Tilburg University

Alternative axiomatic characterizations of the Shapley and Banzhaf values

Feltkamp, V.

Publication date:
1993

[Link to publication in Tilburg University Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Feltkamp, V. (1993). *Alternative axiomatic characterizations of the Shapley and Banzhaf values*. (CentER Discussion Paper; Vol. 1993-53). CentER.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

entER
for
omic Research

CBM
R
414
8414
1993
53

Discussion paper

* C I N O O 6 9 6 *

Center
for
Economic Research

No. 9353

**ALTERNATIVE AXIOMATIC
CHARACTERIZATIONS OF THE
SHAPLEY AND BANZHAF VALUES**

by V. Feltkamp

September 1993

K.U.B.
BIBLIOTHEEK
TILBURG

Center
for
Economic Research

No. 9353

**ALTERNATIVE AXIOMATIC
CHARACTERIZATIONS OF THE
SHAPLEY AND BANZHAF VALUES**

by V. Feltkamp

September 1993

ISSN 0924-7815

Alternative axiomatic characterizations of the Shapley and Banzhaf values

By V. Feltkamp^{1,2}

August 9, 1993

Abstract

In a paper in 1975, Dubey characterized the Shapley-Shubik index axiomatically on the class of monotonic simple games. In 1979, Dubey and Shapley characterized the Banzhaf index in a similar way. This paper extends these characterizations to axiomatic characterizations of the Shapley and Banzhaf values on the class of control games, on the class of simple games and on the class of all transferable utility games. In particular, it is shown that the additivity axiom which is usually used to characterize these values on the class of all transferable utility games can be weakened without changing the result.

¹Econometrics Department, Tilburg University, P.O. Box 90153, 5000 LE Tilburg, The Netherlands. This research is sponsored by the Foundation for the Promotion of Research in Economic Sciences, which is part of the Dutch Organization for Scientific Research (NWO)

²I thank S.H. Tijs, P. Borm, A. van den Nouweland and S. Muto for their suggestions and help in writing this paper.

1 Introduction

In a Transferable Utility (TU) game (N, v) as modeled by von Neumann and Morgenstern (1944), N is a finite set of players and the *characteristic* function v is a real valued function assigning to each subset S of N its worth, which is to be interpreted as the maximum gains the *coalition* S can guarantee by cooperating.

In politicalology and sociology, TU-games have been used to study various kinds of voting situations. There, typically, the worths of the coalitions are restricted to $\{0, 1\}$. The interpretation is that the coalitions S with worth 1 can decide collectively on the issue under consideration without the help of players outside S . Therefore, these coalitions are called *winning*. TU-games of this kind are called *simple* games and were first considered in von Neumann and Morgenstern (1944). Further studies on simple games are e.g. Shapley-Shubik (1954), Shapley (1962), Banzhaf (1965), Shapley (1967), Dubey (1975), Dubey-Shapley (1979), Peleg (1981), Shapley (1981), Lehrer (1988) and Einy (1988).

In the literature, discussion of simple games is mostly concentrated on monotonic simple games, based on the voting interpretation. However, if the simple games model not only theoretical power but actual power, monotonicity may be lost. For example in parliament, a majoritarian coalition which is composed of people with opposing interests might theoretically form a government, but internal conflict will prevent all bills being passed. At the same time, excluding some members of this coalition might yield a coalition that succeeds in passing bills.

Because traditionally, simple games are used to model voting situations, a solution concept on the class of simple games is also called a power index : it measures the power of a voter. Shapley and Shubik (1954) introduced the Shapley-Shubik index, which is the Shapley value restricted to simple games. Dubey (1975) characterized this index axiomatically on the class of monotonic simple games. Another power index is the Banzhaf index, which was introduced by Banzhaf (1965) and which was characterized axiomatically by Dubey and Shapley (1979), again on the class of monotonic simple games. Einy (1988) extended these axiomatic characterizations to several classes of monotonic TU-games. The proofs of the characterizations on the class of monotonic simple games use *minimal* winning coalitions, i.e. winning coalitions such that every subcoalition is losing. While this concept is natural for monotonic simple games, it is not for nonmonotonic simple games.

