

## Tilburg University

### Conditions for Singular Incidence Matrices

Haemers, W.H.

*Publication date:*  
2003

[Link to publication in Tilburg University Research Portal](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Haemers, W. H. (2003). *Conditions for Singular Incidence Matrices*. (CentER Discussion Paper; Vol. 2003-66). Operations research.

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

#### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

CentER



# Discussion Paper

No. 2003–66

## **CONDITIONS FOR SINGULAR INCIDENCE MATRICES**

By W.H. Haemers

July 2003

ISSN 0924-7815

# Conditions for singular incidence matrices

Willem H. Haemers

Tilburg University, Dept. of Econometrics and O.R.,  
Tilburg, The Netherlands, e-mail: haemers@uvt.nl

## Abstract

Suppose one looks for a square integral matrix  $N$ , for which  $NN^\top$  has a prescribed form. Then the Hasse-Minkowski invariants and the determinant of  $NN^\top$  lead to necessary conditions for existence. The Bruck-Ryser-Chowla theorem gives a famous example of such conditions in case  $N$  is the incidence matrix of a square block design. This approach fails when  $N$  is singular. In this paper it is shown that in some cases conditions can still be obtained if the kernels of  $N$  and  $N^\top$  are known, or known to be rationally equivalent. This leads for example to non-existence conditions for self-dual generalised polygons, semi-regular square divisible designs and distance-regular graphs.

## 1 Introduction

Consider a square  $2$ - $(v, k, \lambda)$  design with incidence matrix  $N$ . (We prefer the name ‘square’ above ‘symmetric’.) Then  $NN^\top = \lambda J_v + (k - \lambda)I_v$ , where  $J_v$  is the  $v \times v$  all-ones matrix and  $I_v$  is the identity matrix of size  $v$ . The Bruck-Ryser-Chowla theorem is based on two observations (see for example [5]). The first one is that  $\det N = \det N^\top$  is an integer. Therefore  $\det(\lambda J_v + (k - \lambda)I_v)$  is an integral square, hence  $k - \lambda$  is a square if  $v$  is even. The other observation is that, since  $N$  is a non-singular rational matrix,  $\lambda J_v + (k - \lambda)I_v$  is rationally congruent to  $I_v$ , and therefore these two matrices have the same Hasse-Minkowski invariants. These invariants can be expressed in terms of  $v$ ,  $k$  and  $\lambda$  from which it follows that for odd  $v$  the Diophantine equation  $(k - \lambda)X^2 + (-1)^{(v-1)/2}\lambda Y^2 = Z^2$  has an integral solution different from  $X = Y = Z = 0$ . Similar approaches work for other square incidence structures for which the determinant or the Hasse-Minkowski invariants of  $NN^\top$  are known. See for example [5], Chapter 12. It is clear that this approach gives no conditions if  $N$  is singular. In the present paper we modify the mentioned approach such that we still find conditions for singular  $N$ . The key lemma is a simple trick that changes a singular  $N$  into a non-singular matrix  $M$  in such a way that for some types of designs it is still possible to compute the Hasse-Minkowski invariants or the (square free part of the) determinant of  $MM^\top$ .

**Lemma 1** Suppose  $N$  is a rational  $v \times v$  matrix of rank  $v - m$ . Let  $Z$  be a rational  $v \times v$  matrix of rank  $m$ , such that  $N^\top Z = NZ^\top = O$ . Define  $M = N + Z$ , then

i.  $MM^\top = NN^\top + ZZ^\top$ ,

ii. the eigenvalues of  $MM^\top$  are the positive eigenvalues of  $NN^\top$  together with the positive eigenvalues of  $ZZ^\top$ ,

iii.  $MM^\top$  is non-singular.

