

The Maximum Order of Reduced Square(0, 1)-Matrices with a Given Rank

Haemers, W.H.; Peeters, M.J.P.

Publication date:
2011

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Haemers, W. H., & Peeters, M. J. P. (2011). *The Maximum Order of Reduced Square(0, 1)-Matrices with a Given Rank*. (CentER Discussion Paper; Vol. 2011-113). Tilburg: Operations research.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright, please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

No. 2011-113

**THE MAXIMUM ORDER OF REDUCED SQUARE (0, 1)-
MATRICES WITH A GIVEN RANK**

By W.H. Haemers, M.J.P. Peeters

October, 2011

ISSN 0924-7815

The maximum order of reduced square (0, 1)-matrices with a given rank

W.H. Haemers* and M.J.P. Peeters

*Department of Econometrics and Operations Research,
Tilburg University, Tilburg, The Netherlands*

Abstract

We look for the maximum order of a square (0, 1)-matrix A with a fixed rank r , provided A has no repeated rows or columns. If A is the adjacency matrix of a graph, Kotlov and Lovász [*J. Graph Theory* **23**, 1996] proved that the maximum order equals $\Theta(2^{r/2})$. In this note we show that this result remains correct if A is symmetric, but becomes false if symmetry is not required.

Keywords: (0, 1)-matrix, rank, graph.

AMS Subject Classification: 05B20, 05E99, 15B36. JEL-code: C0.

1 Introduction

At the workshop ‘Directions in Matrix Theory 2011’ in Coimbra, Portugal, the first author gave a presentation about the results from [2] on the maximum order of reduced adjacency matrices of graphs with a given rank. At the end of the presentation there was some discussion on what can be said if the zero diagonal, or the symmetry condition is dropped. Here we give some answers to these more general situations.

Given a (0, 1)-matrix, we can duplicate a row or column and add zero rows and columns without changing the rank. This motivates the following definition.

Definition 1 *A (0,1)-matrix is reduced if no two rows or columns are dependent.*

We define $n(r)$ to be the maximum order of a reduced square (0, 1)-matrix of rank r . When we restrict to symmetric matrices, or adjacency matrices of graphs we denote the maximum order by $n_{\text{sym}}(r)$ and $n_{\text{gr}}(r)$, respectively. Kotlov and Lovász [3] prove that $n_{\text{gr}}(r) = \mathcal{O}(2^{r/2})$, and give a construction showing that $n_{\text{gr}}(r) \geq 2^{(r+2)/2} - 2$ if r is even, and $n_{\text{gr}}(r) \geq 5 \cdot 2^{(r-3)/2} - 2$ if r is odd. In [1] the authors present a second construction giving the same number of vertices. They conjecture that the mentioned values are equal to the maximum

*corresponding author, e-mail: haemers@uvt.nl

$n_{\text{gr}}(r)$, and that all graphs attaining this maximum can be obtained by the two construction methods. In [2] we show that the conjecture is correct if the graph contains $\frac{r}{2}K_2$ or $K_3 + \frac{r-3}{2}K_2$ as an induced subgraph.

Here we show that the same asymptotic upper bound holds for $n_{\text{sym}}(r)$ as for $n_{\text{gr}}(r)$. For even r we find no better lower bound for $n_{\text{sym}}(r)$ than for $n_{\text{gr}}(r)$, but for odd r the lower bound for $n_{\text{sym}}(r)$ can be improved. In addition, we prove that $n(r) \geq 2^{(r-3)/2}\sqrt{r}$, which shows that the upper bound of Kotlov and Lovász doesn't hold anymore for the nonsymmetric case.

2 Symmetric $(0, 1)$ -matrices

2.1 The upper Bound

A symmetric $(0, 1)$ -matrix can be interpreted as the adjacency matrix of a (simple) graph admitting loops. For the proof of the upper bound $n_{\text{gr}}(r) = \mathcal{O}(2^{r/2})$, Kotlov and Lovász defined *twins* in a graph as two vertices with the same set of neighbors. For a loopless graph twins are necessarily nonadjacent, but if loops are allowed twins can be adjacent vertices with loops. In other words, twins correspond to a pair of repeated rows and columns in the adjacency matrix. Keeping this in mind, we claim that the mentioned proof of Kotlov and Lovász is also valid for graphs admitting loops, since nowhere it is used that the matrix has zero diagonal. Therefore:

Theorem 1 $n_{\text{sym}}(r) = \mathcal{O}(2^{r/2})$.

