Tilburg University

The self in its social context

IJzerman, H.; Lindenberg, S.M.

Published in:
Behavioral and Brain Sciences

DOI:
[10.1017/S0140525X1400154X](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X1400154X)

Publication date:
2015

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication in Tilburg University Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
IJzerman, H., & Lindenberg, S. M. (2015). The self in its social context: Why resilience needs company. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 38, [e104]. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X1400154X>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

BEHAVIORAL AND BRAIN SCIENCES, Vol. 38, 32

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org.proxy-ub.rug.nl/10.1017/S0140525X1400154X>

Open Peer Commentary

The self in its social context: Why resilience needs company

Hans IJzerman^{a1} and Siegwart Lindenberg^{a2}

^{a1} Department of Social Psychology, Tilburg University, 5037AB Tilburg, The Netherlands h.ijzerman@gmail.com <http://h.ijzerman.googlepages.com>

^{a2} Department of Sociology, Rijksuniversiteit Groningen, 9712TG Groningen, The Netherlands. s.m.lindenberg@rug.nl <http://lindenberg.academiaworks.com/>

Abstract

In their target article, Kalisch et al. explicate an appraisal-based model to explain how people bounce back from stress. We posit that for their model, it is crucial to understand the begin-state ϕ (the “self”) – a state that is shaped by early social thermoregulation and through the social network.

In their target article, Kalisch et al. provide an appraisal-based model to explain resilience from stress. Three cognitive classes shape their posited individual's appraisal style: positive situation classification, reappraisal, and interference inhibition. They posit that a positive appraisal style is “the primary pathway to resilience” and that their model provides new avenues for prevention. We concur with the authors that we need to rely on biosocial models to understand the development of resilience. In our view, however, the model misses an important component: the functional relevance of the agent's relational experiences.

We concur that stress, and, more broadly, emotions have adaptive functions. But

for their model and for interventions, it is crucial to know whether emotions should be reappraised or whether they can actually contribute to resilience. We think that for answering this question, we need to extend Kalisch et al.'s biosocial model by adding the agent's begin-state φ – a resilience factor they ponder about. Prevention interventions that are focused solely on individual facets and ignore the agent's relational context (the two indeed being nonindependent) may well lead to alienation in some instances – and, we think, to greater stress, poorer resilience, and worse health instead.

Where does the “self” (φ) come from?

The begin-state φ may just as well be defined as “self.” Positive situation classification, reappraisal, and interference inhibition typically are regarded under a larger umbrella that researchers have dubbed self-regulation, a crucial factor in resilience (Hofmann et al. 2012; Lindenberg 2013). But the nature of this self is “obscure” and “mysterious even” (Swann & Buhrmester 2012, p. 424), and the reflection on our own experiences is even often wrong (Nisbett & Wilson 1977). What is it then that makes a begin-state φ that, in turn, influences the functionality of emotions for resilience? Humans cannot function without others, and evolution has likely “designed” humans with a biological bias to “assume that [they are] embedded within a relatively predictable social network characterized by familiarity, joint attention, and interdependence” (Beckes & Coan 2011, pp. 976–77).

From this standpoint, facing the world alone is more challenging than with others, and the development of self is virtually impossible without being with others. It is nearly impossible to find individuals who are simultaneously well adjusted, healthy, and socially isolated. In other words, the self, which “regulates various reactions and activities” and “experiences life and attempts to make sense of it” (Swann & Buhrmester 2012, p. 423, 424) should emerge from basic relational structures.

Extending the biosocial model: The emergence of begin-state φ

A more complex and coherent self is related to a more predictable social world, and we agree that biosocial models are vital to understand its development. Indeed, this aspect of a predictable world can be profitably unpacked in light of findings on rodents. One of the two evolutionary causes of group living in rodents is social thermoregulation, the idea that others are involved in regulating one's body temperature (Ebensberger 2001) and therefore metabolic resources (Beckes & Coan 2011). As others – also for humans – are crucial in regulating

our energetic resources in early life (Beckes & Coan 2011), social thermoregulation can help us regulate energetic resources, incidentally and throughout development (Beckes et al. 2014; IJzerman et al. 2014a). And social thermoregulation may free energy to be dedicated to other parts of the brain. To take but one example, maternal thermoregulation in rats extends the stress hyporesponsive period, protecting the developing infant brain and allowing it to mature (Suchecki et al. 1993). Social thermoregulation is a potential candidate for aiding the emergence of ϕ , through specific, dedicated social regulation structures.

Alienation – understanding the early social network

Some research on humans supports what we theorize above. For example, kangaroo care (keeping the infant skin-to-skin, allowing for comparable maternal thermoregulation) has been found to lead to increased executive functioning in the child (Feldman et al. 2014). Further, certain types of self-control lead to alienation (i.e., a state in which the individual neglects its own needs and desires; Koole et al. 2014), which is supported by the finding that individuals from countries that are less (vs. more) socially integrated are more vulnerable to becoming alienated when they are under severe stress such as post-traumatic stress disorder (Jobson & O'Kearney 2009). We also found that an ability that leads to better reappraisal – interoception (Carlson & Mujica-Parodi 2010; Füstös et al. 2013) – closely relates to social thermoregulatory processes: People's interoceptive abilities correlated significantly with the comfort they feel being touched by close others (IJzerman et al. 2014b).