**Proof.** Part i is straightforward. To prove ii, first notice that  $NN^\top$  and  $ZZ^\top$  commute, so they have a common orthogonal basis of eigenvectors. Suppose  $\mathbf{v}$  is such an eigenvector that corresponds to a positive eigenvalue of  $NN^\top$ . Then  $\mathbf{v}$  is orthogonal to the kernel of  $NN^\top$ , which is the span of the columns of  $Z$ . Hence  $Z^\top \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ , so the corresponding eigenvalue of  $ZZ^\top$  equals 0. Similarly, a positive eigenvalue of  $ZZ^\top$  corresponds to an eigenvalue 0 of  $NN^\top$ . This proves ii, since  $NN^\top$  has  $v - m$  positive eigenvalues, and  $ZZ^\top$  has  $m$  positive eigenvalues. Statement iii follows because  $MM^\top$  has only positive eigenvalues.  $\square$

For a given  $N$ , a matrix  $Z$  with the required properties always exists. One way to make such a  $Z$  is the following. Take rational  $v \times m$  matrices  $L$  and  $R$ , whose columns form a basis for the left and the right kernel of  $N$ , respectively. Then  $\text{rank } L = \text{rank } R = m$  and  $N^\top L = NR = O$ . Therefore  $Z = LR^\top$  has the desired properties.

In the coming sections we will consider two kinds of square designs for which something new can be said: Self-dual designs and semi-regular square divisible designs.

## 2 Self-dual designs

Consider two  $m$ -dimensional subspaces  $V$  and  $W$  of the vectorspace  $\mathbb{Q}^v$ . Let  $L$  and  $R$  be rational  $v \times m$  matrices whose columns span  $V$  and  $W$ , respectively. We call the subspaces  $V$  and  $W$  *rationally equivalent* if  $L^\top L$  and  $R^\top R$  are rationally congruent matrices, which means that  $S^\top L^\top L S = R^\top R$  for some non-singular rational matrix  $S$ . Note that rational equivalence of vectorspaces does not depend on the choice of  $L$  and  $R$  indeed.

**Lemma 2** Let  $N$  be a rational  $v \times v$  matrix. If the left kernel and the right kernel of  $N$  are rationally equivalent then the product of the non-zero eigenvalues of  $NN^\top$  is a rational square.

**Proof.** Let  $L$  and  $R$  be  $v \times m$  matrices whose columns form a basis for the left and the right kernel of  $N$ , respectively. Put  $Z = LR^\top$ . Then  $ZZ^\top = LR^\top RL^\top = LS^\top L^\top L S L^\top$  (with  $S$  as above). The non-zero eigenvalues of  $L(S^\top L^\top L S L^\top)$  coincide with the non-zero eigenvalues of  $(S^\top L^\top L S L^\top)L$ . But  $\det(S^\top L^\top L S L^\top L) = (\det S)^2 (\det L^\top L)^2$  which is a non-zero rational square. Thus we have that the product of the non-zero eigenvalues of  $ZZ^\top$  is a square, and

Lemma 1 finishes the proof. □

If  $N$  is the incidence matrix of a self-dual design (that is,  $N$  and  $N^\top$  are isomorphic), then left and right kernel of  $N$  are obviously rationally equivalent and Lemma 2 gives:

**Theorem 1** *If  $N$  is the incidence matrix of a self-dual design, then the product of the positive eigenvalues of  $NN^\top$  is an integral square.*

For example if  $N$  is the incidence matrix of a self-dual partial geometry with parameters  $s$  ( $= t$ ) and  $\alpha$  (see [4]), the non-zero eigenvalues of  $NN^\top$  are  $(s+1)^2$  of multiplicity 1, and  $2s+1-\alpha$  of multiplicity  $s^2(s+1)^2/\alpha(2s+1-\alpha)$ . So if the latter multiplicity is odd,  $2s+1-\alpha$  is a square. In particular if  $\alpha=1$ , the partial geometry is a generalised quadrangle of order  $s$  (denoted by  $GQ(s)$ ) and we find:

**Corollary 1** *There exists no self-dual  $GQ(s)$  if  $s \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and  $2s$  is not a square.*

For example no  $GQ(6)$  is self-dual. Similarly, if  $N$  is the incidence matrix of a generalised hexagon of order  $s$  (denoted by  $GH(s)$ ), the non-zero eigenvalues of  $NN^\top$  are  $(s+1)^2$ ,  $s$  and  $3s$  of multiplicity 1,  $s(1+s)^2(1-s+s^2)/2$  and  $s(1+s)^2(1+s+s^2)/6$ , respectively (see for example [2] p.203). Thus we find:

**Corollary 2** *There exists no self-dual  $GH(s)$  if  $s \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .*

Stronger condition are known if the incidence matrix of a  $GQ(s)$  or  $GH(s)$  is symmetric (see [7] p.309). A symmetric incidence matrix clearly implies that the structure is self-dual, but the converse is not true in general.

