Tilburg University

Does smile intensity in photographs really predict longevity?

Dufner, M.; Brümmer, Martin; Chung, J.M.H.; Drewke, Pia; Blaison, Christophe; Schmukle, Stefan

Published in:
Psychological Science

DOI:
[10.1177/0956797617734315](https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797617734315)

Publication date:
2018

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in Tilburg University Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Dufner, M., Brümmer, M., Chung, J. M. H., Drewke, P., Blaison, C., & Schmukle, S. (2018). Does smile intensity in photographs really predict longevity? A replication and extension of Abel and Kruger (2010). *Psychological Science*, 29(1), 147-153. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797617734315>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Does Smile Intensity in Photographs Really Predict Longevity? A Replication and Extension of Abel and Kruger (2010)

Michael Dufner¹, Martin Brümmer², Joanne M. Chung³, Pia M. Drewke¹, Christophe Blaison⁴, and Stefan C. Schmukle¹

¹Department of Psychology, University of Leipzig; ²Department of Applied Computer Science, University of Leipzig; ³Department of Developmental Psychology, Tilburg University; and ⁴Department of Psychology, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin

Psychological Science
2018, Vol. 29(1) 147–153
© The Author(s) 2017
Reprints and permissions:
sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav
DOI: 10.1177/0956797617734315
www.psychologicalscience.org/PS

Abstract

Abel and Kruger (2010) found that the smile intensity of professional baseball players who were active in 1952, as coded from photographs, predicted these players' longevity. In the current investigation, we sought to replicate this result and to extend the initial analyses. We analyzed (a) a sample that was almost identical to the one from Abel and Kruger's study using the same database and inclusion criteria ($N = 224$), (b) a considerably larger nonoverlapping sample consisting of other players from the same cohort ($N = 527$), and (c) all players in the database ($N = 13,530$ valid cases). Like Abel and Kruger, we relied on categorical smile codings as indicators of positive affectivity, yet we supplemented these codings with subjective ratings of joy intensity and automatic codings of positive affectivity made by computer programs. In both samples and for all three indicators, we found that positive affectivity did not predict mortality once birth year was controlled as a covariate.

Keywords

emotion, affect, longevity, life outcomes, replication, open data, open materials, preregistered

Received 11/16/16; Revision accepted 8/13/17

Past research indicates that dispositional positive affectivity has a life-prolonging function (for a review, see Diener & Chan, 2011). This effect has great theoretical and practical importance. It corroborates the notion that positive affectivity should be regarded as not only an outcome variable but also a predictor of major life outcomes (Lyubomirsky, King, & Diener, 2005). Moreover, it indicates that policymakers should consider interventions that aim to increase positive affectivity. Furthermore, the effect strengthens personality psychology's standing as a discipline because identifying determinants of longevity is a task of key societal interest (Roberts, Kuncel, Shiner, Caspi, & Goldberg, 2007).

In past studies on the topic, scholars have assessed positive affectivity by self-report (e.g., Blazer & Hybels, 2004; Lyyra, Törmäkangas, Read, Rantanen, & Berg, 2006), informant report (Friedman et al., 1993), and content analysis of written text (e.g., Danner, Snowdon,

& Friesen, 2001; Pressman & Cohen, 2007). In most studies, however, the timing between assessments of positive affectivity and mortality was short to medium (< 30 years; Diener & Chan, 2011), which is not ideal, because low positive affectivity toward the end of life might be a by-product of illness or physical degradation instead of a genuine predictor of mortality. In only a few studies have scholars investigated time lags of more than four decades, and the results have been contradictory (Danner et al., 2001; Friedman et al., 1993).

In an exceptional study, Abel and Kruger (2010) investigated a sample of professional athletes who were in their prime years of physical fitness and predicted

Corresponding Author:

Michael Dufner, Department of Psychology, University of Leipzig,
Neumarkt 9-19, 04109 Leipzig, Germany
E-mail: michael.dufner@uni-leipzig.de

mortality more than five decades later. Specifically, they analyzed photographs of and personal information about U.S. professional baseball players who were active in 1952 and investigated how long they lived up to 2009. The authors coded smiling behavior as a proxy for positive affectivity. They did this by classifying smile intensity into three categories (no smile, partial smile, and full smile) and investigated its effects on longevity using a Cox proportional-hazards regression model. Baseball players who showed a full (or Duchenne) smile (Ekman, Davidson, & Friesen, 1990) in the photograph were half as likely to die in any given year than players who did not smile (hazard ratio of 0.50). The model included college attendance, marital status, birth year, career length, age at debut year, and body mass index (BMI) as covariates.

