

The Well-being Questionnaire: evidence for a three-factor structure with 12 items (W-BQ12)

F. POUWER,¹ F. J. SNOEK, H. M. VAN DER PLOEG, H. J. ADÈR AND R. J. HEINE

From the Department of Medical Psychology, Department of Endocrinology and Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Research Institute for Endocrinology, Reproduction and Metabolism, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

ABSTRACT

Background. The Well-being Questionnaire (W-BQ) has been designed to measure psychological well-being in people with a chronic somatic illness and is recommended by the World Health Organization for widespread use. However, studies into the factor structure of this instrument are still limited and their findings are inconsistent. This study aimed to investigate the factor structure of the Dutch version of the W-BQ.

Methods. A cross-validation design was used. A total of 1472 people with diabetes completed the W-BQ and were randomly assigned to group A or B. In group A ($N = 736$), exploratory factor analyses were conducted. Group B ($N = 736$) was split up into four subgroups of male or female patients with type 1 or type 2 diabetes. In these subgroups, confirmatory factor analyses were employed to test the model(s) developed in group A and the two models described in the literature (four-factor model with 22 items and a three-factor model with 12 items).

Results. Exploratory factor analyses yielded a three-factor model with 21 items (negative well-being, energy and positive well-being). In the subgroups of group B confirmatory factor analyses only accepted the three-factor model with 12 items. This factor solution was stable across gender, type of diabetes and level of education.

Conclusions. The best description of the factor structure of the Dutch translation of the W-BQ was given by a three-factor solution with 12 items (W-BQ12), measuring positive well-being (four items), negative well-being (four items) and energy (four items).

INTRODUCTION

Measuring psychological well-being in patients with physical illness such as diabetes mellitus has received increasing attention in the past years. Substantial research suggests that the prevalence of depression and anxiety is about three times higher in people with diabetes when compared with the general population (Gavard *et al.* 1993; Peyrot & Rubin, 1997). Depression and anxiety interfere considerably with the quality of life of patients with diabetes and are likely to have a negative effect on their

glycaemic control, thereby increasing the risk for complications of diabetes (Rubin & Peyrot, 1992; Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group, 1993; Lustman *et al.* 1998). It was also found that physicians underdiagnosed depression in 50–70% of the cases (Coyne *et al.* 1995; Penn *et al.* 1997). Therefore, it is advised to monitor psychological well-being as a part of the routine medical care for people with diabetes and to use the Well-being Questionnaire (W-BQ) for this purpose (Bradley & Gamsu, 1994).

The W-BQ was developed as a generic measure of several aspects of psychological well-being. Since the W-BQ contains no diabetes specific questions, it is also considered to be a suitable instrument for use in people with other chronic

¹ Address for correspondence: Dr François Pouwer, Department of Medical Psychology, Vrije Universiteit, Van der Boechorststraat 7, 1081 BT, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

diseases or in the general population (Bradley, 1994). To date, it has been used in samples consisting of people with diabetes, rheumatoid arthritis, schizophrenia and depression (Pincus *et al.* 1997).

In the development of the W-BQ, items pertaining to physical indicators of depression or distress (e.g. decreased libido or weight loss) were not included, since these symptoms can also be a sign of complications of diabetes or indicate hyper- or hypoglycaemia. Bradley (1994) described that a general well-being scale (22 items) and four subscales can be constructed: Depression (six items), Anxiety (six items), Energy (four items) and Positive Well-being (six items). The items of the Depression and the Anxiety subscales of the W-BQ stem from Zung's Self-rating Depression Scale (SDS) and Self-rating Anxiety Scale (SAS). Zung used diagnostic criteria of pervasive depressed affect and anxiety disorder in the construction of these questionnaires (Zung, 1965, 1974; Warr & Parry, 1982; Warr *et al.* 1985). Yet, the W-BQ scales are not identical to the scales developed by Zung or Warr and colleagues, since the wording of three items was changed (e.g. 'My mind is as clear as it used to be' was changed into 'I find I can think quite clearly'). Besides, the response options were altered for undescribed reasons from a Likert scale consisting of '1' (a little of the time), '2' (some of the time), '3' (good part of the time) and '4' (most of the time) into a Likert scale ranging from '3' (all the time) to '0' (not at all). In the latter scale the response options '2' and '1' have no value labels. The items of the Energy as well as the Positive Well-being scale do not have a clear theoretical background; these items were developed after discussions with diabetologists and psychologists at a WHO-meeting (Bradley, 1994).

