Tilburg University

Bounding Uncertainty

Sergeeva, Anastasia; Bhardwaj, Akhil; Dimov, D.

Publication date:
2020

Document Version
Early version, also known as pre-print

[Link to publication in Tilburg University Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Sergeeva, A., Bhardwaj, A., & Dimov, D. (2020). *Bounding Uncertainty: The Uses of Analogical Abduction in Entrepreneurship*. (CentER Discussion Paper; Vol. 2020-002). CentER, Center for Economic Research.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

No. 2020-002

**BOUNDING UNCERTAINTY: THE USES OF ANALOGICAL
ABDUCTION IN ENTREPRENEURSHIP**

By

Anastasia A. Sergeeva, Akhil Bhardwaj,
Dimo Dimov

9 January 2020

ISSN 0924-7815
ISSN 2213-9532

Bounding Uncertainty: The uses of analogical abduction in entrepreneurship

Anastasia A. Sergeeva
asergeeva@iese.edu
IESE Business School

Akhil Bhardwaj
a.bhardwaj@uvt.nl
Tilburg University

Dimo Dimov
dpd24@bath.ac.uk
University of Bath

Please do not cite without author permission

BOUNDING UNCERTAINTY: THE USES OF ANALOGICAL ABDUCTION IN ENTREPRENEURSHIP

ABSTRACT

Conceptualizing entrepreneurship as problem-solving has shed light on how problems are solved through entrepreneurial ventures. This approach presupposes that problems objectively exist, an assumption that is valid for the world of Knightian risk, in which categorization is possible. In the current study, we adopt the ontological stance of Knightian uncertainty, in which *a priori categories* cannot be assumed, and therefore problems do not objectively exist. We posit that in the world of Knightian uncertainty entrepreneurs who perceive certain situations as unsatisfactory but remediable engage in problematization which yields problem statements. These problem statements are operationalized to form the basis of entrepreneurial action aimed at remedying dissatisfaction. We submit that to problematize, entrepreneurs engage in *analogical abduction*, which allows them to develop problem statements by treating target domains replete with Knightian uncertainty *as if* they were similar to familiar source domains. Such conjectures are selected based on the likeness of relevant attributes between the source and target domains, aid entrepreneurs in bounding uncertainty, and guide entrepreneurial action. Entrepreneurs adopt positive feedback of entrepreneurial action as a rule to guide future action under similar circumstances, while negative feedback leads them to recalibrate problem statements and modify further action. We illustrate this process using the empirical vignette of Starbucks.

Keywords: Knightian uncertainty, problem solving, analogical abduction, entrepreneurial reasoning.

* The full paper is available on request.