Tilburg University

Why mindfulness sustains performance

Kroon, B.; Menting, C.; van Woerkom, M.

Published in:
Industrial and Organizational Psychology

DOI:
[10.1017/iop.2015.92](https://doi.org/10.1017/iop.2015.92)

Publication date:
2015

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication in Tilburg University Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Kroon, B., Menting, C., & van Woerkom, M. (2015). Why mindfulness sustains performance: The role of personal and job resources. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 8(4), 638-642. <https://doi.org/10.1017/iop.2015.92>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

The Americas Branch
32 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10013-2473
Tel 212 337-5020
Fax 212 337-5959
E-mail kdaly@cambridge.org

Dear Contributor,

Enclosed please find page proofs for your article scheduled to be published in *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*. Please follow these procedures:

Please list the corrections in an email, citing page number, paragraph number, and line number, or send as an annotated PDF file. Send the corrections to Melissa Shella (artisanwordsediting@gmail.com), cc'ing Kim Daly (kdaly@cambridge.org) and Jen Baker (jbaker@siop.org). Email is strongly preferred but you may also fax corrected hardcopy pages as soon as possible (no later than 48 hours after receipt) to **212-337-5959**.

You are responsible for correcting your proofs. Errors not found may appear in the published journal.

The proof is sent to you for correction of typographical errors only. Revision of the substance of the text is not permitted, unless discussed with the editor of the journal. Corrections that do not follow the journal style will not be accepted.

Please answer carefully any queries raised from the typesetter.

A new copy of a figure must be provided if a correction is required - please email this in EPS or TIFF format to artisanwordsediting@gmail.com.

To order reprints or offprints of your article or a printed copy of the issue, please visit the Cambridge University Reprint Order Center online at: www.sheridan.com/cup/eoc

Please note that delay in returning your proofs may require publication without your corrections.

Thank you for your prompt attention to these proofs. Please contact me if you have any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Kim Daly
Production Editor
Cambridge University Press
32 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10013-2473
Phone: 212-337-5020
Fax: 212-337-5959
Email: kdaly@cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS	Please read the notes overleaf and then complete, sign, and return this form to the Production Editor as soon as possible	Kim Daly Production Editor Cambridge University Press Journals Department 32 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10013 USA kdaly@cambridge.org (f) 212-337-5959
--	---	--

Transfer of Copyright Agreement — *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*

In consideration of the publication in *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*

of the contribution entitled:

by (all authors' names):

1 To be filled in if copyright belongs to you Transfer of copyright

I/we hereby assign to the Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology, full copyright in all formats and media in the said contribution, including in any supplementary materials that I/we may author in support of the online version.

I/we warrant that I am/we are the sole owner or co-owners of the contribution and have full power to make this agreement, and that the contribution does not contain any libelous matter or infringe any existing copyright.

I/we further warrant that permission has been obtained from the copyright holder for any material not in my/our copyright including any audio and video material, that the appropriate acknowledgement has been made to the original source, and that in the case of audio or video material appropriate releases have been obtained from persons whose voices or likenesses are represented therein. I/we attach copies of all permission and release correspondence.

I/we hereby assert my/our moral rights in accordance with the UK Copyrights Designs and Patents Act (1988).

Signed (tick one) ☐ the sole author(s)

☐ one author authorized to execute this transfer on behalf of all the authors of the above article

Name (block letters)

Institution/Company

Signature: Date:

(Additional authors should provide this information on a separate sheet.)

2 To be filled in if copyright does not belong to you

a Name and address of copyright holder

.....

.....

b The copyright holder hereby grants to Cambridge University Press the non-exclusive right to publish the contribution in the journal and to deal with requests from third parties in the manner specified in paragraphs 4 and 5 overleaf.

(Signature of copyright holder or authorized agent)

3 US Government exemption

I/we certify that the paper above was written in the course of employment by the United States Government so that no copyright exists.

Signature: Name (Block letters):

4 Requests received by Cambridge University Press for permission to reprint this article should be sent to (see para. 4 overleaf)

Name and address (block letters)

.....