It was concluded that Bradley's four-factor structure was confirmed, except for the Energy scale (Wredling *et al.* 1995). Exploratory factor analyses in a Japanese sample of 464 patients with diabetes yielded a 12-item three-factor solution (Bradley, 1996), measuring Negative Well-being (four items), Energy (four items) and Positive Well-being (four items). The W-BQ was also regarded as a uni-dimensional scale that could be reduced to a 10-item scale (Bech *et al.* 1996). It was concluded that this WHO (Ten)

index was a sufficient measure to assess overall well-being, that was not intended to replace the four Bradley scales (Bech *et al.* 1996).

We conclude that the factor structure of the W-BQ has received only limited empirical assessment, with contradictory results. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to analyse the factor structure of the Dutch version of the W-BQ.

METHOD

Subjects and procedure

The Dutch Organization of Patients with Diabetes (DVN, Diabetes Vereniging Nederland) has drawn a random sample of 3000 subjects from a total of approximately 41 000 members. The DVN mailed the booklet of questionnaires, two letters explaining the goals and procedures, and a pre-stamped response-envelope to the selected patients. Patients were invited to complete questions concerning demographic and medical characteristics as well as a set of questionnaires, including the Dutch version of the W-BQ (Pouwer *et al.* 1998). A cross-validation design was used. The total sample of 1472 (49%) patients who responded, was randomly divided into group A ($N = 736$) and B ($N = 736$). In group A, the factor structure of the W-BQ was investigated using exploratory factor analyses (EFA). Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) allows a more powerful test of factorial validity than exploratory approaches do (Byrne, 1994). Several studies have found gender differences in psychological well-being (e.g. Bradley, 1994; Wilhelm *et al.* 1997). Also, type 1 and type 2 diabetes are two different diseases. Patients who were younger than 40 years when their diabetes was diagnosed and had to use insulin from the start were regarded as patients with type 1 diabetes. Those who did not meet these criteria were considered as having type 2 diabetes. In order to investigate the stability of different factor solutions for the W-BQ across gender and type of diabetes, CFAs were performed in four different subgroups of group B. Group B₁ consisted of 187 men with type 1 diabetes, group B₂ of 167 women with type 1 diabetes, group B₃ of 169 men with type 2 diabetes and group B₄ of 196 women with type 2 diabetes. The fit of the following models was

tested: the four-factor model with 22 items (Bradley, 1994), the three-factor model with 12 items (Bradley, 1996) and the model(s) developed in group A.

Statistics

SPSS 7.5 and EQS 5.1 for Windows were used to perform EFA and CFA respectively (Bentler, 1989; SPSS Inc., 1997). Items loading $\geq |0.40|$ on one factor and $< |0.30|$ on any other factor were accepted (Floyd & Widaman, 1995). Since the W-BQ factors are highly correlated (Bradley, 1994), EFA with oblique rotation (direct oblimin) was used (Floyd & Widaman, 1995). For CFA, maximum likelihood (ML) estimation method was used (Byrne, 1994). The distribution of the W-BQ is multivariately non-normal (Pettersson *et al.* 1998). Therefore, the Robust Comparative Fit Index (CFI*) was used as the most appropriate measure to evaluate the fit of the models to the data (West *et al.* 1995). A CFI* ≥ 0.90 is generally considered as an indication of adequate fit of a model. The ML χ^2 and the robust S-B scaled χ^2 were also calculated (West *et al.* 1995). Yet, both fit indexes have an important disadvantage. With a large sample size they are often too powerful. In that case, trivial deviations of the observed covariance and the estimated covariance on the basis of the model often lead to a significant χ^2 and incorrect rejection of the model (Byrne, 1994). Therefore, we relied on the CFI*, a fit-index that is based on the robust scaled S-B χ^2 . In the EFAs we used the pairwise method to handle missing values. In the CFAs, cases with more $> 10\%$ missing values were deleted since the EQS-program cannot run with missing values. A maximum of two missing values was estimated by calculating the mean for that subject, using the remaining items of the same scale described in the appropriate model.