In section 2, a different line of proof shows that with axioms similar to those of Dubey (1975), one can characterize the Shapley value on the class of control games, the class of all simple games, and also on the class of all TU-games. With a different efficiency axiom, we also extend the characterization of the Banzhaf value to these classes.

2 Axiomatic characterizations of the Shapley and Banzhaf values

A *simple* game (N, v) is a TU-game in which the range of the characteristic function v is $\{0, 1\}$. A simple game v is completely determined by the set

$$W(v) := \{S \subseteq N \mid v(S) = 1\}$$

of winning coalitions.

This definition of simple games coincides with the one given by Dubey (1975), while a number of authors, among them Von Neuman and Morgenstern (1944) and Shapley (1962) consider only *monotonic* simple games, i.e. simple games (N, v) such that $v(S) \leq v(T)$ for all $S \subseteq T \subseteq N$. Curiel, Derks, and Tijs (1989) used *control* games. These are simple games in which the grand coalition N is winning. Note that a non-zero monotonic simple game is a control game.

In the sequel, N will denote an arbitrary but fixed set of players and all games will have N as player set, unless specified otherwise. We often identify the game (N, v) with its characteristic function v . We denote the class of TU-games with player set N by G^N , the class of simple games with player set N by SG^N , the class of control games with player set N by CG^N and the class of monotonic simple games with player set N by MSG^N .

For real numbers a and b , we denote $a \vee b := \max\{a, b\}$, and $a \wedge b := \min\{a, b\}$. For TU-games $v, w \in G^N$, $v \vee w$ and $v \wedge w$ denote the games defined by

$$(v \vee w)(S) := v(S) \vee w(S) \quad \text{for all } S \subseteq N$$

$$(v \wedge w)(S) := v(S) \wedge w(S) \quad \text{for all } S \subseteq N.$$

For each of the classes of simple games, control games and monotonic simple games it holds that if v and w are in the class, so are $v \vee w$ and $v \wedge w$.

A *solution concept* or *value* on a class $\mathcal{C}^N \subseteq G^N$ of TU-games is a vector valued function $\psi : \mathcal{C}^N \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^N$, assigning the real number $\psi_i(v)$ to each player i in the game $v \in \mathcal{C}^N$.

We proceed by providing some properties of a solution concept on a class $\mathcal{C}^N$.

- A solution ψ is *efficient* if $\sum_{i \in N} \psi_i(v) = v(N)$ for all games $v \in \mathcal{C}^N$.
- A solution ψ is *anonymous* if for all $v \in \mathcal{C}^N$ and for all permutations σ of N such that $\sigma v \in \mathcal{C}^N$,

$$\psi_{\sigma(i)}(v) = \psi_i(\sigma v) \quad \text{for all } i \in N,$$

where the game σv is defined by

$$\sigma v(S) = v(\sigma(S)) \quad \text{for all } S \subseteq N.$$

- A *null player* in a game $v \in \mathcal{C}^N$ is a player $i \in N$ such that $v(S) = v(S \setminus \{i\})$ for all $S \subseteq N$ containing i .

A solution ψ has the *null player property* if $\psi_i(v) = 0$ for all games $v \in \mathcal{C}^N$ with null player i .

- A *carrier* of a game $v \in \mathcal{C}^N$ is a coalition $T \subseteq N$ such that $v(S) = v(S \cap T)$ for all $S \subseteq N$.

A solution ψ has the *carrier property* if $\sum_{i \in T} \psi_i(v) = v(T)$ for all games $v \in \mathcal{C}^N$ and each carrier T of v .

- A solution ψ has the *transfer* property if

$$\psi(v \vee w) + \psi(v \wedge w) = \psi(v) + \psi(w)$$

for all games $v, w \in \mathcal{C}^N$ such that $v \vee w, v \wedge w \in \mathcal{C}^N$.

