Tilburg University

A macroeconomic two-country model with price-discriminating monopolists

van de Klundert, T.C.M.J.

Publication date:
1987

[Link to publication in Tilburg University Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

van de Klundert, T. C. M. J. (1987). *A macroeconomic two-country model with price-discriminating monopolists*. (Research memorandum / Tilburg University, Department of Economics; Vol. FEW 279). Unknown Publisher.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

R

CBM

R

9

UNIVERSITEIT
BRABANT

POSTBOX 90153
5000 LE TILBURG
THE NETHERLANDS

7626
1987
279

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS
RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

A MACROECONOMIC TWO-COUNTRY MODEL WITH
PRICE-DISCRIMINATING MONOPOLISTS

Th. van de Klundert

FEW 279

A Macroeconomic Two-Country Model with Price-discriminating Monopolists

by

Th. van de Klundert

Tilburg University

P.O.Box 90153

5000 LE Tilburg

The Netherlands

Abstract

The paper analyses strategic behaviour in international trade in a macroeconomic setting. The microeconomic foundations are discussed first. Consumers face a two-stage decision problem, but the intertemporal aspects of the problem are left out of consideration. Profit maximizing firms behave as discriminating monopolists, driving a wedge between the real exchange rate and the relative price of products in a two-country, two-commodity world. Assuming equilibrium in both labour markets and both product markets the outcomes under imperfect competition and under perfect competition are compared. Applying a log-linear version of the model the impact of different shocks is derived analytically.

A Macroeconomic Two-Country Model with Price-discriminating Monopolists

by

Th. van de Klundert^{*)}

1. Introduction

Monopolistic competition has gained a renewed interest in economic theory. In the macroeconomic field the question is asked whether monopolistic competition introduces Keynesian features into the model because firms face a demand constraint (e.g., Hart, 1982; Akerlof and Yellen, 1985; Blanchard and Kiyotaki, 1985; Van de Klundert and Peters, 1987). In the theory of international trade imperfect competition is applied to explain intrasectoral trade along with intersectoral trade (e.g., Dixit and Norman, 1980; Helpman, 1984; Krugman, 1985; Venables, 1985). As such these models are helpful in understanding the consequences of world-wide competition in specific commodity markets.

The present paper introduces price-discriminating monopolists in a two-country macroeconomic model. The basic idea is considered in a seminal paper by Branson and Rotemberg (1980), but the microeconomic foundations of their model are not fully developed. As will be argued, this leads to some confusion with regard to the role of relative commodity prices and terms of trade. Price-discriminating monopolists drive a wedge between the real exchange rate (terms of trade) and relative consumers' prices. The consequences of such a divergence need to be carefully analysed.

The two-country macroeconomic model which serves here as a framework for the analysis of monopolistic competition is in the spirit of equilibrium models as studied among others by Lipton and Sachs (1983), Buiter (1984), Van de Klundert (1986), Attanasio and Van der Ploeg (1987). It may be of interest to compare the solutions of the model under different market structures. In addition, the more practical question may be asked in which way monopolistic competition determines the impact of

^{*)} I am indebted to J. Frijns, R. de Groof, S. Kuipers, F. van der Ploeg, V. Okker and A. van Schaik for useful comments on an earlier draft. The usual disclaimer of course applies.

shocks emanating from the demand side or the supply side of the economy. Import competition seems to a large extent price competition, which may have far reaching consequences. As stated by a group of economists in a Report for the EEC: "To think about the issue of import competition, one must relax the assumption of perfect competition in product markets. We can think of the problem most easily in terms of monopolistic competition, where each firm's demand depends on aggregate demand and the firm's price relative to the industry average. Import competition here simply takes the form of a reduction in the industry-wide price because the import segment of the industry price falls. All domestic firms face an inward shift of their demand curve. They react by contracting output and employment" (Blanchard, et. al., 1985, pp. 12-13). As this view may be influential, it may be worthwhile to scrutinize these and associated ideas by applying a more formal mode of analysis.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 the microeconomic foundations of the model are given proper attention. Consumer behaviour is studied in section 2.1, while firm behaviour is examined in section 2.2. Applying these results a two-country macroeconomic equilibrium model is presented in section 3.1. Some differences between perfect and imperfect competition are reported in this section. Further results are obtained by a comparative static analysis. For this purpose a log-linear version of the model is presented in section 3.2. The impact of supply and demand shocks is analysed by solving this log-linear version in section 3.3. The paper closes with some conclusions.