RESULTS

Sample characteristics

Sample characteristics are shown in Table 1. Mean age was 51 (s.d. 15.5) years, ranging from 18 to 82 years. It appeared that 739 patients (51%) had type 1 diabetes, and 701 (49%) had type 2 diabetes. Due to missing data, the type of diabetes of 32 patients could not be determined.

Table 1. *Self-reported sample characteristics*

Variable	% (N)
Gender	
Female	49 (722)
Male	51 (747)
Marital status	
Married/cohabit	75 (1103)
Not married	15 (218)
Widowed	7 (97)
Divorced	3 (48)
Age (years)	
< 30	12 (168)
30-39	15 (222)
40-49	16 (232)
50-59	21 (312)
60-69	24 (347)
≥ 70	12 (179)
Highest completed education	
Primary school	12 (171)
Lower vocational	19 (259)
General secondary	20 (277)
Senior secondary/Senior general secondary	26 (363)
Higher vocational/University	23 (318)
Duration of diabetes (years)	
0-5	20 (294)
6-10	18 (262)
11-19	30 (432)
20-29	18 (256)
≥ 30	14 (204)

Most subjects were born in The Netherlands (96%). From *t* and χ^2 tests no significant differences were found between group A and B concerning age, duration of diabetes, age of onset of diabetes, gender, type of diabetes, marital status or education.

In the whole sample, 67 subjects (4.6%) had one or two missing values and 55 subjects had more than two missing values (3.7%). For the 22 individual W-BQ items, the percentages of subjects with a missing value ranged from 2.9% for item 17 (happy) to 4.2% for item 7 (feel nervous) and item 10 (feel like I'm falling apart). In group B₃ as well as B₄ seven cases had $> 10\%$ missing values and were therefore removed from the CFAs. In group B₁ and B₂ none of the subjects had $> 10\%$ missing values.

Exploratory factor analyses in group A

In group A, EFA revealed four factors with an eigenvalue > 1 . The first four eigenvalues before rotation were 9.2, 2.0, 1.2 and 1.0 (Table 2). Three forced factor solutions with respectively four, three and two factors were calculated. The

Table 2. Forced two-, three- and four-factor solution after exploratory factor analyses of the 22 *W-BQ* items in group A (N = 736). Oblimin rotation was used, loadings < |0.30| are not shown and loadings < |0.40| are in parentheses