- A solution is *additive* if

$$\psi(v + w) = \psi(v) + \psi(w)$$

for all games $v, w \in \mathcal{C}^N$ such that $v + w \in \mathcal{C}^N$.

The following should be noted : if $\mathcal{C}_1^N \subseteq \mathcal{C}_2^N$ and a solution ψ satisfies any of the properties named above on $\mathcal{C}_2^N$, it satisfies the property on the class $\mathcal{C}_1^N$ as well. On the class of control games, the additivity property is useless : all control games have a winning grand coalition, hence the sum of two control games is not a control game.

Furthermore, a value which is additive on G^N satisfies the transfer property on G^N and hence also on any subclass. To prove this, take $v, w \in G^N$. Then, using additivity,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(v \vee w) + \phi(v \wedge w) &= \phi(v \vee w + v \wedge w) \\ &= \phi(v + w) \\ &= \phi(v) + \phi(w). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we note that the carrier property is equivalent to the efficiency and null player properties together.

A widely studied solution concept is the *Shapley value* ϕ (cf. Shapley (1953)) of a game $v \in G^N$, defined by

$$\phi_i(v) = \sum_{S \ni i} \frac{|N \setminus S|! |S \setminus \{i\}|!}{|N|!} (v(S) - v(S \setminus i))$$

for all $i \in N$. Here, $|S|$ denotes the cardinality of the set S .

It is well known that the Shapley value is efficient, anonymous, additive and satisfies the null player property on G^N and hence on any subclass of G^N . The remark above shows that it satisfies the transfer property on any class of TU-games.

The following theorem generalizes *Theorem II* in Dubey (1975).

Theorem 1 The unique value on the class of control games satisfying efficiency, anonymity, the null player property and the transfer property is the Shapley value.

Proof : It is clear that the Shapley value satisfies the four properties mentioned in the theorem. Suppose a solution concept v on satisfies these four properties as well. We prove v coincides with the Shapley value ϕ .

First, Dubey (1975) proved that the Shapley value is the unique value on the class of monotonic simple games satisfying anonymity and the carrier and transfer properties. The carrier property is equivalent to efficiency and the null player property combined, hence v coincides with the Shapley value on this class.

In order to extend this result to the class of all control games, we introduce the Dirac games δ_S defined by

$$\delta_S(T) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } T = S, \\ 0 & \text{if } T \neq S. \end{cases}$$

Let the control games δ'_S be defined by $\delta'_S = \delta_S + \delta_N$. Note that $(u_S - \delta_S) \vee \delta'_S = u_S$ and $(u_S - \delta_S) \wedge \delta'_S = \delta_N = u_N$ for all $S \subset N$. Using the transfer property and the fact that $u_S - \delta_S$ is a control game we obtain

$$\psi(u_N) + \psi(u_S) = \psi(u_S - \delta_S) + \psi(\delta'_S).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } \psi(\delta'_S) &= \psi(u_N) + \psi(u_S) - \psi(u_S - \delta_S) \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{=} \phi(u_N) + \phi(u_S) - \phi(u_S - \delta_S) \\ &\stackrel{(2)}{=} \phi(\delta'_S), \end{aligned}$$

where (1) follows from the monotonicity of u_N , u_S , $u_S - \delta_S$ and coincidence of ψ and ϕ on the class of monotonic simple games, and (2) because the Shapley value ϕ satisfies the transfer property.