2. Microfoundations of the model

2.1 Consumer behaviour

Consumers have to decide how much they will spend in the current period and how they will distribute that amount over the different commodities in the market. It will be assumed that both decisions are separable and that the first decision has already been made. The amount to be spend on different commodities (cp_c) is therefore known. The consumption menu

consists of m domestic goods and m^* foreign goods. Domestic goods are produced under identical conditions implying that they can be aggregated. The domestic country specializes in the commodity indicated by the subscript 1. Total consumption of this commodity at home is denoted by c_1 . The foreign country specializes in commodity two. All foreign goods are also produced under the same technological conditions. Total demand for this commodity at home (import) is denoted by c_2 . The elasticity of substitution (η) between each pair of goods irrespective of their origin is the same, greater than one, and constant. The utility-index may then be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{u} &= \left[m \left(\frac{c_1}{m} \right)^{\frac{\eta-1}{\eta}} + m^* \left(\frac{c_2}{m^*} \right)^{\frac{\eta-1}{\eta}} \right]^{\frac{\eta}{\eta-1}} \\ &= \left[m^{\frac{1}{\eta}} c_1^{\frac{\eta-1}{\eta}} + m^{*\frac{1}{\eta}} c_2^{\frac{\eta-1}{\eta}} \right]^{\frac{\eta}{\eta-1}}, \quad \eta > 1\end{aligned}\quad (2.1.1)$$

Dividing through by $(m+m^*)^{\frac{\eta}{\eta-1}}$ the utility-index $\tilde{u}$ is transformed into:

$$u = \left[\alpha^{\frac{1}{\eta}} c_1^{\frac{\eta-1}{\eta}} + (1-\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\eta}} c_2^{\frac{\eta-1}{\eta}} \right]^{\frac{\eta}{\eta-1}}, \quad (2.1.2)$$

where $\alpha = \frac{m}{m+m^*}$.

The representative consumer in the domestic country chooses c_1 and c_2 to maximize utility u subject to the budget constraint

$$c p_c = c_1 p_1 + c_2 p_2, \quad (2.1.3)$$

where p_1 denotes the price of the domestic good and p_2 denotes the price of the foreign or imported good. The first order conditions for a maximum lead to the following solutions:

$$c_1 = \alpha c \left(\frac{p_1}{p_c} \right)^{-\eta} \quad (2.1.4)$$

$$c_2 = (1-\alpha)c \left(\frac{p_2}{p_c} \right)^{-\eta}, \quad \text{where} \quad (2.1.5)$$

$$p_c = [\alpha p_1^{1-\eta} + (1-\alpha)p_2^{1-\eta}]^{\frac{1}{1-\eta}} \quad (2.1.6)$$

is the ideal definition of the general price-index.

The corresponding equations in the foreign country, where we indicate variables with an asteriks, read

$$c_2^* = (1-\alpha)c^* \left(\frac{p_2^*}{p_c^*} \right)^{-\eta} \quad (2.1.7)$$

$$c_1^* = \alpha c^* \left(\frac{p_1^*}{p_c^*} \right)^{-\eta} \quad (2.1.8)$$

$$p_c^* = [(1-\alpha)p_2^{*1-\eta} + \alpha p_1^{*1-\eta}]^{\frac{1}{1-\eta}} \quad (2.1.9)$$

It should be observed that there are m^* domestic goods and m imported goods in the foreign country assuming the same consumption menu in both regions.