Item number	Shortened item content	Factor solutions								
		Dutch 2F20		Dutch 3F21			Dutch 4F22			
		Positive Well-being	Negative Well-being	Positive Well-being	Negative Well-being	Energy	Positive Well-being	Negative Well-being	Energy	Calmness
Depression*										
1	Useful and needed	0.75		0.76			0.75			
2	Crying spells		0.71 ^{NWB}		0.65 ^{NWB}			0.67 ^{NWB}		
3	Think clearly	0.59		0.59			0.56			
4	Life pretty full	0.80		0.80			0.79			
5	Downhearted and blue		0.69 ^{NWB}		0.60 ^{NWB}			0.63 ^{NWB}		
6	Enjoy things	0.74		0.74			0.72			
Anxiety*										
7	Nervous and anxious		0.77		0.72			0.72		
8	Afraid for no reason		0.87 ^{NWB}		0.89 ^{NWB}			0.88 ^{NWB}		
9	Upset or feel panicky		0.81 ^{NWB}		0.81 ^{NWB}			0.80 ^{NWB}		
10	Falling apart		0.72		0.74			0.74		
11	Calm and can sit still			(0.33)						0.66
12	Good night's rest					-0.52			(-0.34)	0.73
Energy*										
13	Energetic	0.64 ^E				-0.71 ^E	(0.35)		-0.64 ^E	
14	Dull		0.42 ^E			0.79 ^E			0.73 ^E	
15	Tired		0.55 ^E			0.81 ^E			0.74 ^E	
16	Fresh and rested	0.57 ^E				-0.69 ^E			-0.57 ^E	(0.34) ^E
Positive well-being*										
17	Happy with life	0.73 ^{PWB}		0.71 ^{PWB}			0.72 ^{PWB}			
18	Well adjusted to life	0.76		0.71			0.73			
19	Live life I want to	0.68 ^{PWB}		0.62 ^{PWB}			0.64 ^{PWB}			
20	Tackle daily tasks	0.61 ^{PWB}		0.46 ^{PWB}			0.53 ^{PWB}		-0.31 ^{PWB}	
21	Cope with problems	0.61 ^{PWB}		0.54 ^{PWB}			0.55 ^{PWB}			
22	Daily life interesting	0.83		0.75			0.78			
	Eigenvalue before rotation	9.2	2.0	9.2	2.0	1.2	9.2	2.0	1.2	1.0
	% Variance before rotation	41.8	8.9	41.8	8.9	5.7	41.8	8.9	5.7	4.7
	Eigenvalue after rotation	8.2	6.9	7.5	6.2	6.2	7.5	6.2	4.9	2.3

* The four-factor structure as described by Bradley (1994). The factor-loadings of the 12 items of the Japanese three-factor solution are labelled with an abbreviation of the name of the scale they were assigned to by Bradley (1996): Negative Well-being (NWB); Energy (E); Positive Well-being (PWB).

two-factor structure consisted of 20 items, with both factors accounting for 41.8% and 8.9% of the total item variance before rotation. Twelve positively worded items loaded on the first factor (Positive Well-being) and eight negatively worded items on the second factor (Negative Well-being). Item 11 (feel calm) and 12 (good night's rest) did not load $\geq |0.40|$ on one of the factors of this two-factor solution that was named 'Dutch 2F20'.

The present three-factor solution was very similar to the three-factor solution with 12 items described in the literature (Bradley, 1996). The

first factor of this solution consisted of ten positively worded well-being items (Positive Well-being), the second factor of six negatively worded well-being items (Negative Well-being). The third factor was composed of five items concerning energy or fatigue (Energy). The three factors explained 41.8%, 8.9% and 5.7% of the variance respectively. Item 11 (feel calm) did not load $\geq |0.40|$ on one of the factors and was removed from that three-factor solution that was named 'Dutch 3F21'. In the four-factor solution, the items loaded in a way that was almost identical to the Dutch 3F21. The main

Table 3. Evaluation of the three prespecified factor solutions for the W-BQ in group B₁₋₄

Model	Group [†]	N	ML χ^2 (df)	P	S-B χ^2	P	CFI*
Bradley 4F-22	B ₁	187	455 (203)	< 0.001	322	< 0.001	0.89
Bradley 4F-22	B ₂	167	512 (203)	< 0.001	387	< 0.001	0.86
Bradley 4F-22	B ₃	162	544 (203)	< 0.001	412	< 0.001	0.84
Bradley 4F-22	B ₄	189	500 (203)	< 0.001	408	< 0.001	0.87
Bradley 3F-12	B ₁	187	148 (50)	< 0.001	100	< 0.001	0.91
Bradley 3F-12	B ₂	167	167 (50)	< 0.001	91	< 0.001	0.94
Bradley 3F-12	B ₃	162	97 (50)	< 0.001	70	< 0.001	0.97
Bradley 3F-12	B ₄	189	173 (49)	< 0.001	107	< 0.001	0.93 [‡]
Dutch 3F-21	B ₁	187	491 (186)	< 0.001	323	< 0.001	0.86
Dutch 3F-21	B ₂	167	524 (186)	< 0.001	369	< 0.001	0.86
Dutch 3F-21	B ₃	162	462 (186)	< 0.001	351	< 0.001	0.87
Dutch 3F-21	B ₄	189	511 (186)	< 0.001	409	< 0.001	0.85