In the current research, we revisited the association between smile intensity and longevity by replicating Abel and Kruger's (2010) finding. We relied on the same database as these authors and implemented the same procedures and statistical analyses. We separately analyzed a subsample that was nearly identical to the one analyzed by Abel and Kruger, a nonoverlapping subsample consisting of players from the same cohort, and the full database. Like Abel and Kruger, we relied on categorical smile codings as indicators of positive affectivity, but we also supplemented these codings with subjective ratings of joy intensity and automatic codings made by computer programs.

Method

The study was preregistered, and the central parts of the code for data analyses (analyses based on human smile codings and analyses based on automatic codings from one of three emotion recognition computer programs) were uploaded prior to data collection.

Sample

We retrieved photographs of all baseball players from the website of the Baseball Register (http://www.baseball-reference.com/bullpen/Baseball_Register) on September 17, 2015. In total, 18,437 players were listed in the database. We deleted data for 903 players because no photograph of them was available. We also removed 26 players because their birth year was missing (and who therefore could not be used in our main analyses). At this stage, the sample size was 17,508.

Data preparation

We retrieved the same variables as Abel and Kruger (2010) did (death year, college attendance, birth year,

career length in the professional league, age at debut year, and BMI).¹ To estimate effects on mortality, we computed two variables: survival status and age. Survival status was determined by whether a player had died (coded 1) or had not died (coded 0) at the time when we retrieved the data, which was indicated by whether there was a death year in the retrieved data. The age variable indicated the age at which a player died (computed by subtracting the birth year from the death year), or if that the player was still alive, how old he was at the time of the study (computed by subtracting the birth year from the current year of 2015). When we investigated the distribution of this age variable in the sample of players who were all still alive according to the Baseball Register, we found that some had unrealistically high values (see Fig. S1 in the Supplemental Material available online). In detail, cases in the distribution ranged up to 100 years, there were no cases between 101 and 125 years, but then there were 42 cases with values equal to or larger than 126 years. The careers of all of these players had ended before 1915, and we deemed it most likely that entries for the variable "death year" were missing for these players from early cohorts. Accordingly, we removed these cases from the data set. Thus, the resulting sample size was 17,466.

Subsamples

In the original study by Abel and Kruger (2010), human codings were obtained for players who were active in 1952, who started their careers before 1950, and who appeared to be looking directly into the camera. These criteria were met for 230 players, and for 196 of them all covariates were available, yielding a sample size of 196. We aimed to achieve a sample that was as similar as possible to the original one. Because the judgment of whether or not a player is looking directly into the camera is somewhat vague, we contacted the original authors to obtain access to the their sample. Unfortunately, however, the first author informed us that the original data are no longer available (E. L. Abel, personal communication, February 21, 2017). Therefore, we applied the criteria used by Abel and Kruger to the data downloaded from the database and had a research assistant judge whether or not players were looking directly into the camera; this yielded a sample size of 224 players. Covariates were available for all cases. We refer to this sample as the 1952 sample.

Moreover, we sought to assemble a second subsample from the Baseball Register sample that did not overlap with Abel and Kruger's sample but that was nevertheless as similar as possible. Again, we included only players who were looking into the camera. We

followed Simonsohn's (2015) recommendation and aimed to obtain a sample size that was 2.5 times larger than the one used in the original study. Accordingly, approximately half of our human-coded sample consisted of players who ended their career in 1951 ($n = 50$, all players), 1950 ($n = 56$, all players), 1949 ($n = 68$, all players), 1948 ($n = 65$, all players), or 1947 ($n = 28$ randomly chosen players). The other half of the sample consisted of players who debuted in 1953 ($n = 67$, all players), 1954 ($n = 63$, all players), 1955 ($n = 65$, all players), 1956 ($n = 43$, all players), or 1957 ($n = 22$ randomly chosen players). Thus, our second subsample consisted of 527 players. We refer to this sample as the nonoverlapping replication sample.