Note that any arbitrary control game v can be written

$$v = \bigvee_{T \in W(v)} \delta'_T.$$

We prove $\psi(v) = \phi(v)$ for all $v \in CG^N$ by induction on $|W(v)|$:

- if $|W(v)| = 1$, then $v = u_N$ which is monotonic, hence $\psi(v) = \phi(v)$.
- if $|W(v)| = 2$, then $v = \delta'_T$ for some $T \subset N$, hence $\psi(v) = \phi(v)$.
- Choose $k \geq 2$ and suppose $\psi(v)$ coincides with $\phi(v)$ on all games $v \in CG^N$ with $|W(v)| \leq k$. Take a game v with $|W(v)| = k + 1$, and choose a $T \in W(v) \setminus \{N\}$. Then $v = (v - \delta_T) \vee \delta'_T$, $(v - \delta_T) \wedge \delta'_T = u_N$ and $W(v - \delta_T) = W(v) \setminus \{T\}$, so $|W(v - \delta_T)| = k$. Hence by the transfer axiom and the induction hypothesis

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(v) &= \psi(v - \delta_T) + \psi(\delta'_T) - \psi(u_N) \\ &= \phi(v - \delta_T) + \phi(\delta'_T) - \phi(u_N) \\ &= \phi(v). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the uniqueness of a solution satisfying the four properties on CG^N . □

Along the same lines one can prove

Theorem 2 The unique value on the class of simple games satisfying efficiency, anonymity, the null player property and the transfer property is the Shapley value.

In order to characterize the Shapley value on the class of all TU-games, we first need some lemmas. The zero game in G^N is denoted by $\underline{0}$.

Lemma 3 Let v be a solution on G^N satisfying the transfer property, with $\psi(\underline{0}) = 0$. Then for all games $v \in G^N$,

$$\psi(v) = \sum_{S \subseteq N} v(S) \delta_S. \quad (1)$$

Proof : We prove in three steps that equation (1) holds.

1. For the class of all non-negative games v the proof is by induction on

$$k(v) := |\{S \subseteq N \mid v(S) > 0\}|.$$

(A game v is non-negative if $v(S) \geq 0$ for all $S \subseteq N$.)

- If $k(v) = 0$ then $v = \underline{0}$ and so $\psi(v) = 0 = \sum_{S \subseteq N} \psi(v(S)\delta_S)$.
- Take $k > 0$ and suppose equation (1) holds for all non-negative games v with $k(v) < k$. For a non-negative game v with $k(v) = k$, choose a coalition $T \subseteq N$ such that $v(T) > 0$. Then $k(v - v(T)\delta_T) = k - 1$, hence using transfer and the induction hypothesis, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(v) &= \psi[v - v(T)\delta_T] + \psi[v(T)\delta_T] - \psi[(v - v(T)\delta_T) \wedge v(T)\delta_T] \\ &= \sum_{S \subseteq N} \psi[(v - v(T)\delta_T)(S)\delta_S] + \psi[v(T)\delta_T] - \psi(\underline{0}) \\ &= \sum_{S \in 2^N \setminus \{T\}} \psi(v(S)\delta_S) + \psi(v(T)\delta_T) \\ &= \sum_{S \subseteq N} \psi(v(S)\delta_S). \end{aligned}$$

2. For non-positive games one proves analogously (interchanging maxima and minima) that equation (1) holds.
3. For an arbitrary game v , use the transfer property to write

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(v) &= \psi(v) + \psi(\underline{0}) \\ &= \psi(v \vee \underline{0}) + \psi(v \wedge \underline{0}) \\ &= \sum_{S \subseteq N} [\psi((v \vee \underline{0})(S)\delta_S) + \psi((v \wedge \underline{0})(S)\delta_S)] \\ &= \sum_{S \subseteq N} \psi(v(S)\delta_S). \end{aligned}$$

Hence equation (1) holds for all TU-games. $\square$

Remark The converse is also true : If a solution concept ψ on G^N satisfies equation (1) for all games $v \in G^N$ then ψ satisfies the transfer property and $\psi(\underline{0}) = 0$.

While lemma 3 shows that a solution concept satisfying the transfer property is determined by its value on multiples of Dirac games, the next lemma shows it is also determined by its values on multiples of unanimity games.