ML χ^2 , maximum likelihood chi-square; S-B χ^2 , Satorra-Bentler scaled chi-square for non-normal distributed data; CFI*, Robust Comparative Fit Index based on the S-B χ^2 .

[†] B₁ (men, type 1 diabetes), B₂ (women, type 1 diabetes), B₃ (men, type 2 diabetes) and B₄ (women, type 2 diabetes).

[‡] With correlated error between item 14 (dull) and 15 (tired).

difference was an extra two-item factor (Calmness), consisting of items 11 and 12, which explained an additional 4.7% of the variance.

In the three- as well as the four-factor solution, the items with an Energy-content had high loadings on one Energy-factor. In the two-factor solution, the 'Energy-items' had relatively low factor loadings and dispersed across two factors. Therefore, we considered this two-factor solution as too simplistic and we decided not to test this model in group B. The four-factor solution was also considered as less appropriate, since it is generally accepted that scales should contain at least three items (Floyd & Widaman, 1995). Thus, only the newly found three-factor model with 21 items (Dutch 3F21) was compared with the two models from the literature in group B₁₋₄.

Confirmatory factor analyses in group B₁₋₄

In group B₁₋₄, we tested three models using CFA (Table 3). To be clear, the original four-factor solution with 22 items is mentioned 'Bradley 4F22' while the three-factor solution with 12 items (W-BQ12) is referred to as 'Bradley 3F12' in the present article. The Bradley 4F22 and the Dutch 3F21 solutions appeared to have an inadequate fit in all four subgroups, with CFI*s < 0.90. It was shown that the Bradley 3F12 solution had an adequate fit in group B₁₋₃, and a close to adequate CFI* of 0.89 in group B₄ (women with type 2 diabetes). A relatively large standardized residual of 0.22 was found for items 14 (dull) and 15 (tired) in group B₄.

Therefore, we assumed correlation between the error-terms of these items, resulting in an adequate fit of the model (CFI* = 0.93). The correlation between both error-terms was 0.52. The factor loadings of the Bradley 3F12 model were all high, ranging from |0.49| to |0.85| (Table 4). The correlations between Negative Well-being and Energy were in group B₁₋₄ -0.63, -0.56, -0.63 and -0.58 respectively. The correlations between Negative Well-being and Positive Well-being were -0.71, -0.66, -0.063 and -0.56 and the correlations between Energy and Positive Well-being were 0.83, 0.68, 0.66 and 0.84 for the groups B₁₋₄ respectively.

The present sample had a relatively high level of education. Therefore, it was investigated whether the Bradley 3F12 model was stable across different levels of education. The fit of this model was tested separately for all five levels of education (Table 1). For people with general, senior (general) secondary education or higher vocational/university the Bradley 3F12 model was accepted, with CFI*s of 0.93, 0.90 and 0.94 respectively. For the group of patients who only completed primary school and the group of patients who completed lower vocational education, the model was rejected (CFI* was 0.89 and 0.88 respectively). However, in both groups the CFI* could be improved to 0.92 when correlation was assumed between the error-terms of items 14 and 15. The correlation between the error-terms of items 14 and 15 was 0.48 for the primary education group and 0.53 for the group of patients who completed lower vocational education (results not shown).