So what do we need so as to make Kalisch et al.'s model sufficiently precise for interventions? The work we cite suggests that the begin-state ϕ indeed emerges from its relational context and that, in turn, ϕ influences the role of emotions for resilience. A first – but certainly not only – take on operationalizing this begin-state ϕ in the model is to use attachment style as proxy for predictability in early social thermoregulation. It is well known that securely attached individuals typically cope more constructively (e.g., Mikulincer & Florian 1995; Mikulincer et al. 1993) but, crucially, also reveal greater self-complexity (Mikulincer 1995). Comparably, state predictability – like secure relationships – allows for spontaneous facial emotion regulation, such as a spontaneous smile to a partner's angry face (Häfner & IJzerman 2011). We take from this that the secure agent's emotions directly inform how the agent should act in specific social situations, allowing it to maintain its social bonds. In addition, the display of emotions of securely attached individuals may play an important role in validating others' goals, which, in turn, leads to mutual reinforcement of secure attachment

(Arriaga et al. 2014). We therefore propose that in such cases, not reappraising one's emotions leads to greater resilience.

The agent's begin-state ϕ , itself dependent on social thermoregulation, is in this way vital in determining whether the agent's emotional state is likely to inform or distract the agent. We think therefore that, paradoxically, intervening in the secure agent's emotional life leads to its alienation, potentially causing worse resilience, greater stress, and poorer health instead.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This paper was partially supported by a Veni grant of the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO; www.nwo.nl) (016.145.049) awarded to Hans IJzerman.

References

- Arriaga, X. B., Kumashiro, M., Finkel, E. J., Vander Drift, L. & Luchies, L. B. (2014) Filling the void: Bolstering attachment security in committed relationships. *Social Psychological and Personality Science* 5:398–406. [[Find Full Text](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
- Beckes, L. & Coan, J. A. (2011) Social baseline theory: The role of social proximity in emotion and economy of action. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass* 5:976–88. [[Find Full Text](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
- Beckes, L., IJzerman, H. & Tops, M. (2014) Toward a radically embodied neuroscience of attachment and relationships? Available at: http://papers.ssrn.com.proxy-ub.rug.nl/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2429522.
- Carlson, J. M. & Mujica-Parodi, L. R. (2010) A disposition to reappraise decreases anterior insula reactivity during anxious anticipation. *Biological Psychology* 85:383–85. [[Find Full Text](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
- Ebensperger, L. A. (2001) A review of the evolutionary causes of rodent group-living. *Acta Theriologica* 46:115–44. [[Find Full Text](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
- Feldman, R., Rosenthal, Z. & Eidelman, A. I. (2014) Maternal-preterm skin-to-skin contact enhances child physiologic organization and cognitive control across the first 10 years of life. *Biological Psychiatry* 75:56–64. [[Find Full Text](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
- Füstös, J., Gramann, K., Herbert, B. M. & Pollatos, O. (2013) On the embodiment of emotion regulation: Interoceptive awareness facilitates reappraisal. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience* 8(8):911–17. doi: . (First published online August 29, 2012.) [[Find Full Text](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

Häfner, M. & IJzerman, H. (2011) The face of love: Spontaneous accommodation as social emotion regulation. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin* 37:1551–63. [\[Find Full Text\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#) [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)

Hofmann, W., Schmeichel, B. J. & Baddeley, A. D. (2012) Executive functions and self-regulation. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 16:174–80. [\[Find Full Text\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#) [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)

IJzerman, H., Coan, J. A., Wagemans, F. M. A., Missler, M. A., Van Beest, I., Lindenberg, S. & Tops, M. (2014a) A theory of social thermoregulation in human primates. Available online from Social Science Research Network. http://papers.ssrn.com.proxy-ub.rug.nl/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2521717.

IJzerman, H., Häfner, M., Gallucci, M. & Lindenberg, S. (2014b) The social regulation of emotions: The interplay between verbal and nonverbal channels. Unpublished manuscript.

Jobson, L. & O'Kearney, R. T. (2009) Impact of cultural differences in self on cognitive appraisals in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy* 37:249–66. [\[Find Full Text\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#) [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[CJO Abstract\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)

Koole, S. L., Tops, M., Strübin, S., Bouw, J., Schneider, I. K. & Jostmann, N. B. (2014) The ego fixation hypothesis: Involuntary persistence of self-control. In: *The control within: Motivation and its regulation*, ed. Forgas, J. P. & Harmon-Jones, E., pp. 95–112. Psychology Press. [\[Google Scholar\]](#)

Lindenberg, S. (2013) Social rationality, self-regulation and well-being: The regulatory significance of needs, goals, and the self. In: *Handbook of rational choice social research*, ed. Wittek, R., Snijders, T. A. B. & Nee, V., pp. 72–112. Stanford University Press. [\[Google Scholar\]](#)

Mikulincer, M. (1995) Attachment style and the mental representation of the self. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 69:1203. [\[Find Full Text\]](#) [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)

Mikulincer, M. & Florian, V. (1995) Appraisal of and coping with a real-life stressful situation: The contribution of attachment styles. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin* 21:406–14. [\[Find Full Text\]](#) [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)

Mikulincer, M., Florian, V. & Weller, A. (1993) Attachment styles, coping strategies, and posttraumatic psychological distress: The impact of the Gulf War in Israel. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 64:817. [\[Find Full Text\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#) [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)

Nisbett, R. E. & Wilson, T. D. (1977) Telling more than we can know: Verbal reports on mental processes. *Psychological Review* 84(3):231. [\[Find Full Text\]](#) [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)

Suchecki, D., Mozaffarian, D., Gross, G., Rosenfeld, P. & Levine, S. (1993) Effects of maternal deprivation on the ACTH stress response in the infant rat.

Neuroendocrinology 57:204–12. [\[Find Full Text\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#) [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)

Swann, W. B. Jr., & Buhrmester, M. D. (2012) Self as functional fiction. *Social Cognition* 30:415–30. [\[Find Full Text\]](#) [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)