Lemma 4 Let N be fixed. Suppose for each $S \in 2^N \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ and for each real number α , a vector $\psi_{\alpha,S} \in \mathbf{R}^N$ is given, satisfying $\psi_{0,S} = 0$ for all $S \in 2^N \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. Then there exists a unique solution concept on G^N satisfying the transfer property, such that

$$\psi(\alpha u_S) = \psi_{\alpha,S} \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbf{R}, \text{ and all } S \in 2^N \setminus \{\emptyset\}. \quad (2)$$

Proof : First we prove unicity. Suppose there exists a solution ψ satisfying equation (2) and the transfer property. Then $\psi(\underline{0}) = \psi(0u_N) = \psi_{0,N} = 0$. Hence according to lemma 3, equation (1) holds, and applying it to the game αu_S , we obtain

$$\psi_{\alpha,S} = \psi(\alpha u_S) = \sum_{T: T \supseteq S} \psi(\alpha \delta_T) \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbf{R}, \text{ for all } S \in 2^N \setminus \{\emptyset\}. \quad (3)$$

For each fixed α this finite system of linear equations (with variables $\psi_{\alpha,S}$ and $\psi(\alpha \delta_S)$, $S \in 2^N \setminus \{\emptyset\}$) is easily inverted, yielding

$$\psi(\alpha \delta_T) = \sum_{S: S \supseteq T} (-1)^{|S \setminus T|} \psi_{\alpha,S} \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbf{R} \text{ and all } T \in 2^N \setminus \{\emptyset\}. \quad (4)$$

Hence by equation (1),

$$\psi(v) = \sum_{T \subseteq N} \sum_{S: S \supseteq T} (-1)^{|S \setminus T|} \psi_{v(T),S} \quad \text{for all TU-games } v, \quad (5)$$

which implies ψ is unique.

This construction of ψ proves existence as well : given the numbers $\psi_{\alpha,S}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ and $S \in 2^N \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, construct a solution ψ first on Dirac games, using equation (4) and then on all TU-games using equation (1). This solution ψ will then satisfy equation (1), hence it satisfies the transfer axiom. It also satisfies equation (2), so it is the solution concept asked for. $\square$

Using this lemma, we now prove

Theorem 5 The Shapley value is the unique value on G^N satisfying efficiency, anonymity, the null player property and the transfer property.

Proof : We already noted that the Shapley value satisfies the four properties. To prove uniqueness, let v be a value that satisfies the four properties mentioned. Consider a game of the form αu_S . By the null player property, $v_i(\alpha u_S) = 0$ if i is not a member of S , and by anonymity, all players in S obtain the same payoff. Hence,

$$v_i(\alpha u_S) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \notin S \\ x & \text{if } i \in S \end{cases}$$

for some real number x . Efficiency then yields $|S|x = \alpha u_S(N) = \alpha$ and $x = \alpha/|S|$. Hence ψ is determined on multiples of unanimity games, $v(0u_S) = 0$ for all nonempty coalitions S , and lemma 4 implies uniqueness. $\square$

Another solution concept is the *Banzhaf* value η (cf. Banzhaf (1965), Owen (1975)), defined on G^N by

$$\eta_i(v) = \sum_{S \ni i} [v(S) - v(S \setminus i)]$$

for all $i \in N$. It is easily seen that the Banzhaf value satisfies anonymity, additivity and the null player property. Being additive, it satisfies the transfer property as well. Note

that it does not satisfy efficiency. Define $\bar{\eta}(v) := \sum_{i \in N} \eta_i(v)$. Now the characterization by Dubey and Shapley (1979) of the Banzhaf value on the class of monotonic simple games can be extended to characterizations on the class of all simple games and the class of all TU-games. Along similar lines as theorems 1, 2 and 5 one can show

Theorem 6

1. The Banzhaf value is the unique value ψ on CG^N satisfying anonymity, the null player property and the transfer property such that

$$\sum_{i \in N} \psi_i(v) = \bar{\eta}(v) \quad \text{for all } v. \quad (6)$$

2. The Banzhaf value is the unique value ψ on SG^N satisfying anonymity, the null player property, the transfer property and (6).
3. The Banzhaf value is the unique value ψ on G^N satisfying anonymity, the null player property, the transfer property and (6).