Human codings

As in the original study, a group of five coders (four female, one male; age range: 20–35 years) coded the photographs in terms of smile intensity (0 = *no smile*, 1 = *partial smile*, 2 = *full smile*). The operational definitions for these categories were equivalent to those of Abel and Kruger (partial smile = only contraction of the zygomaticus major muscles; full smile = contraction of both zygomaticus major and orbicularis oculi muscles). One of the coders (J. M. C.) is a certified coder of the Facial Action Coding System (FACS; Ekman, Friesen, & Hager, 2002). This person taught the other four coders how to distinguish among the three smile categories. Interrater agreement (κ) was .61 for both the cases from the 1952 subsample and the cases from the nonoverlapping replication subsample. (In Abel and Kruger's study, interrater agreement was .63.) When raters disagreed, we selected the category that was chosen most frequently. (It never happened that two categories were chosen with equal frequency.) Of the 751 players, 300 (39.95%) showed no smile, 313 (41.68%) showed a partial smile, and 138 (18.37%) showed a full smile.

In addition to these categorical smile codings, which directly matched the design by Abel and Kruger, another group of five raters (undergraduate students; four female, one male; age range: 19–20 years) judged the joy intensity shown in the photographs on the basis of their subjective perception (1, *does not show any joy*, to 5, *shows a lot of joy*). The same 751 players were analyzed as for the smile codings. Interrater agreement was high in both the 1952 sample (intraclass correlation coefficient [ICC] = .94) and the nonoverlapping replication sample (ICC = .94), and the subjective joy-intensity score averaged across observers was strongly correlated with the categorical codings of smile intensity (Spearman rank-order correlation: $r = .87$, $p < .001$, based on all 751 cases).

Automatic codings

We relied on three different emotion-recognition computer programs to assess positive affectivity. For these analyses, we used the final overall sample of 17,466 players. However, the results of each program indicated that a number of photographs were uncodable. The number of successfully coded photographs is presented separately for each program below.

First, we used the program FaceReader (Version 4.0.8; Noldus, 2014). The program provides continuous scores for happiness, six other emotions, and neutrality, each of which can vary between 0 and 1 (with higher values indicating greater emotional intensity). The program, which has rather strict criteria for identifying a photograph as codable, provided codings for 2,613 cases (2,197 cases had data on all variables that were relevant for our main analyses). Second, we used the emotion-recognition software Emotion API (Microsoft, 2015). The program provides probability scores that sum to 1 across happiness, six other emotions, and neutrality. The program provided codings for 15,506 cases (13,531 cases had data on all relevant variables). Third, we used the Computer Expression Recognition Toolbox (CERT; Version 5.1; Littlewort et al., 2011). This program provides a continuous score that indicates smile detection and probability estimates for joy, six other emotions, and neutrality (totaling 1). The program also provides activity scores for the three facial action units (AUs) involved in a full smile (AU6 = "cheek raiser"; AU7 = "lid tightener"; and AU12 = "lip corner puller"). Using CERT, we were able to code 12,417 cases for the smile-detection variable (10,652 cases had data for all relevant variables) and 12,419 cases for the remaining variables (10,654 cases had data on all relevant variables).

In addition to treating the positive-affectivity scores from the three programs as continuous variables, we also computed dichotomized positive-affectivity scores. In each case, a value of 1 was given if positive affectivity predominated over all other emotions, whereas a value of 0 was given if another emotion (or neutrality) predominated over positive affectivity.

Results

Table S1 in the Supplemental Material available online shows descriptive statistics for all study variables in the three samples, and Table S2 in the Supplemental Material shows the intercorrelations between these variables in the full sample. As Table S2 shows, there were substantial correlations between (a) automatic codings of positive affectivity and human codings of smile intensity ($r_s = .70-.86$), (b) automatic codings of positive

Table 1. Results of a Cox Regression Analysis Predicting Mortality by Human Smile Codings and Covariates