2.2 Firm behaviour

Following Dixit and Stiglitz (1977) each product is associated with a single firm, which sets the price of its specific commodity. The number of firms is sufficiently large and each firm ignores the effect of its actions on the general price level. There is a situation of monopolistic competition. However, the markets at home and abroad are separated which opens the possibility of price discrimination. As in Branson and Rotemberg (1980) we therefore postulate that the representative firm in each country behaves as a discriminating monopolist, charging different prices at home and abroad. The production function is $f(\ell)$, with $f_\ell(\ell) < 0$

and ℓ denoting labour input. Profit maximization of domestic firms can then be formulated as

$$\max_{\{c_1, c_1^*\}} \pi = p_1 c_1 + p_1^* c_1^* - w \ell \quad (2.2.1)$$

$$\text{s.t. } c_1 + c_1^* = f(\ell), \quad (2.2.2)$$

where w denotes the nominal wage rate. Substitution of the inverted demand equations according to (2.1.4), (2.1.8) and equation (2.2.2) in the profit relationship gives:

$$\pi = p_c (\alpha c)^{\frac{1}{\eta}} c_1^{1-\frac{1}{\eta}} + p_c^* (\alpha c^*)^{\frac{1}{\eta}} c_1^{*1-\frac{1}{\eta}} - w f^{-1}(c_1 + c_1^*) \quad (2.2.1a)$$

Maximization of equation (2.2.1a) results after some manipulation in the following first order conditions:

$$\frac{\eta-1}{\eta} p_1 f_\ell(\ell) = w \quad (2.2.3)$$

$$\frac{\eta-1}{\eta} p_1^* f_\ell^*(\ell) = w \quad (2.2.4)$$

The corresponding equations for the foreign discriminating monopolist can now be written as

$$\frac{\eta-1}{\eta} p_2^* f_\ell^*(\ell^*) = w^* \quad (2.2.5)$$

$$\frac{\eta-1}{\eta} p_2 f_\ell(\ell^*) = w^* \quad (2.2.6)$$

Under perfect competition equations (2.2.3) - (2.2.6) come down to the familiar equality of the real wage rate and the marginal product of labour. Under monopolistic competition firms realize a profit margin,

which differs on internationally segmented markets.¹⁾ From equations (2.2.3) - (2.2.6) the following result can be obtained:

$$\frac{p_1^*}{p_1} = \frac{p_2^*}{p_2} = \frac{\frac{\eta^* - 1}{\eta^*}}{\frac{\eta - 1}{\eta}} = \frac{\zeta^*}{\zeta} \quad (2.2.7)$$

Rewriting equation (2.2.7) as

$$\frac{p_1}{p_2} = \frac{p_1^*}{p_2^*} \quad (2.2.8)$$

it appears that the relative price consumers face is the same in both countries. The terms of trade of the domestic country (real exchange rate) are equal to $\frac{p_1^*}{p_2^*}$, whereas the reciprocal indicates the terms of trade of the foreign country. For $1 < \eta^* < \eta$ (and therefore $\zeta^* < \zeta$) we have

$$\frac{p_1}{p_2} > \frac{p_1}{p_2} = \frac{p_1^*}{p_2^*} > \frac{p_2}{p_1} \quad (2.2.9)$$

This result leads to the following proposition.

Proposition 1. The terms of trade of the country where monopolistic competition is relatively weaker, because products are more homogeneous than in the other country, exceed the price ratio consumers are facing at home and abroad.

That is all to be said in a partial equilibrium setting. To determine the relative price of both goods we have to specify a general equilibrium model. This will be our task in the next section.

1) Branson and Rotemberg (1980) erroneously assume that under monopolistic competition the foreign price level enters the demand function for labour in the domestic country and vice versa for the other region.

3. A macroeconomic two-country model

3.1 Specification of the model

General equilibrium in a two-country model of the format presented in section 2 requires simultaneous equilibrium in four markets: two goods markets and two labour markets. However, there are only three relative prices to do the job, i.e. the real wage rate in both countries and the relative price of commodities one and two. In the theory of international trade it is usual to assume that all income accruing from production is spent on commodities. For the domestic country this would mean:

$c_1^* p_1 + c_1^{**} p_1^* = c_1 p_1 + c_2 p_2$. The current account balances and one could invoke Walras' law to eliminate one of the equations requiring equilibrium in the goods market. The number of equations then corresponds to the number of unknown variables.

