaire socially desirable manner to appear more altruistic, positive and non-judgmental. These factors may have resulted in the low levels of negative emotions reported towards ASPD patients. Thus, the results may reflect a more favourable attitude than exists, although the attitude cannot be regarded as very favourable with the current results. Another limitation is that we asked clinicians of various professional backgrounds and settings to participate. Because of this broad spectrum, there may be considerable variability in education, training and management. However, even though this may reduce generalizability to specific settings, it may also be advantageous because of a broad range of attitudes and experience.

The strength of our study is that it provides insight into the possible pathways through which the motivation of clinicians in forensic and regular mental health services concerning working with patients with ASPD can be enhanced. This is important because the exclusion of ASPD patients in many treatment programmes is a serious problem because of the significant impact ASPD has on society and the patients’ suffering.

Another strength of the study is that we developed a TPB questionnaire that monitors the intention to provide treatment to ASPD patients, according to the manual for constructing a theory of planned behaviour questionnaire (L. Ajzen, 2013; Fishbein & Ajzen, 2010). We also investigated the psychometric qualities and the psychometric qualities of the FWC, which were all adequate. These questionnaires may therefore be helpful to use in future research regarding motivation to provide treatment.

Conclusively, this study provided more insight into why some clinicians are willing to work with ASPD patients, while others are not and which factors should be targeted to increase motivation. The study also provides insight into the added value of the theory of planned behaviour in order to understand the processes through which experience and training may influence the clinicians motivation to work with ASPD patients or not. Longitudinal studies are needed to investigate whether training and supervision increase this motivation.
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APPENDIX A

THE THEORY OF PLANNED BEHAVIOUR QUESTIONNAIRE FOR ASPD TREATMENT (TPBaspdT)

1. It think it is useful to provide psychological treatment to ASPD patients (A).
2. I think it is interesting to provide psychological treatment to ASPD patients (A).
3. I think it is important to provide psychological treatment to ASPD patients (A).
4. My fellow colleagues expect me to provide psychological treatment to ASPD patients (PSN).
5. My fellow colleagues provide psychological treatment to ASPD patients (PSN).
6. My fellow colleagues think it’s important to provide psychological treatment to ASPD patients (PSN).
7. I am well capable of providing psychological treatment to ASPD patients (PBC).
8. I have sufficient knowledge to provide psychological treatment to ASPD patients (PBC).
9. The treatments I provide to ASPD patients usually have a good result (PBC).
10. I choose to provide psychological treatment to ASPD patients (I).
11. If I had the choice, I would choose to provide psychological treatment to ASPD patients (I).

A = attitude, PSN = perceived social norm, PBC = perceived behavioural control, I = intention.