Model and variable	1952 sample					Nonoverlapping replication sample				
	<i>b</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	HR	95% CI	<i>b</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	HR	95% CI
Model I: without covariates										
Contrast: partial smile vs. nonsmile	0.063	0.158	.689	1.07	[0.78, 1.45]	0.064	0.109	.560	1.07	[0.86, 1.32]
Contrast: full smile vs. nonsmile	0.149	0.196	.448	1.16	[0.79, 1.71]	-0.240	0.146	.099	0.79	[0.59, 1.05]
Model II: with covariates										
College	-0.269	0.159	.090	0.76	[0.56, 1.04]	-0.346	0.107	.001	0.71	[0.57, 0.87]
Birth year	-0.055	0.027	.044	0.95	[0.90, 1.00]	-0.029	0.009	.001	0.97	[0.95, 0.99]
Age at debut	-0.028	0.036	.443	0.97	[0.91, 1.04]	-0.003	0.019	.863	1.00	[0.96, 1.04]
Career length	-0.040	0.025	.111	0.96	[0.91, 1.01]	-0.017	0.013	.188	0.98	[0.96, 1.01]
Body mass index	0.042	0.047	.376	1.04	[0.95, 1.14]	0.054	0.037	.144	1.06	[0.98, 1.14]
Contrast: partial smile vs. nonsmile	0.102	0.161	.529	1.11	[0.81, 1.52]	0.098	0.112	.382	1.10	[0.89, 1.37]
Contrast: full smile vs. nonsmile	0.114	0.200	.570	1.12	[0.76, 1.66]	-0.197	0.148	.185	0.82	[0.61, 1.10]

Note: College attendance was coded 1 for yes and 0 for no. In the 1952 sample ($N = 224$), the statistics for Model I were as follows: $\chi^2(2) = 0.59$, $p = .75$; the statistics for Model II were as follows: $\chi^2(7) = 10.06$, $p = .19$; and the incremental effect of smile codings on mortality in Model II was not significant, $\Delta\chi^2(2) = 0.51$, $p = .77$. In the nonoverlapping replication sample ($N = 527$), the statistics for Model I were as follows: $\chi^2(2) = 4.43$, $p = .11$; the statistics for Model II were as follows: $\chi^2(7) = 32.96$, $p < .001$; and the incremental effect of smile codings on mortality in Model II was not significant, $\Delta\chi^2(2) = 4.23$, $p = .12$. HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval.

affectivity and human subjective ratings of joy intensity ($r_s = .75-.88$), and (c) automatic codings of positive affectivity from the different emotion-recognition programs ($r_s = .62-.75$). Correlation coefficients for the activity scores for the AUs with human codings and ratings of smile intensity varied across the three units ($r_s = .23-.71$).

Following Abel and Kruger (2010), we used Cox proportional-hazards regression models to address our main research question. Such models test the effects of categorical or continuous predictor variables on an event variable (in our case, mortality). A significant *b* value for a given predictor indicates that this predictor is linked to mortality. A hazard ratio smaller than 1 means that mortality is less likely with increasing levels of the predictor, and a hazard ratio larger than 1 means that mortality is more likely with increasing levels of the predictor. In each subsample and for each operationalization of positive affectivity, we first ran a model to test the effect of positive affectivity on mortality without covariates and then ran a second model to test the effect of positive affectivity when controlling for the covariates. The results of these main analyses are summarized in Tables 1 and 2.

First, we examined the 1952 subsample. As shown in Table 1, the results for the first model without covariates revealed that mortality could not be predicted by smile intensity, $\chi^2(2) = 0.59$, $p = .746$. The results for the second model with covariates revealed that birth year ($b = -0.055$, $SE = 0.027$, $p = .044$) was a negative predictor of mortality. Thus, players who were born later had a lower mortality risk. Yet smile intensity again did not predict mortality, $\Delta\chi^2(2) = 0.513$, $p = .774$. Neither partial

smilers ($b = 0.102$, $SE = 0.161$, $p = .529$) nor full smilers ($b = 0.114$, $SE = 0.200$, $p = .570$) were less likely to die than nonsmilers. A visual display of these results can be found in Figure S2 in the Supplemental Material. When we included subjective joy-intensity ratings instead of categorical smile codings as the predictor, joy intensity predicted mortality neither when it was entered as the sole predictor ($b = 0.005$, $SE = 0.061$, $p = .929$) nor when it was entered in combination with the covariates ($b = -0.011$, $SE = 0.062$, $p = .862$; see Table S3 for complete model information). Similarly, automatic codings predicted mortality neither when they were entered as sole predictors (see Table S4 in the Supplemental Material) nor when they were entered in combination with the covariates (see Table 2).