2.2 Constructions

The following recursive construction was given in [3] (see also [1, 2]). Suppose A be a reduced adjacency matrix of a graph on n vertices with rank r , then

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ A & A & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0}^\top & \mathbf{1}^\top & 0 & 1 \\ \mathbf{0}^\top & \mathbf{0}^\top & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is the reduced adjacency of a graph on $2n+2$ vertices with rank $r+2$. If we start with K_2 (which has $r = n = 2$) and K_3 (which has $r = n = 3$), then the recursive construction gives graphs with $2^{(r+2)/2} - 2$ vertices if r is even, and $5 \cdot 2^{(r-3)/2} - 2$ vertices if r is odd. Note that there exist no graph whose adjacency matrix has rank 1. Obviously the mentioned numbers are lower bounds for $n_{\text{sym}}(r)$, but for odd r we can do better since we can start the recursive construction with $A = [1]$ and $n = r = 1$, which leads to reduced symmetric $(0, 1)$ -matrices of order $3 \cdot 2^{(r-1)/2} - 2$ and rank r . Thus we have:

Proposition 1 $n_{\text{sym}}(r) \geq \begin{cases} 2^{(r+2)/2} - 2 & \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \\ 3 \cdot 2^{(r-1)/2} - 2 & \text{if } r \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

There exist more recursive constructions that make a reduced adjacency matrix of rank $r + 2$ and order $2n + 2$ from one of rank r and order n (see [1, 2]), and if we are not forced to have a zero diagonal we can find even more. For example

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ A & A & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0}^\top & \mathbf{1}^\top & 1 & 1 \\ \mathbf{0}^\top & \mathbf{0}^\top & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

also works. So there will be many symmetric $(0,1)$ -matrices that meet the bound given in the above lemma.

3 Square $(0, 1)$ -matrices

In order to construct large $(0,1)$ -matrices of rank r , we consider two sets X and Y of vectors in $V = \{0,1\}^r$. Each vector $v \in V$, is partitioned into two parts:

$$v = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $v_1 \in \{0,1\}^k$ and $v_2 \in \{0,1\}^{r-k}$ for some $k \in \{0, \dots, r\}$. The set X consists of all nonzero vectors in V for which $v_2 = 0$, together with the vectors for which $v_1 = 0$ and the weight¹ of v_2 equals 1. The set Y consists of all nonzero vectors in V for which the weight of v_1 is at most 1. Thus we have $|X| = 2^k + r - k - 1$ and $|Y| = 2^{r-k}(k + 1) - 1$. Let M and N be the matrices whose columns are the vectors from X and Y , respectively. Define $A = M^\top N$, then clearly A is a $(0,1)$ -matrix. Note that both M and N contain r unit columns, so we can write

$$M = [I \ M_1], \quad N = [I \ N_1], \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} I & N_1 \\ M_1^\top & M_1^\top N_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore A is a reduced matrix with rank r , and A contains a reduced square submatrix of order $n = \min\{|X|, |Y|\}$ with rank r . If we choose k such that n is as large as possible, then we obtain the following values of k and n for $r = 1, \dots, 10$.

r	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
k	1	1	2	3	3	4	5	5	6	6
n	1	2	4	7	9	17	23	34	55	67

Note that for $r = 5$, the above construction gives $n = 9$, whilst in the previous section we found a symmetric example with $n = 10$. So we can conclude that $n(5) \geq 10$. For general r , the above construction leads to the following bound.

¹the *weight* of a vector is the number of nonzero coordinates

Theorem 2 *The maximum order $n(r)$ of a reduced square $(0,1)$ -matrix with rank r is at least*

$$2^{\frac{r-3}{2}}\sqrt{r}.$$

Proof. Assume $r \geq 2$, and take k such that $k \geq 2^{2k-r}$ and $k+1 < 2^{2(k+1)-r}$. Then the first inequality gives $|X| \leq |Y|$ and $k < r$, therefore $n = |X| = 2^k + r - k - 1 \geq 2^k$. The second inequality gives $k > \frac{1}{2}r + \frac{1}{2}\log_2(k+1) - 1$, and $k+1 > \frac{1}{2}r$. This implies

$$n \geq 2^k > 2^{\frac{r}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\log_2(k+1) - 1} > 2^{\frac{r-3}{2}}\sqrt{r}. \quad \square$$

References

- [1] S. Akbari, P.J. Cameron and G.B. Khosrovshahi, Ranks and signatures of adjacency matrices, <http://www.maths.qmw.ac.uk/~pjc/preprints/ranksign.pdf>.
- [2] W.H. Haemers and M.J.P. Peeters, The maximum order of adjacency matrices of graphs with a given rank, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* (in press).
- [3] A. Kotlov and L. Lovász, The rank and size of graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **23** (1996), 185-189.