References

- Banzhaf JF III (1965) 'Weighted voting doesn't work : a mathematical analysis'. *Rutgers Law Review* 19, 317-343.
- Curiel IJ, Derks J, and Tijs SH (1989) 'On balanced games and games with committee control'. *OR Spectrum* 11, 83-88.
- Dubey P (1975) 'On the uniqueness of the Shapley value'. *Int. J. of Game Theory* 4, 131-139.
- Dubey P, and Shapley LS (1979) 'Mathematical properties of the Banzhaf power index'. *Math. Oper. Res.* 4, 99-131.
- Einy E (1988) 'The Shapley value on some lattices of monotonic games'. *Math. Social Sciences* 15, 1-10.
- Lehrer E (1988) 'An axiomatization of the Banzhaf value'. *Int. J. of Game Theory* 17, 89-99.
- Neumann J von, and Morgenstern O (1944) *Theory of games and economic behavior*. Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- Owen G (1975) 'Multilinear extensions and the Banzhaf value'. *Naval Res. Logist. Quart.* 22, 741-750.
- Peleg B (1981) 'Coalition formation in simple games with dominant players'. *Int. J. of Game Theory* 10, 11-33.

- Shapley LS (1953) 'A value for n-person games'. *Annals of Mathematical Studies* 28, 307-317.
- Shapley LS (1962) 'Simple games : an outline of the descriptive theory'. *Behavioral Science* 7, 59-66.
- Shapley LS (1967) 'On committees'. In : New methods of thought and procedure. (Eds. Zwicky and Wilson) . Springer New York, 246-270.
- Shapley LS (1981) 'Measurement of power in political systems'. *Proceedings of Symposia in Applied Mathematics* 24, 69-81.
- Shapley LS, and Shubik M (1954) 'A method for evaluating the distribution of power in a committee system'. *American Political Science Review* 48, 787-792.

Discussion Paper Series, CentER, Tilburg University, The Netherlands:

(For previous papers please consult previous discussion papers.)

No.	Author(s)	Title
9221	S. Smulders and Th. van de Klundert	Monopolistic Competition, Product Variety and Growth: Chamberlin vs. Schumpeter
9222	H. Bester and E. Petrakis	Price Competition and Advertising in Oligopoly
9223	A. van den Nouweland, M. Maschler and S. Tijs	Monotonic Games are Spanning Network Games
9224	H. Suehiro	A "Mistaken Theories" Refinement
9225	H. Suehiro	Robust Selection of Equilibria
9226	D. Friedman	Economically Applicable Evolutionary Games
9227	E. Bomhoff	Four Econometric Fashions and the Kalman Filter Alternative - A Simulation Study
9228	P. Borm, G.-J. Otten and H. Peters	Core Implementation in Modified Strong and Coalition Proof Nash Equilibria
9229	H.G. Bloemen and A. Kapteyn	The Joint Estimation of a Non-Linear Labour Supply Function and a Wage Equation Using Simulated Response Probabilities
9230	R. Beetsma and F. van der Ploeg	Does Inequality Cause Inflation? - The Political Economy of Inflation, Taxation and Government Debt
9231	G. Almekinders and S. Eijffinger	Daily Bundesbank and Federal Reserve Interventions - Do they Affect the Level and Unexpected Volatility of the DM/\$-Rate?
9232	F. Vella and M. Verbeek	Estimating the Impact of Endogenous Union Choice on Wages Using Panel Data
9233	P. de Bijl and S. Goyal	Technological Change in Markets with Network Externalities
9234	J. Angrist and G. Imbens	Average Causal Response with Variable Treatment Intensity
9235	L. Meijdam, M. van de Ven and H. Verbon	Strategic Decision Making and the Dynamics of Government Debt
9236	H. Houba and A. de Zeeuw	Strategic Bargaining for the Control of a Dynamic System in State-Space Form
9237	A. Cameron and P. Trivedi	Tests of Independence in Parametric Models: With Applications and Illustrations