Second, we examined the nonoverlapping replication sample. Table 1 shows that, as in the 1952 subsample, smile codings did not predict mortality when they were included as the sole predictors, $\chi^2(2) = 4.427$, $p = .109$. When smile intensity was entered in combination with the covariates, mortality was significantly predicted by birth year ($b = -0.029$, $SE = 0.009$, $p < .001$) and college attendance ($b = -0.346$, $SE = 0.107$, $p < .001$). However, smile intensity once again did not predict mortality, $\Delta\chi^2(2) = 4.232$, $p = .120$. Neither partial smilers ($b = 0.098$, $SE = 0.112$, $p = .382$) nor full smilers ($b = -0.197$, $SE = 0.148$, $p = .185$) were less likely to die than nonsmilers (see Fig. S3 for a plot of the results). When we used subjective joy-intensity ratings as the predictor variable, they predicted mortality neither when they were entered as the sole predictor ($b = -0.047$, $SE = 0.042$, $p = .264$) nor when they were entered in combination with the covariates ($b = -0.037$, $SE = 0.043$, $p = .390$; see Table

Table 2. Results of Separate Cox Regressions Predicting Mortality by Automatic Codings of Facial Displays of Positive Affectivity From Different Computer Programs (Controlling for Covariates)

Program and variable	1952 sample					Nonoverlapping replication sample					Full sample							
	<i>n</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	HR	95% CI	<i>n</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	HR	95% CI	<i>n</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i>	HR	95% CI
FaceReader																		
Happiness	82	0.187	0.344	.587	1.21	[0.61, 2.37]	160	-0.371	0.251	.139	0.69	[0.42, 1.13]	2,197	-0.170	0.094	.070	0.84	[0.70, 1.01]
Happiness dichotomized	82	0.146	0.262	.576	1.16	[0.69, 1.93]	160	-0.297	0.211	.160	0.74	[0.49, 1.12]	2,197	-0.105	0.074	.158	0.90	[0.78, 1.04]
Microsoft Emotion API																		
Happiness	223	0.128	0.165	.436	1.14	[0.82, 1.57]	522	-0.057	0.115	.623	0.95	[0.75, 1.18]	13,530	0.040	0.034	.241	1.04	[0.97, 1.11]
Happiness dichotomized	223	0.131	0.144	.363	1.14	[0.86, 1.51]	522	-0.017	0.103	.871	0.98	[0.80, 1.20]	13,530	0.052	0.030	.077	1.05	[0.99, 1.12]
Computer Expression Recognition Toolbox																		
Smile detection	213	-0.002	0.016	.891	1.00	[0.97, 1.03]	480	-0.004	0.013	.737	1.00	[0.97, 1.02]	10,652	-0.003	0.004	.490	1.00	[0.99, 1.00]
Joy	213	0.173	0.196	.379	1.19	[0.81, 1.75]	480	-0.258	0.158	.102	0.77	[0.57, 1.05]	10,654	0.000	0.054	.994	1.00	[0.90, 1.11]
Joy dichotomized	213	0.110	0.152	.468	1.12	[0.83, 1.50]	480	-0.160	0.123	.192	0.85	[0.67, 1.08]	10,654	-0.001	0.041	.971	1.00	[0.92, 1.08]
AU6 ("cheek raiser")	213	0.030	0.131	.820	1.03	[0.80, 1.33]	480	-0.090	0.095	.345	0.91	[0.76, 1.10]	10,654	0.013	0.029	.663	1.01	[0.96, 1.07]
AU7 ("lid tightener")	213	0.002	0.343	.996	1.00	[0.51, 1.96]	480	0.044	0.230	.850	1.05	[0.67, 1.64]	10,654	0.111	0.059	.063	1.12	[0.99, 1.25]
AU12 ("lip corner puller")	213	0.060	0.060	.323	1.06	[0.94, 1.19]	480	-0.007	0.045	.878	0.99	[0.91, 1.09]	10,654	0.005	0.013	.714	1.00	[0.98, 1.03]

Note: College attendance, birth year, age at debut, career length, and body mass index were included as covariates in these regressions. College attendance was coded 1 for yes and 0 for no. HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval.

S3 for complete model information). When we used automatic codings as predictors, happiness scores from the FaceReader program were negative predictors of mortality ($b = -0.493$, $SE = 0.244$, $p = .043$) when they were entered as sole predictors, but no significant results were present for any of the other automatic codings (see Table S4). When automatically coded variables were entered in combination with the covariates, none of them predicted mortality (see Table 2).

Third, we analyzed the automatic codings of positive affectivity in the full sample. When automatic codings of positive affectivity were included as the sole predictors, all of them predicted mortality, and the same was true for activity-score codings of the three AUs (see Table S4). However, in all cases, the effect vanished once we controlled for the covariates (see Table 2 for the main results and Tables S5 to S14 in the Supplemental Material for full model information). This means that automatic codings of positive affectivity did not predict mortality beyond the covariates.