Table 4. Final factor loadings after confirmatory factor analyses of the three-factor model with 12 items, in four homogenous groups of patients with diabetes

Shortened item content	Negative Well-being				Energy				Positive Well-being			
	B ₁	B ₂	B ₃	B ₄	B ₁	B ₂	B ₃	B ₄	B ₁	B ₂	B ₃	B ₄
Crying spells	0.49	0.74	0.74	0.57								
Downhearted and blue	0.77	0.71	0.80	0.72								
Afraid for no reason	0.63	0.72	0.82	0.73								
Upset or feel panicky	0.64	0.78	0.69	0.80								
Energetic					0.74	0.78	0.73	0.81*				
Dull					-0.74	-0.68	-0.76	-0.61*				
Tired					-0.72	-0.71	-0.72	-0.50*				
Fresh and rested					0.65	0.72	0.64	0.66*				
Happy with life									0.76	0.77	0.80	0.85
Live life I want to									0.82	0.78	0.75	0.79
Tackle daily tasks									0.63	0.67	0.65	0.68
Cope with problems									0.69	0.71	0.67	0.67

B₁, 187 men with type 1 diabetes; B₂, 167 women with type 1 diabetes; B₃, 162 men with type 2 diabetes; B₄, 189 women with type 2 diabetes.
* With correlated error between item 14 (dull) and 15 (tired).

DISCUSSION

The aim of the present study was to investigate the factor structure of the Dutch version of the W-BQ. In a two-factor solution, positively worded items loaded on the first factor (positive well-being) and negatively worded items loaded on the second factor (negative well-being). Factor analyses of other balanced questionnaires such as the State Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) and Zung's Self-rating Depression Scale (SDS) consistently seem to result in components reflecting the semantic modes of item presentation (Mook *et al.* 1991; Schotte *et al.* 1996).

The results of the three- and the four-factor solution supported the Bradley 3F12 model, since all the 12 items loaded in line with the findings of Bradley (1996). Using the CFI* as the most appropriate index of model-fit, CFAs in four subgroups provided further evidence for the Bradley 3F12 model. In the CFAs in group B₄ (women with type 2 diabetes) and also in the two groups with the lowest level of education, the fit-index could be improved by freeing the errors of items 14 (dull) and 15 (tired). Error terms in a confirmatory factor analysis can be considered as factors unique to the measurement of each item in a questionnaire. The items 14 (dull) and 15 (tired) shared variance that happened not to be shared with items 13 (energetic) and 16 (fresh and rested). This finding can be explained by the wording of the items.

Item 14 and 15 are both negatively worded, while items 13 and 16 are formulated in a positive way.

The results of the EFAs and the CFAs did not support the widely used Bradley 4F22 model. This finding can be explained by the fact that the Bradley 4F22 model is based on EFAs that were performed with subgroups of 6–10 W-BQ items that corresponded with the *a priori* content of these items (Bradley *et al.* 1992). Yet all 22 items need to be a factor analysed simultaneously before conclusions can be drawn about the factor structure of the W-BQ as a whole. It was concluded that the Bradley 4F22 structure was confirmed, except for Energy (Wredling *et al.* 1995). This conclusion can be doubted since two depression and two anxiety items did not have significant factor loadings ($> |0.30|$) on their *a priori* scale (Wredling *et al.* 1995). Ergo, the Bradley 4F22 structure is not based on convincing evidence and has not been replicated in other research yet. Based on the results of the present study, we recommend not using the Bradley 4F22 model in scoring the Dutch version of the W-BQ in patients with diabetes. For non-Dutch versions of the W-BQ, the factorial validity of the Bradley 4F22 model and also the Bradley 3F12 model still need to be tested. Future research is also needed to test if both models can be replicated in groups of other patients. Pincus *et al.* (1997) found that the Bradley 4F22 model was not suitable for use in people with rheumatoid arthritis and concluded