No.	Author(s)	Title
9238	J.-S. Pischke	Individual Income, Incomplete Information, and Aggregate Consumption
9239	H. Bloemen	A Model of Labour Supply with Job Offer Restrictions
9240	F. Drost and Th. Nijman	Temporal Aggregation of GARCH Processes
9241	R. Gilles, P. Ruys and J. Shou	Coalition Formation in Large Network Economies
9242	P. Kort	The Effects of Marketable Pollution Permits on the Firm's Optimal Investment Policies
9243	A.L. Bovenberg and F. van der Ploeg	Environmental Policy, Public Finance and the Labour Market in a Second-Best World
9244	W.G. Gale and J.K. Scholz	IRAs and Household Saving
9245	A. Bera and P. Ng	Robust Tests for Heteroskedasticity and Autocorrelation Using Score Function
9246	R.T. Baillie, C.F. Chung and M.A. Tieslau	The Long Memory and Variability of Inflation: A Reappraisal of the Friedman Hypothesis
9247	M.A. Tieslau, P. Schmidt and R.T. Baillie	A Generalized Method of Moments Estimator for Long-Memory Processes
9248	K. Wärneryd	Partisanship as Information
9249	H. Huizinga	The Welfare Effects of Individual Retirement Accounts
9250	H.G. Bloemen	Job Search Theory, Labour Supply and Unemployment Duration
9251	S. Eijffinger and E. Schaling	Central Bank Independence: Searching for the Philosophers' Stone
9252	A.L. Bovenberg and R.A. de Mooij	Environmental Taxation and Labor-Market Distortions
9253	A. Lusardi	Permanent Income, Current Income and Consumption: Evidence from Panel Data
9254	R. Beetsma	Imperfect Credibility of the Band and Risk Premia in the European Monetary System
9301	N. Kahana and S. Nitzan	Credibility and Duration of Political Contests and the Extent of Rent Dissipation
9302	W. Güth and S. Nitzan	Are Moral Objections to Free Riding Evolutionarily Stable?

No.	Author(s)	Title
9303	D. Karotkin and S. Nitzan	Some Peculiarities of Group Decision Making in Teams
9304	A. Lusardi	Euler Equations in Micro Data: Merging Data from Two Samples
9305	W. Güth	A Simple Justification of Quantity Competition and the Cournot-Oligopoly Solution
9306	B. Peleg and S. Tijs	The Consistency Principle For Games in Strategic Form
9307	G. Imbens and A. Lancaster	Case Control Studies with Contaminated Controls
9308	T. Ellingsen and K. Wärneryd	Foreign Direct Investment and the Political Economy of Protection
9309	H. Bester	Price Commitment in Search Markets
9310	T. Callan and A. van Soest	Female Labour Supply in Farm Households: Farm and Off-Farm Participation
9311	M. Pradhan and A. van Soest	Formal and Informal Sector Employment in Urban Areas of Bolivia
9312	Th. Nijman and E. Sentana	Marginalization and Contemporaneous Aggregation in Multivariate GARCH Processes
9313	K. Wärneryd	Communication, Complexity, and Evolutionary Stability
9314	O.P. Attanasio and M. Browning	Consumption over the Life Cycle and over the Business Cycle
9315	F. C. Drost and B. J. M. Werker	A Note on Robinson's Test of Independence
9316	H. Hamers, P. Borm and S. Tijs	On Games Corresponding to Sequencing Situations with Ready Times
9317	W. Güth	On Ultimatum Bargaining Experiments - A Personal Review
9318	M.J.G. van Eijs	On the Determination of the Control Parameters of the Optimal Can-order Policy
9319	S. Hurkens	Multi-sided Pre-play Communication by Burning Money
9320	J.J.G. Lemmen and S.C.W. Eijffinger	The Quantity Approach to Financial Integration: The Feldstein-Horioka Criterion Revisited
9321	A.L. Bovenberg and S. Smulders	Environmental Quality and Pollution-saving Technological Change in a Two-sector Endogenous Growth Model