Notes for contributors

- 1 The Journal's policy is to acquire copyright in all contributions. There are two reasons for this: (a) ownership of copyright by one central organization tends to ensure maximum international protection against unauthorized use; (b) it also ensures that requests by third parties to reprint or reproduce a contribution, or part of it, are handled efficiently and in accordance with a general policy that is sensitive both to any relevant changes in international copyright legislation and to the general desirability of encouraging the dissemination of knowledge.
- 2 Two 'moral rights' were conferred on authors by the UK Copyright Act in 1988. In the UK an author's 'right of paternity', the right to be properly credited whenever the work is published (or performed or broadcast), requires that this right is asserted in writing.
- 3 Notwithstanding the assignment of copyright in their contribution, all contributors retain the following **non-transferable** rights:
 - The right to post *either* their own version of their contribution as submitted to the journal (prior to revision arising from peer review and prior to editorial input by Cambridge University Press) *or* their own final version of their contribution as accepted for publication (subsequent to revision arising from peer review but still prior to editorial input by Cambridge University Press) on their **personal or departmental web page**, or in the **Institutional Repository** of the institution in which they worked at the time the paper was first submitted, or (for appropriate journals) in PubMedCentral, provided the posting is accompanied by a prominent statement that the paper has been accepted for publication and will appear in a revised form, subsequent to peer review and/or editorial input by Cambridge University Press, in *Industrial and Organizational Psychology* published by Cambridge University Press, together with a copyright notice in the name of the copyright holder (Cambridge University Press or the sponsoring Society, as appropriate). On publication the full bibliographical details of the paper (volume: issue number (date), page numbers) must be inserted after the journal title, along with a link to the Cambridge website address for the journal. Inclusion of this version of the paper in Institutional Repositories outside of the institution in which the contributor worked at the time the paper was first submitted will be subject to the additional permission of Cambridge University Press (not to be unreasonably withheld).
 - The right to post the definitive version of the contribution as published at Cambridge Journals Online (in PDF or HTML form) on their **personal or departmental web page**, no sooner than upon its appearance at Cambridge Journals Online, subject to file availability and provided the posting includes a prominent statement of the full bibliographical details, a copyright notice in the name of the copyright holder (Cambridge University Press or the sponsoring Society, as appropriate), and a link to the online edition of the journal at Cambridge Journals Online.
 - The right to post the definitive version of the contribution as published at Cambridge Journals Online (in PDF or HTML form) in the **Institutional Repository** of the institution in which they worked at the time the paper was first submitted, or (for appropriate journals) in PubMedCentral, no sooner than **one year** after first publication of the paper in the journal, subject to file availability and provided the posting includes a prominent statement of the full bibliographical details, a copyright notice in the name of the copyright holder (Cambridge University Press or the sponsoring Society, as appropriate), and a link to the online edition of the journal at Cambridge Journals Online. Inclusion of this definitive version after one year in Institutional Repositories outside of the institution in which the contributor worked at the time the paper was first submitted will be subject to the additional permission of Cambridge University Press (not to be unreasonably withheld).
 - The right to make hard copies of the contribution or an adapted version for their own purposes, including the right to make multiple copies for course use by their students, provided no sale is involved.
 - The right to reproduce the paper or an adapted version of it in any volume of which they are editor or author. Permission will automatically be given to the publisher of such a volume, subject to normal acknowledgement.
- 4 We shall use our best endeavors to ensure that any direct request we receive to reproduce your contribution or a substantial part of it in another publication (which may be an electronic publication) is approved by you before permission is given.
- 5 Cambridge University Press co-operates in various licensing schemes that allow material to be photocopied within agreed restraints (e.g. the CCC in the USA and the CLA in the UK). Any proceeds received from such licenses, together with any proceeds from sales of subsidiary rights in the Journal, directly support its continuing publication.
- 6 It is understood that in some cases copyright will be held by the contributor's employer. If so, Cambridge University Press requires non-exclusive permission to deal with requests from third parties, on the understanding that any requests it receives from third parties will be handled in accordance with paragraphs 4 and 5 above (note that your approval and not that of your employer will be sought for the proposed use).
- 7 Permission to include material not in your copyright

If your contribution includes textual or illustrative material not in your copyright and not covered by fair use / fair dealing, permission must be obtained from the relevant copyright owner (usually the publisher or via the publisher) for the non-exclusive right to reproduce the material worldwide in all forms and media, including electronic publication. The relevant permission correspondence should be attached to this form.