We then explored which covariate was responsible for the initial associations between automatic codings of positive affectivity and mortality in the full sample and identified birth year as the crucial covariate. Birth year was a consistent negative predictor of mortality in the analyses based on the full sample, and at the same time, it was a negative correlate of positive-affectivity displays (see Table S2). Once we controlled for birth year as the sole covariate in our models, the effects of positive affectivity and AU activity scores became non-significant (see Tables S5 to S14). Thus, when we considered that it was uncommon for players from earlier cohorts to smile in photographs (see Fig. S4) and that these players also had a reduced life expectancy (see Tables S5 to S14), smiling ceased to predict mortality.

Finally, we considered the possibility that smile intensity might be predictive of mortality solely for specific birth cohorts. To do so, we relied on happiness scores from the Microsoft Emotion API because this program had the least missing values, and scores correlated most strongly with human codings. We used happiness scores to predict mortality separately for players who were born prior to 1869, those who were born in any specific decade between 1870 and 1989 (1870 to 1879, 1880 to 1889, etc.), and players who were born after 1970 (again, controlling for all covariates). In none of the analyses was happiness a significant predictor of mortality (see Table S15 in the Supplemental Material).

Discussion

Why did the results from the 1952 sample differ from the results reported by Abel and Kruger (2010)? Because we do not have access to the data from their original study, it is not possible to answer this question with

certainty. Because of the vagueness inherent in the selection criteria, there were most likely slight differences between the original and the replication samples, which might have led to divergent results. Furthermore, even though agreement among the human coders was reasonably high in both Abel and Kruger's original study and our replication study, each assessment contains a degree of noise, or measurement error, which might also explain the divergent results. The current coders were led by a certified FACS coder, interrater agreement was acceptable, and the aggregate coding score correlated substantially with both subjective ratings of joy intensity and automatic codings of positive emotionality. We can thus reasonably conclude that the validity of the current codings was high, but we are unable to judge the validity of the codings from Abel and Kruger's study. It is important that we failed to detect the expected effect not only for the categorical smile codings but also for subjective ratings of joy intensity and automatic codings of positive emotionality.

A similar picture emerged from the analyses that were based on the other samples. The nonoverlapping replication sample was substantially larger than the sample of the original study ($N = 527$ vs. $N = 196$); nevertheless, categorical smile codings, subjective ratings of joy intensity, and automatic codings of positive affectivity all failed to predict mortality when covariates were controlled. In the analyses of the full sample, the sample size was between 13 and 69 times larger than in Abel and Kruger's study. Nevertheless, we again did not find evidence for the premise that smiling has a life-prolonging effect.

When replication studies fail to reproduce an effect, critics often claim that "hidden moderators," such as differences in time, culture, or sample composition between the original study and the replication study, might account for the null effect (Stroebe & Strack, 2014; Van Bavel, Mende-Siedlecki, Brady, & Reinero, 2016). However, it is particularly difficult to blame such hidden moderators in the present case because the sample was drawn from the same population as in the original study, and the cohort that was analyzed was virtually identical. Therefore, in our view, the null results cannot be explained by unassessed moderating factors. Instead, the finding reported by Abel and Kruger appears to be a false positive result.

The current null results do not imply that dispositional positive affectivity is generally unrelated to longevity. As we stated earlier, studies relying on different methodologies and analyzing shorter time periods have reported positive links between positive affectivity and longevity (Diener & Chan, 2011). However, the current results indicate that the degree to which professional baseball players smile on a photograph taken during their career does not contain any genuine information about whether they are still alive half a century later.

Action Editor

Jamin Halberstadt served as action editor for this article.

Author Contributions

M. Dufner and S. C. Schmukle developed the study concept and analyzed the data. M. Brümmer programmed the scripts for the automatic data download from the database (photographs and biographical information on the players) and retrieved the automatic codings for the Emotion API computer program. J. M. Chung and P. M. Drewke were responsible for the human codings: They recruited and trained the coders, and they coded the photographs themselves. C. Blaison retrieved the automatic codings for the FaceReader and Computer Expression Recognition Toolbox computer programs. M. Dufner drafted the manuscript, and all coauthors provided critical revisions.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declared that they had no conflicts of interest with respect to their authorship or the publication of this article.