No.	Author(s)	Title
9322	K.-E. Wärneryd	The Will to Save Money: an Essay on Economic Psychology
9323	D. Talman, Y. Yamamoto and Z. Yang	The $(2^{n+m-1} - 2)$ -Ray Algorithm: A New Variable Dimension Simplicial Algorithm For Computing Economic Equilibria on $S^n \times R^m$
9324	H. Huizinga	The Financing and Taxation of U.S. Direct Investment Abroad
9325	S.C.W. Eijffinger and E. Schaling	Central Bank Independence: Theory and Evidence
9326	T.C. To	Infant Industry Protection with Learning-by-Doing
9327	J.P.J.F. Scheepens	Bankruptcy Litigation and Optimal Debt Contracts
9328	T.C. To	Tariffs, Rent Extraction and Manipulation of Competition
9329	F. de Jong, T. Nijman and A. Röell	A Comparison of the Cost of Trading French Shares on the Paris Bourse and on SEAQ International
9330	H. Huizinga	The Welfare Effects of Individual Retirement Accounts
9331	H. Huizinga	Time Preference and International Tax Competition
9332	V. Feltkamp, A. Koster, A. van den Nouweland, P. Borm and S. Tijs	Linear Production with Transport of Products, Resources and Technology
9333	B. Lauterbach and U. Ben-Zion	Panic Behavior and the Performance of Circuit Breakers: Empirical Evidence
9334	B. Melenberg and A. van Soest	Semi-parametric Estimation of the Sample Selection Model
9335	A.L. Bovenberg and F. van der Ploeg	Green Policies and Public Finance in a Small Open Economy
9336	E. Schaling	On the Economic Independence of the Central Bank and the Persistence of Inflation
9337	G.-J. Otten	Characterizations of a Game Theoretical Cost Allocation Method
9338	M. Gradstein	Provision of Public Goods With Incomplete Information: Decentralization vs. Central Planning
9339	W. Güth and H. Kliemt	Competition or Co-operation
9340	T.C. To	Export Subsidies and Oligopoly with Switching Costs
9341	A. Demirgüç-Kunt and H. Huizinga	Barriers to Portfolio Investments in Emerging Stock Markets

No.	Author(s)	Title
9342	G.J. Almekinders	Theories on the Scope for Foreign Exchange Market Intervention
9343	E.R. van Dam and W.H. Haemers	Eigenvalues and the Diameter of Graphs
9344	H. Carlsson and S. Dasgupta	Noise-Proof Equilibria in Signaling Games
9345	F. van der Ploeg and A.L. Bovenberg	Environmental Policy, Public Goods and the Marginal Cost of Public Funds
9346	J.P.C. Blanc and R.D. van der Mei	The Power-series Algorithm Applied to Polling Systems with a Dormant Server
9347	J.P.C. Blanc	Performance Analysis and Optimization with the Power-series Algorithm
9348	R.M.W.J. Beetsma and F. van der Ploeg	Intramarginal Interventions, Bands and the Pattern of EMS Exchange Rate Distributions
9349	A. Simonovits	Intercohort Heterogeneity and Optimal Social Insurance Systems
9350	R.C. Douven and J.C. Engwerda	Is There Room for Convergence in the E.C.?
9351	F. Vella and M. Verbeek	Estimating and Interpreting Models with Endogenous Treatment Effects: The Relationship Between Competing Estimators of the Union Impact on Wages
9352	C. Meghir and G. Weber	Intertemporal Non-separability or Borrowing Restrictions? A Disaggregate Analysis Using the US CEX Panel
9353	V. Feltkamp	Alternative Axiomatic Characterizations of the Shapley and Banzhaf Values

P.O. BOX 90153, 5000 LE TILBURG, THE NETHERLANDS

Bibliotheek K. U. Brabant

17 000 01133130 4