In macroeconomic theory one would allow for disequilibrium on the current account. Indeed, the notion of intertemporal choice refers to borrowing and lending, which could take the form of international capital movements. Assuming perfect capital mobility the real interest rate would be uniform across countries. If spending would depend on the interest rate there would be an additional variable to equilibrate both goods markets. In a number of macroeconomic two-country models the demand for investment goods is supposed to be a function of the real interest rate (e.g. Lipton and Sachs, 1983; Buiter, 1984; Van de Klundert, 1986; Attanasio and Van der Ploeg, 1987). Here, we shall assume that total consumption in both regions depends negatively on the real rate of interest.

$$c = c(r; \underline{c}) , \quad \frac{dc}{dr} < 0 \quad (3.1.1)$$

$$c^* = c^*(r; \underline{c}^*) , \quad \frac{dc^*}{dr} < 0 \quad (3.1.2)$$

The symbols $\underline{c}$ and $\underline{c}^*$ indicate autonomous factors or shift variables in the demand equations. Wealth effects (including gains and losses in the terms of trade) are omitted to make the analysis more tractable. This may be done without causing any serious problem.

Equilibrium in the market for goods can be formulated as

$$f(l) = c_1 + c_1^* \quad (3.1.3)$$

$$f^*(l) = c_2^* + c_2 \quad (3.1.4)$$

The supply of labour may be positively related to the real wage rate, which can be written as

$$l_s = l_s \left(\frac{w}{p_c} ; l_s \right), \quad \frac{dl_s}{d(w/p_c)} > 0 \quad (3.1.5)$$

$$l_s^* = l_s^* \left(\frac{w^*}{p_c^*}, l_s^* \right), \quad \frac{dl_s^*}{d(w^*/p_c^*)} > 0 \quad (3.1.6)$$

Here also, the symbols l_s , l_s^* indicate shift variables. In equilibrium labour supply equals labour demand, which can be expressed formally as

$$l = l_s \quad (3.1.7)$$

$$l^* = l_s^* \quad (3.1.8)$$

The complete model consists of 18 equations: (2.1.4)-(2.1.9), (2.2.3)-(2.2.6), and (3.1.1)-(3.1.2), which can be solved for the 18 endogenous variables, viz. $c_1, c_1^*, c_2, c_2^*, c, c^*, l, l^*, l_s, l_s^*, \frac{p_1}{p_c}, \frac{p_1^*}{p_c^*}, \frac{p_2}{p_c}, \frac{p_2^*}{p_c^*}, \frac{w}{p_c}, \frac{w^*}{p_c^*}, \frac{p_1}{p_2}, \frac{p_1^*}{p_2^*}$ and r .

Real wage rigidity and labour market disequilibrium can be introduced in a straightforward manner. Nominal wage rigidity would also be a possibility after the introduction of a monetary sector. However, employment problems are not our primary concern. We will therefore stick to the equilibrium version of the model and compare the situation of monopolistic competition with that of perfect competition.

It is easy to proof the following result.

Proposition 2. If labour supply is exogenous the country where monopolistic competition is relatively weaker realizes higher terms of trade than under perfect competition.

This proposition follows from proposition 1 and the fact that with exogenous labour supply the relative price consumers face is independent of the market structure. The relative price $\frac{p_1}{p_2}$ which is equal to the terms of trade under perfect competition, follows in this case from equations (2.1.4)-(2.1.9) and (3.1.3)-(3.1.4).

With endogenous labour supply this result does not hold. The solution of the model is then more complicated and linearization around an equilibrium solution will be helpful to obtain further results.