Supplemental Material

Additional supporting information can be found at <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/suppl/10.1177/0956797617734315>

Open Practices

All data have been made publicly available via the Open Science Framework and can be accessed at <https://osf.io/8y2ga/>. All materials are publicly available at the Baseball Reference website and can be accessed at <https://www.baseball-reference.com/>. The design and analysis plans for the study were pre-registered at the Open Science Framework (<https://osf.io/cbvhw/> and <https://osf.io/yrpjk/>). The complete Open Practices Disclosure for this article can be found at <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/suppl/10.1177/0956797617734315>. This article has received badges for Open Data, Open Materials, and Preregistration. More information about the Open Practices badges can be found at <http://www.psychologicalscience.org/publications/badges>.

Note

1. Marital status was used as a covariate in Abel and Kruger's (2010) study but not in the current study because systematic information on players' marital status was lacking when we retrieved the data.

References

- Abel, E. L., & Kruger, M. L. (2010). Smile intensity in photographs predicts longevity. *Psychological Science*, *21*, 542–544. doi:10.1177/0956797610363775
- Blazer, D. G., & Hybels, C. F. (2004). What symptoms of depression predict mortality in community-dwelling elders? *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, *52*, 2052–2056. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2004.52564.x
- Danner, D. D., Snowdon, D. A., & Friesen, W. V. (2001). Positive emotions in early life and longevity: Findings from the nun study. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *80*, 804–813. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.80.5.804
- Diener, E., & Chan, M. Y. (2011). Happy people live longer: Subjective well-being contributes to health and longevity. *Applied Psychology: Health and Well-Being*, *3*, 1–43. doi:10.1111/j.1758-0854.2010.01045.x
- Ekman, P., Davidson, R. J., & Friesen, W. V. (1990). The Duchenne smile: Emotional expression and brain physiology II. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *58*, 342–353. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.58.2.342
- Ekman, P., Friesen, W. V., & Hager, J. C. (2002). *The Facial Action Coding System* (2nd ed.). Salt Lake City, UT: Research Nexus eBook.
- Friedman, H. S., Tucker, J. S., Tomlinson-Keasey, C., Schwartz, J. E., Wingard, D. L., & Criqui, M. H. (1993). Does childhood personality predict longevity? *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *65*, 176–185. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.65.1.176
- Littlewort, G., Whitehill, J., Wu, T., Fasel, I. R., Frank, M. G., Movellan, J. R., & Bartlett, M. S. (2011). The Computer Expression Recognition Toolbox (CERT). In *IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face & Gesture Recognition and Workshops* (pp. 298–305). New York, NY: IEEE.
- Lyubomirsky, S., King, L., & Diener, E. (2005). The benefits of frequent positive affect: Does happiness lead to success? *Psychological Bulletin*, *131*, 803–855. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.131.6.803
- Lyyra, T., Törmäkangas, T. M., Read, S., Rantanen, T., & Berg, S. (2006). Satisfaction with present life predicts survival in octogenarians. *The Journals of Gerontology, Series B: Psychological Sciences & Social Sciences*, *61*, 319–326. doi:10.1093/geronb/61.6.P319
- Microsoft. (2015). Cognitive services [Computer software]. Retrieved from <https://www.microsoft.com/cognitive-services/en-us/documentation>
- Noldus. (2014). FaceReader: Tool for automatic analysis of facial expression: Version 6.0 [Computer software]. Wageningen, The Netherlands: Noldus Information Technology.
- Pressman, S. D., & Cohen, S. (2007). Use of social words in autobiographies and longevity. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, *69*, 262–269. doi:10.1097/PSY.0b013e31803cb919
- Roberts, B. W., Kuncel, N. R., Shiner, R., Caspi, A., & Goldberg, L. R. (2007). The power of personality: The comparative validity of personality traits, socioeconomic status, and cognitive ability for predicting important life outcomes. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *2*, 313–345. doi:10.1111/j.1745-6916.2007.00047.x
- Simonsohn, U. (2015). Small telescopes: Detectability and the evaluation of replication results. *Psychological Science*, *26*, 559–569. doi:10.1177/0956797614567341
- Stroebe, W., & Strack, F. (2014). The alleged crisis and the illusion of exact replication. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *9*, 59–71. doi:10.1177/1745691613514450
- Van Bavel, J. J., Mende-Siedlecki, P., Brady, W. J., & Reinero, D. A. (2016). Contextual sensitivity in scientific reproducibility. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA*, *113*, 6454–6459. doi:10.1073/pnas.1521897113