a two-factor model, composed of Positive well-being (six items) and Negative well-being (three items). Unfortunately, the Bradley 3F12 model was not tested in this study. In balanced scales that are constructed with both negatively worded and positively worded questions, the items that are in the opposite direction are usually recorded (e.g. '3' meaning 'all the time' becomes '0' meaning 'not at all'). But, responding that one is not at all tired, used up, worn out or exhausted, is not necessarily equivalent to feeling energetic all the time. Research in personal affective structure underlying self-report measures of affect suggests that positive and negative affect are two unipolar and largely independent dimensions (Schotte *et al.* 1996). The loss of the experience of positive well-being may precede the emergence of more negative states of mood (Watson *et al.* 1988). This implies that the factorial validity of the 12-item W-BQ might even be improved by adding new items with an energy content and also new items with a fatigue content, in order to construct an Energy scale and a Fatigue scale. This can be accomplished by splitting up the content of the four current double-barrelled Energy items. For example item 15 (I feel tired, worn out, used up, or exhausted) can easily be used to develop four negatively worded fatigue-items: 'I feel tired', 'I feel worn out', 'I feel used up' and 'I feel exhausted'. Using the current four Energy items, six new fatigue items and five new energy items can be developed. Future analyses are needed to study if the factorial validity of the W-BQ can be improved by rewriting the Energy items in this way.

Further research is also needed to investigate the clinical utility of the 12-item W-BQ as a screening instrument for depression and anxiety, in different groups of patients with a chronic disease as well as in the general population.

In conclusion, the results of the present study provide strong evidence for the three-factor structure with 12 items (W-BQ12), measuring positive well-being, energy and negative well-being. In a large sample of Dutch people with diabetes, this 12-item three-factor solution appeared to be stable across gender, type of diabetes and level of education.

The Diabetes Fund Netherlands (DFN) financially support this research (project number 95.805). The

authors also wish to express their gratitude to the board and the members of the Dutch Organization of Patients with Diabetes (DVN, Diabetes Vereniging Nederland) for their kind cooperation in this study.

REFERENCES

- Bech, P., Gudex, C. & Staehr Johansen, K. (1996). The WHO (Ten) Well-being Index: validation in diabetes. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics* **65**, 183–190.
- Bentler, P. M. (1989). *EQS. Structural Equations Program Manual*: BMDP Statistical Software: Los Angeles.
- Bradley, C. (1994). The Well-being Questionnaire (W-BQ). In *Handbook of Psychology and Diabetes: a Guide to Psychological Measurement in Diabetes Research and Practice* (ed. C. Bradley), pp. 89–109. Harwood Academic Publishers: Chur, Switzerland.
- Bradley C. (1996). *Well-being Questionnaire (W-BQ): Translation and Development of a Japanese Version, the W-BQ12 (Japanese)*. Report to M. Wada, Eli Lilly: Japan KK.
- Bradley, C. & Lewis, K. S. (1990). Measures of psychological well-being and treatment satisfaction developed from the responses of people with tablet-treated diabetes. *Diabetic Medicine* **7**, 445–451.
- Bradley, C. & Gamsu, D. S. (1994). Guidelines for encouraging psychological well-being: report of a working group of the World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe and International Diabetes Federation European Region St Vincent Declaration Action Programme for Diabetes. *Diabetic Medicine* **11**, 510–516.
- Bradley, C., Meadows, K. & Sowden, A. J. (1992). *General Well-being and Satisfaction with Treatment Scales for Use with People with Insulin-Requiring Diabetes. Part 1: Psychometric Development and Retranslation of the English, French and German Versions*. Report to Kirsten Staehr Johansen, WHO: Geneva.
- Byrne, B. M. (1994). *Structural Equation Modeling with EQS and EQS/Windows. Basic Concepts, Applications, and Programming*. Sage Publications: California.
- Coyne, J. C., Schwenk, T. L. & Fechner-Bates, S. (1995). Non-detection of depression by primary care physicians reconsidered. *General Hospital Psychiatry* **17**, 3–12.
- Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group (1993). The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *New England Journal of Medicine* **329**, 977–986.
- Floyd, F. J. & Widaman, K. F. (1995). Factor analysis in the development and refinement of clinical assessment instruments. *Psychological Assessment* **7**, 286–299.
- Gavard, J. A., Lustman, P. J. & Clouse, R. E. (1993). Prevalence of depression in adults with diabetes: an epidemiological evaluation. *Diabetes Care* **16**, 1167–1178.
- Lustman, P. J., Griffith, L. S., Freedland, K. E., Kissel, S. S. & Clouse, R. E. (1998). Cognitive behavior therapy for depression in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Annals of Internal Medicine* **129**, 613–621.
- Mook, J., Kleijn, W. Chr. & Van der Ploeg, H. M. (1991). Symptom positively- and negatively-worded items in two popular self-report inventories of anxiety and depression. *Psychological Reports* **69**, 551–560.
- Penn, J. V., Boland, R., McCartney, J. R., Kohn, R. & Mulvey, T. (1997). Recognition and treatment of depressive disorders by internal medicine attendings and housestaff. *General Hospital Psychiatry* **19**, 179–184.
- Pettersson, T., Lee, P., Hollis, S., Young, B., Newton, P. & Dornan, T. (1998). Well-being and treatment satisfaction in older people with diabetes. *Diabetes Care* **21**, 930–935.
- Peyrot, M. & Rubin, R. R. (1997). Levels and risks of depression and anxiety symptomatology among diabetic adults. *Diabetes Care* **20**, 585–590.
- Pincus, T., Griffiths, J., Isenberg, D. & Pearce, S. (1997). The Well-being Questionnaire: testing the structure in groups with rheumatoid arthritis. *British Journal of Health Psychology* **2**, 167–174.
- Pouwer, F., Snoek, F. J., Van der Ploeg, H. M., Heine, R. J. &