If you are in doubt about whether or not permission is required, please consult the Permissions Controller, Cambridge University Press, 32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10013-2473 USA. Email: manderson@cambridge.org.

The information provided on this form will be held in perpetuity for record purposes. The name(s) and address(es) of the author(s) of the contribution may be reproduced in the journal and provided to print and online indexing and abstracting services and bibliographic databases.

Please make a duplicate of this form for your own records

Author queries:

Q1. The distinction between surnames can be ambiguous, therefore to ensure accurate tagging for indexing purposes online (eg for PubMed entries), please check that the highlighted surnames have been correctly identified, that all names are in the correct order and spelt correctly.

Typesetter queries:

Non-printed material:

1 Commentary

2 **Why Mindfulness Sustains Performance: The**
3 **Role of Personal and Job Resources**

Q1 4 Brigitte Kroon, Charlotte Menting, and Marianne van Woerkom
5 *Tilburg University*

6 Building on the focal article by Hyland, Lee, and Mills (2015), we propose
7 conservation of resources (COR) theory (Hobfoll, 1989) as a framework that
8 may explain why mindfulness contributes to work motivation and perfor-
9 mance in an organizational context. We argue that mindfulness is especially
10 beneficial in dynamic work contexts because it provides employees with a
11 personal resource that makes them more resilient to the loss of job resources
12 and more aware of alternative job resources in their changed work environ-
13 ment.

14 **Mindfulness, Motivation, and Performance**

15 Job performance is a multidimensional construct consisting of in-role and
16 extra-role performance. In-role performance concerns the proficiency with
17 which employees perform the formal tasks of their job roles. Extra-role
18 performance refers to the nonformalized aspects of a job that facilitate
19 how employees adapt to changing contexts and proactively initiate changes.
20 Adaptive and proactive performances are forms of extra-role performance
21 that are particularly important in changing work contexts (Griffin, Neal, &
22 Parker, 2007). To date, theory linking mindfulness to performance has fo-
23 cused on awareness processes that seem mainly beneficial to task-related
24 performance. Being attentive to stimuli in and outside the task reduces the
25 chance of errors, which will improve performance (Dane, 2011). Also, mind-
26 fulness facilitates the implementation of intentions into actions, enabling

Brigitte Kroon, Charlotte Menting, and Marianne van Woerkom, Department of Human Resource Studies, Tilburg School of Social and Behavioral Studies, Tilburg University, Tilburg, the Netherlands.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Brigitte Kroon, Department of Human Resource Studies, Tilburg School of Social and Behavioral Studies, Tilburg University, Room P1.143, 5000 LE Tilburg, the Netherlands. E-mail: b.kroon@tilburguniversity.edu

the achievement of work-related goals (Chatzisarantis & Hagger, 2007). Although a broad awareness of the environment may also account for the relationship between mindfulness and extra-role performance, we believe that additional theory is needed to substantiate this relationship. Because extra-role performance is not formally rewarded, it is more than task performance related to intrinsic, self-generated motivation. Below, we argue that mindfulness can be seen as a personal resource that contributes to the intrinsic motivation to perform both in-role and extra-role performance. A theory that supports this line of reasoning is COR theory.

Mindfulness as a Personal Resource

The availability of resources at work is crucial for the achievement of work goals, for coping with demands, and for personal development and learning (Demerouti, Bakker, Nachreiner, & Schaufeli, 2001). Job resources refer to all physical, psychological, social, and organizational aspects of the job (Demerouti et al., 2001) that facilitate the achievement of work goals and stimulate personal growth, learning, and development. However, employees are also able to build resources within themselves, such as optimism, self-efficacy, and confidence (Xanthopoulou, Bakker, Demerouti, & Schaufeli, 2007). These personal resources have in common that they refer to aspects of the self that are connected to resiliency and individuals' potential to adapt to their environment (Hobfoll, Johnson, Ennis, & Jackson, 2003). In a similar vein, mindfulness can be considered as a personal resource. A key element of mindfulness is acceptance of the present, which makes employees more resilient to a changing work environment by helping them accept their current level of resources and raise their awareness of alternative resources.