### 3 Square divisible designs

Another case when Lemma 1 can be applied is when the left and right kernel of  $N$  are determined by the design requirements. Note that the left kernel of  $N$  is the kernel of  $NN^\top$ , and similarly, the right kernel of  $N$  is the kernel of  $N^\top N$ . So the lemma applies for square incidence matrices  $N$  for which  $NN^\top$  and  $N^\top N$  are prescribed. For example, consider a  $2-(v, k, \lambda)$  design with a  $v \times b$  incidence matrix where  $b > v$ . Extend the  $v \times b$  incidence matrix with  $b-v$  zero rows. For the  $b \times b$  matrix  $N$  thus obtained  $NN^\top$  is known, and so is its left kernel. The right kernel of  $N$  is in general not known, but there are some types of designs for which  $N^\top N$  is prescribed. These include strongly resolvable designs and triangular designs. For these designs Bruck-Ryser-Chowla type conditions have been worked out; see [6], [5] and [3], so we will not do it again.

In this section we consider semi-regular square divisible designs. A divisible design (also called group-divisible design) with parameters  $k, g, n, \lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ , is an incidence structure,

denoted by  $GD(k, g, n, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ , for which the points can be ordered such that the incidence matrix  $N$  satisfies

$$NN^\top = \lambda_2 J_v + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)K_{n,g} + (r - \lambda_1)I_v, \quad \text{and} \quad N^\top J_v = kJ_v,$$

where  $K_{n,g}$  is the block diagonal matrix  $I_n \otimes J_g$ ,  $v = ng$  is the number of points and  $r = ((n-1)g\lambda_2 + (g-1)\lambda_1)/(k-1)$  is the replication number. The eigenvalues of  $NN^\top$  are easily seen to be  $kr$ ,  $r - \lambda_1$ , and  $g(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) + r - \lambda_1$  with multiplicities 1,  $n(g-1)$  and  $n-1$ , respectively. Assume that  $N$  is a square matrix. Then  $r = k$ , and the eigenvalues of  $NN^\top$  become  $k^2$ ,  $k - \lambda_1$  and  $k^2 - gn\lambda_2$ . If  $N$  is non-singular, the divisible design is called regular, and necessary conditions for existence have been known for a long time, see [1], [5] p.228, or [2] p.23. If  $N$  is singular, either  $k = \lambda_1$  and  $N = N' \otimes J_n$ , where  $N'$  is the incidence matrix of a square block design (then the divisible design is called singular), or  $k^2 = ng\lambda_2$  and the divisible design is called semi-regular.

**Theorem 2** *Let  $D$  be a design with the property that both  $D$  and its dual are a semi-regular  $GD(k, g, n, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ . Then*

- i. if  $g$  is even and  $n$  is odd,  $k - \lambda_1$  is an integral square,*
- ii. if  $g$  is even and  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  then  $k - \lambda_1$  is the sum of two integral squares,*
- iii. if  $g$  and  $n$  are odd, the equation  $(k - \lambda_1)X^2 + (-1)^{(g-1)/2}gY^2 = Z^2$  has an integral solution different from  $X = Y = Z = 0$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose  $N$  is the incidence matrix of  $D$ . We may assume that  $NN^\top = N^\top N$ , which implies that  $N^\top$  and  $N$  have the same kernel, so by Lemma 2 the product of the non-zero eigenvalues of  $NN^\top$  is a square, which proves *i*. Define  $Z = (J_n - nI_n) \otimes J_g$ . Then  $\text{rank } Z = n - 1$ , and  $NN^\top Z = N^\top NZ = O$ , so  $Z$  satisfies the requirement for Lemma 1. Hence

$$MM^\top = NN^\top + ZZ^\top = (\lambda_2 - gn)J_v + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + gn^2)K_{n,g} + (k - \lambda_1)I_v.$$

has eigenvalues  $k^2$ ,  $\rho = k - \lambda_1$  and  $\sigma = g^2n^2$  of multiplicity 1,  $n(g-1)$  and  $n-1$  respectively. The Hasse-Minkowski invariant  $C_p(MM^\top)$  with respect to the odd prime  $p$  of a matrix  $MM^\top$  of the above form is known, see for example [1].

