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Non-parametric Random-Effects Model

Jeroen K. Vermunt & Jay Magidson

Random-effects modeling is one of the several alternative approaches to deal with dependent observations such as that which occur in repeated measures or multilevel data structures. Non-parametric random-effects models differ from standard (parametric) random-effects models in that no assumptions are made about the distribution of the random effects. Actually, this is a form of latent class analysis: the mixing distribution is modelled by means of a finite mixture structure. Early references to the non-parametric approach are Laird (1978) and Heckman and Singer (1982).

Let $y_{ij}$ denote the response variable of interest, where the index $j$ refers to a group and $i$ to a replication within a group. Note that with repeated measures, groups and replications refer to individuals and time points. It is easiest to explain the random-effects models within a generalized linear modeling framework; that is, to assume that the response variable comes from a distribution belonging to the exponential family and that the expectation of $y_{ij}$, $E(y_{ij})$, is modelled via a linear function after an appropriate transformation $g(\cdot)$.

A simple random-intercept model without predictors has the following form:

$$g[E(y_{ij})] = \alpha_j.$$  

To utilize a parametric approach, a distributional form is specified for $\alpha_j$, typically normal: $\alpha_j \sim N(\mu, \tau^2)$. The unknown parameters to be estimated are the mean $\mu$ and the variance $\tau^2$. An equivalent parameterization is $g[E(y_{ij})] = \mu + \tau \cdot u_j$, with $u_j \sim N(0,1)$.

A non-parametric approach characterizes the distribution of $\alpha_j$ by an unspecified discrete mixing distribution with $C$ nodes (latent classes), where a particular latent class LC is enumerated by $x$, $x = 1, 2, ..., C$. $\alpha_x$ The intercept associated with class $x$ is denoted by $\alpha_x$ and the size of class $x$ by $P(x)$. The $\alpha_x$ and $P(x)$ are sometimes referred to as the location and weight of node $x$. The non-parametric model can be specified as follows:

$$g[E(y_{ij}|x)] = \alpha_x.$$  

The non-parametric maximum likelihood estimator is obtained by increasing the number of latent classes till a saturation point is reached. In practice,
however, researchers prefer solutions with less than the maximum number of classes.

The similarity between the parametric and non-parametric approaches becomes clear when one realizes that the $\alpha_x$ and $P(x)$ parameters can be used to compute the mean ($\mu$) and the variance ($\tau^2$) of the random effects, which are the unknown parameters in the parametric approach. Using elementary statistics, we get $\mu = \sum_{x=1}^{C} \alpha_x P(x)$, and $\tau^2 = \sum_{x=1}^{C} (\alpha_x - \mu)^2 P(x)$.

A more general model is obtained by including predictors $z_{ijk}$, yielding a two-level regression model with a random intercept:

$$g[E(y_{ij}|z_{ij}, x)] = \alpha_x + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k \cdot z_{ijk}.$$  

A special case is the (semi-parametric) Rasch model, which is obtained by adding a dummy predictor for each replication $i$.

Also the regression coefficient can be allowed to differ across latent classes, which is analogous to having random slopes in a MULTILEVEL ANALYSIS. This yields

$$g[E(y_{ij}|z_{ij}, x)] = \alpha_x + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k x \cdot z_{ijk},$$

a model that is often referred to as latent class (LC) or mixture regression model. In fact, this is one of the most important applications of latent class analysis: unobserved subgroups are identified which differ with respect to the parameters of the regression model of interest.

Two computer programs for estimating LC regression models are GLIMMIX and Latent GOLD.
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