3.2 The log-linear version of the model

Indicating percentage deviations by a dot above the variable the linearized system may be written as:

Home country

$$\dot{c}_1 = \dot{c} - \eta(\dot{p}_1 - \dot{p}_2)$$

$$\dot{c}_2 = \dot{c} - \eta(\dot{p}_2 - \dot{p}_c)$$

$$\dot{p}_c = \theta \dot{p}_1 + (1-\theta)\dot{p}_2$$

$$\dot{p}_1 + \zeta - \epsilon \dot{l} = \dot{w}$$

$$\dot{p}_1 + \zeta - \epsilon \dot{l} = \dot{w}$$

$$\dot{c} = -\xi r + \dot{c}$$

$$\dot{l}_s = \nu(\dot{w} - \dot{p}_c) + \dot{l}_{-s}$$

Foreign country

$$\dot{c}_2^* = \dot{c}^* - \eta^*(\dot{p}_2^* - \dot{p}_c^*) \quad (3.2.1)$$

$$\dot{c}_1^* = \dot{c}^* - \eta^*(\dot{p}_1^* - \dot{p}_c^*) \quad (3.2.2)$$

$$\dot{p}_c^* = \theta \dot{p}_2^* + (1-\theta)\dot{p}_1^* \quad (3.2.3)$$

$$\dot{p}_2^* + \zeta^* - \epsilon^* \dot{l}^* = \dot{w}^* \quad (3.2.4)$$

$$\dot{p}_2^* + \zeta^* - \epsilon^* \dot{l}^* = \dot{w}^* \quad (3.2.5)$$

$$\dot{c}^* = -\xi^* r + \dot{c}^* \quad (3.2.6)$$

$$\dot{l}_s^* = \nu^*(\dot{w}^* - \dot{p}_c^*) + \dot{l}_{-s}^* \quad (3.2.7)$$

$$\beta \dot{l} = \mu \dot{c}_1 + (1-\mu) \dot{c}_1^* \quad \beta^* \dot{l}^* = \mu^* \dot{c}_2^* + (1-\mu^*) \dot{c}_2^* \quad (3.2.8)$$

$$\dot{l} = \dot{l}_s \quad \dot{l}^* = \dot{l}_s^* \quad (3.2.9)$$

The elasticities evaluated at the equilibrium point have the following meaning:

$$\beta = \frac{l f l}{f} \quad \Theta = \frac{\alpha p_1^{1-\eta}}{\alpha p_1^{1-\eta} + (1-\alpha) p_2^{1-\eta}}$$

$$\epsilon = - \frac{l f l l}{f} \quad \xi = \frac{r}{c} \frac{dc}{dr}$$

$$\mu = \frac{c_1}{f} \quad \nu = \frac{w/p_c}{l_s} \frac{dl_s}{d(w/p_c)}$$

To make the model more tractable we assume that both regions have a number of structural characteristics in common in the steady state before the model is exposed to shocks, i.e. $\beta = \beta^*$, $\epsilon = \epsilon^*$, $\nu = \nu^*$ and $\xi = \xi^*$. To compare the outcomes under monopolistic competition with the results under perfect competition we may proceed as follows. Under perfect competition we have $\xi = \xi^* = 1$. Monopolistic competition may be seen as a perturbation of the system under perfect competition, which takes the form of a decline in ξ and ξ^* . Ignoring for the time being other shocks ($\underline{c} = \underline{c}^* = \underline{l}_s = \underline{l}_s^* = 0$) the solution for the relative price is, as shown in the Appendix:

$$\dot{p}_1 - \dot{p}_2 = - \frac{1}{\Delta + \Delta^*} \frac{\beta \nu}{1 + \epsilon \nu} (\dot{\xi} - \dot{\xi}^*), \quad (3.2.10)$$

where

$$\Delta = \frac{\beta \nu (1 - \Theta)}{1 + \epsilon \nu} + \mu \eta (1 - \Theta) + (1 - \mu) \eta^* \Theta^*$$

$$\Delta^* = \frac{\beta \nu (1 - \Theta^*)}{1 + \epsilon \nu} + \mu^* \eta^* (1 - \Theta^*) + (1 - \mu^*) \eta \Theta$$

From equations (3.2.4) and (3.2.5) it can be deduced that

$$\dot{p}_1^* - \dot{p}_2^* = (p_1 - p_2) + (\dot{\zeta} - \dot{\zeta}^*) \quad (3.2.11)$$

Combining these results the following proposition may be stated.