- Brand, A. N. (1998). A comparison of the standard and the computerised versions of the Well-being Questionnaire (WBQ) and the Diabetes Treatment Satisfaction Questionnaire (DTSQ). *Quality of Life Research* **7**, 33–38.
- Rubin, R. R. & Peyrot, M. (1992). Psychosocial problems and interventions in diabetes. A review of the literature. *Diabetes Care* **15**, 1640–1657.
- Schotte, C. K. W., Maes, M., Cluydts, R. & Cosyns, P. (1996). Effects of affective-semantic mode of item presentation in balanced self-report scales: biased construct validity of the Zung Self-rating Depression Scale. *Psychological Medicine* **26**, 1161–1168.
- SPSS Inc. (1997). *SPSS Base 7.5 for Windows Users Guide*. SPSS: Chicago.
- Warr, P. & Parry, G. (1982). Depressed mood in working-class mothers with and without paid employment. *Social Psychiatry* **17**, 161–165.
- Warr, P., Banks, M. & Ullah, P. (1985). The experience of unemployment among black and white urban teenagers. *British Journal of Psychology* **76**, 75–87.
- Watson, D., Clark, L. A. & Carey, G. (1988). Positive and negative affectivity and their relation to anxiety and depressive disorders. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* **97**, 346–353.
- West, S. G., Finch, J. F. & Curran, P. J. (1995). Structural equation models with nonnormal variables. Problems and remedies. In *Structural Equation Modeling. Concepts, Issues and Applications* (ed. R. Hoyle), pp. 56–75. Sage Publications Inc.: California.
- Wilhelm, K., Parker, G. & Hadzi-Pavlovic, D. (1997). Fifteen years on: evolving ideas in researching sex differences in depression. *Psychological Medicine* **27**, 875–883.
- Wredling, R., Stålhammer, J., Adamson, U., Berne, C., Larsson, Y. & Östman, J. (1995). Well-being and treatment satisfaction in adults with diabetes: a Swedish population-based study. *Quality of Life Research* **4**, 515–522.
- Zung, W. W. K. (1965). A self-rating depression scale. *Archives of General Psychiatry* **12**, 63–70.
- Zung, W. W. K. (1974). The measurement of affects: depression and anxiety. In *Psychological Measurements in Psychopharmacology* (ed. P. Pichot and R. Oliver-Martin), pp. 170–188. Karger: Basel.