$$C_p(MM^\top) = (\rho, -1)_p^{n(g-1)(n+g-1)/2} (\sigma, -1)_p^{n(n-1)/2} (\sigma, g)_p^n (\rho, g)_p^n (\sigma, \lambda_2 - gn)_p =$$

$$(\rho, -1)_p^{n(g-1)(n+g-1)/2} (\rho, g)_p^n,$$

where  $(a, b)_p$  is the Hilbert norm residue symbol, defined by  $(a, b)_p = 1$  if for all  $t$  the congruence  $aX^2 + bY^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p^t}$  has a rational solution, and  $(a, b)_p = -1$  otherwise. Since  $M$  is a non-singular rational matrix,  $C_p(MM^\top) = C_p(I_v) = 1$  for every odd prime  $p$ , and the conditions *ii* and *iii* follow.  $\square$

For example there exists no  $GD(18, 4, 9, 6, 9)$  for which the dual is also such a design. Note that in case  $n = 1$ ,  $D$  is a square block design and the conditions are those of Bruck, Ryser and Chowla. The above theorem also has consequences for distance-regular graphs. Some putative distance-regular graphs imply the existence of square divisible designs (see [2] p.22), and in case these divisible designs are semi-regular we obtain new conditions.

**Corollary 3** *Suppose there exists a distance-regular graph of diameter 4 with  $2g^2\mu$  vertices and intersection array  $\{g\mu, g\mu - 1, (g - 1)\mu, 1; 1, \mu, g\mu - 1, g\mu\}$ . Then*

*i. If  $\mu$  is odd and  $g \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  then  $g\mu$  is the sum of two integral squares.*

*ii. If  $\mu$  and  $g$  are odd, then the equation  $\mu X^2 + (-1)^{(g-1)/2} Y^2 = gZ^2$  has an integral solution different from  $X = Y = Z = 0$ .*

**Proof.** Such a distance-regular graph is the incidence graph of a  $GD(g\mu, g, g\mu, 0, \mu)$  for which the dual is also such a design.  $\square$

For example a distance-regular graph with intersection array  $\{15, 14, 12, 1; 1, 3, 14, 15\}$  does not exist. Note that a distance-regular graph with intersection array  $\{g\mu - 1, (g - 1)\mu, 1; 1, \mu, g\mu - 1\}$  also gives rise to a semi-regular square divisible design; see [2], p.24. But here we find no new restrictions.

**Acknowledgement.** I thank Edwin van Dam for many relevant conversations.

## References

- [1] R.C. Bose and W.S. Connor, *Combinatorial properties of group divisible incomplete block designs*, Ann. Math. Statist. 23 (1952) 367-383.
- [2] A.E. Brouwer, A.M. Cohen and A. Neumaier, *Distance-Regular Graphs*, Springer, Heidelberg, 1989.
- [3] M.J. Coster and W.H. Haemers, *Quasi-symmetric designs related to the triangular graph*, Designs, Codes and Cryptography 5 (1995), 27-42.
- [4] F. De Clerck and H. Van Maldeghem, *Some classes of rank 2 geometries*, in: Handbook of Incidence Geometry (F. Buekenhout ed.), Elsevier Science B.V., 1995, pp. 433-475.
- [5] D. Raghavarao, *Constructions and combinatorial problems in designs of experiments*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1971.
- [6] S.S. Shrikhande, D. Raghavarao and S.K. Tharthare, *Non-existence of some unsymmetrical partially balanced incomplete block designs*, Canad. J. Math. 15 (1963), 686-701.
- [7] H. Van Maldeghem, *Generalized polygons*, Birkhäuser, 1991.