According to COR theory (Hobfoll, 1989) people are motivated to obtain and protect their resources because resources have an instrumental value for achieving work and personal goals. Resources enhance motivation by enabling the attainment of work goals (goal setting theory; Locke & Latham, 1990). Also, resources allow people to derive motivation from satisfying their basic psychological needs for relatedness, autonomy, and competence (Deci & Ryan, 2008). Moreover, resources have a symbolic value because people define their social status and self-identity based on the resources they have. A loss of job resources may reduce peoples' confidence and self-identity, making them vulnerable for depressed feelings and loss of personal resources (Hobfoll et al., 2003).

According to COR theory, people strive to actively maintain their level of resources because a loss of resources may bring about feelings of stress and burnout. However, because change is inherent to modern organizations, alterations in the availability of resources to employees are inevitable. Changes in job resources may take place in the organization (e.g., income, career

1 opportunities, job security), in interpersonal and social relations (e.g., leader
2 and coworker support), and in the design and organization of work (e.g.,
3 role clarity, autonomy). Instead of passively undergoing resource loss caused
4 by organizational change, employees will actively try to maintain their re-
5 sources by crafting their job tasks, their relations, and the meaning they at-
6 tach to their work (Wrzesniewski & Dutton, 2001). Personal resources can
7 be expected to play a role in how effective people are in crafting their job
8 resources.

9 Past research has concentrated on personal resources like confidence
10 and personal initiative. These resources are indisputably relevant to grasp-
11 ing and using resource opportunities provided in the work context. Mind-
12 fulness, however, offers an additional resource over and above these estab-
13 lished personal resources. Because mindfulness involves an open awareness
14 toward experiences in the present rather than the past or the future, mind-
15 ful employees may become aware of resources they might not have noticed
16 otherwise. Moreover, because mindful individuals are able to observe their
17 experiences without judging, reflecting, evaluating, or analyzing those, they
18 become less vulnerable to the negative feelings associated with resource loss.
19 So, the nonjudgmental, open awareness of present moment reality and expe-
20 riences associated with mindfulness enhances the awareness of job resources
21 (physical and social work environment) and personal resources (thoughts,
22 feelings, and bodily sensations).

23 Being aware of the richness of resources in the work environment and
24 being nonjudgmental about changes in resources may help people to build
25 on to their overall level of resources. Mindful employees will be more aware
26 of alternative resources, and because of their objective approach to their per-
27 ceptions, they will be open to the full potential of each resource they en-
28 counter. Loss of job resources may therefore have less impact on their moti-
29 vation. So, mindfulness as a personal resource may help employees to accept
30 their current level of resources, to be less dependent on available resources in
31 their environment, and to perceive more alternative resources. For example,
32 when an employee is transferred to another department because of a reorga-
33 nization, she may experience the loss of supportive colleagues in her former
34 department. However, by being mindful, she will be better able to accept her
35 loss and more receptive to the presence of supportive colleagues in her new
36 department. Mindfulness therefore provides a personal resource in dealing
37 with changes in job resources. Research has shown that job and personal
38 resources are reciprocally related. In line with Hobfoll's idea of resources
39 caravans, people who are more aware of job resources develop more per-
40 sonal resources, which contribute to the obtainment of better job resources
41 and so on (Xanthopoulou, Bakker, Demerouti, & Schaufeli, 2009). In the ex-
42 ample, when the employee is able to approach her new colleagues with an

open mind, these colleagues will be more likely to provide social support, which is an important job resource. Also, the example illustrates the importance of mindfulness for extra-role performance. By acting mindful, the employee was open to the opportunities in her changed environment, which contributed to her adaptive performance. Also, she managed to build new relationships, which contributed to her proactive performance. Finally, her awareness of alternative job resources contributed to her overall motivation.

To sum up, mindfulness is a valuable personal resource that may support adaptive and proactive performances as forms of extra-role performance that are particularly important in changing work contexts. Not only will it help employees in coping with resource losses and in reducing associated feelings of stress, but mindfulness also helps in becoming aware of new opportunities for gaining job resources.

Practical Implications and Discussion

In this commentary, we expanded on the theoretical linkage between mindfulness and performance by highlighting the ability of mindful employees to expand personal and job resources that, according to COR theory, have a powerful motivational potential. Training in mindfulness may therefore be helpful not only in reducing stress but also in motivating employees. Through the effects that mindfulness has on the nonjudgmental awareness of the amount and quality of job resources, people will feel more competent, valued, and motivated in their jobs. In particular when employees need to cope with organizational change, mindfulness may help them in developing higher levels of adaptive and proactive extra-role performance. Mindfulness training in organizations may therefore be offered not only as a tool for dealing with stress (as in the mindfulness-based stress reduction training) but also as a tool for enhancing employees' levels of work motivation and performance. Our plea for mindfulness training to advance employee performance does not imply that managers are no longer responsible for investing in job resources and monitoring job demands. It does, however, imply that mindfulness training may be a positive addition that can help employees in developing more personal resources based on the job resources that are already offered by managers, human resource practices, and job design.

References

- Chatzisarantis, N. L. D., & Hagger, M. S. (2007). Mindfulness and the intention-behavior relationship within the theory of planned behavior. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 33(5), 663–676. doi:[10.1177/0146167206297401](https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167206297401)
- Dane, E. (2011). Paying attention to mindfulness and its effects on task performance in the workplace. *Journal of Management*, 37(4), 997–1018. doi:[10.1177/0149206310367948](https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206310367948)

- 1 Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2008). Self-determination theory: A macrotheory of human
2 motivation, development, and health. *Canadian Psychology/Psychologie Canadienne*,
3 49(3), 182–185. doi:[10.1037/a0012801](https://doi.org/10.1037/a0012801)
- 4 Demerouti, E., Bakker, A. B., Nachreiner, F., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2001). The job demands-
5 resources model of burnout. *The Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(3), 499–512.
6 doi:[10.1108/02683940710733115](https://doi.org/10.1108/02683940710733115)
- 7 Griffin, M. A., Neal, A., & Parker, S. K. (2007). A new model of work role performance:
8 Positive behavior in uncertain and interdependent contexts. *Academy of Management*
9 *Journal*, 50(2), 327–347.
- 10 Hobfoll, S. E. (1989). Conservation of resources. A new attempt at conceptualizing stress.
11 *The American Psychologist*, 44(3), 513–524. doi:[10.1037/0003-066X.44.3.513](https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.44.3.513)
- 12 Hobfoll, S. E., Johnson, R. J., Ennis, N., & Jackson, A. P. (2003). Resource loss, resource gain,
13 and emotional outcomes among inner city women. *Journal of Personality and Social*
14 *Psychology*, 84(3), 632–643. doi:[10.1037/0022-3514.84.3.632](https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.84.3.632)
- 15 Hyland, P. K., Lee, R. A., & Mills, M. J. (2015). Mindfulness at work: A new approach to
16 improving individual and organizational performance. *Industrial and Organizational*
17 *Psychology: Perspectives on Science and Practice*, 8(4).
- 18 Locke, E. A., & Latham, G. P. (1990). *A theory of goal setting and task performance*. Engle-
19 wood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- 20 Wrzesniewski, A., & Dutton, J. E. (2001). Crafting a job: Revisioning employees as active
21 crafters of their work. *Academy of Management Review*, 26(2), 179–201.
- 22 Xanthopoulou, D., Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2007). The role of per-
23 sonal resources in the job demands-resources model. *International Journal of Stress*
24 *Management*, 14(2), 121–141. doi:[10.1037/1072-5245.14.2.121](https://doi.org/10.1037/1072-5245.14.2.121)
- 25 Xanthopoulou, D., Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2009). Reciprocal rela-
26 tionships between job resources, personal resources, and work engagement. *Journal of*
27 *Vocational Behavior*, 74(3), 235–244. doi:[10.1016/j.jvb.2008.11.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2008.11.003)