Proposition 3. If labour supply is endogenous the country where monopolistic competition is relatively weaker realizes higher terms of trade than under perfect competition for realistic values of the parameters.

To substantiate this proposition it should be noted first that as $\eta, \eta^* > 1$ it is reasonable to assume $\Delta + \Delta^* > 1$. The production function exhibits decreasing returns, which implies $\beta < 1$ and $\epsilon < 1$. Now if the elasticity of labour supply (ν) is also smaller than one the sign of $(\dot{p}_1^* - \dot{p}_2^*)$ corresponds to the sign of $(\dot{\zeta} - \dot{\zeta}^*)$. Therefore, if monopolistic competition leads to a higher profit margin abroad we have $\dot{\zeta}^* < \dot{\zeta} < 0$ and $\dot{p}_1^* - \dot{p}_2^* > 0$.

What is at stake can easily be explained. The domestic country benefits from the higher profit margins abroad through trade. However, higher profit margins abroad induce a larger reduction in supply of the foreign good compared with the decline in supply at home. This leads to a rise of the relative price of the foreign good, counteracting the first mentioned effect. As stated above the second effect will be smaller for realistic parameter values, especially with regard to labour supply.

3.3 The impact of supply and demand shocks

It should be observed that under monopolistic competition $\dot{\zeta} = \dot{\zeta}^* = 0$ unless the elasticities of demand η and η^* are a function of other variables, for instance the number of firms or total demand. However, as already discussed by Chamberlin (1933) there is no clear reason why these elasticities should vary in a systematic manner with the number of firms. As Chamberlin puts it: "More substitutes does not necessarily mean better substitutes in a sense which would increase elasticities" (Ibid., p.286). Layard and Nickell (1985) hold a different view. In their opinion the elasticity η depends positively on aggregate demand, because oligopolistic

firms reduce the mark-up over marginal cost in booms. We will not follow this argumentation, which seems typical ad-hoc.

For constant values of η and η^* the log-linear version of the model under monopolistic competition looks formally the same as the log-linear version under perfect competition. However, the original versions of the models lead to different solutions which is reflected in different values for a number of elasticity coefficients. Apart from this the market structure is important with respect to the transmission of shocks. The stories to be told are different. It is therefore instructive to analyse the impact of supply shocks and demand shocks in the present model.

Equilibrium in the goods market and the labour market in the domestic country implies a relation between the relative commodity price and the rate of interest. This relation is derived in the Appendix.

$$\Delta(\dot{p}_1 - \dot{p}_2) = -\xi r + \mu \dot{c} + (1-\mu) \dot{c}^* - \frac{\beta}{1+\epsilon_V} \dot{l}_S \quad (3.3.1)$$

There is a similar relation for market equilibrium abroad.

$$\Delta^*(\dot{p}_1 - \dot{p}_2) = \xi r - \mu^* \dot{c}^* - (1-\mu^*) \dot{c} + \frac{\beta}{1+\epsilon_V} \dot{l}_S^* \quad (3.3.2)$$

Solving for the relative price gives:

$$\dot{p}_1 - \dot{p}_2 = \frac{1}{\Delta + \Delta^*} [(\mu + \mu^* - 1)(\dot{c} - \dot{c}^*) - \frac{\beta}{1+\epsilon_V} (\dot{l}_S - \dot{l}_S^*)] \quad (3.3.3)$$

Turning to autonomous changes in labour supply first, the following proposition summarizes the result expressed in equation (3.3.3).

Proposition 4. A positive supply shock in the foreign country ($\dot{l}_S^* > 0$) raises the terms of trade of the domestic country. The real rate of interest declines to eliminate excess supply of goods on both markets.

A graphical illustration of this proposition is presented in Figure 1. A positive supply shock translates into a downward shift of the marginal cost curve of foreign (discriminating) monopolists. This may occur directly (in the case of a technological improvement) or indirectly as the wage

rate falls in the case of excess supply in the labour market. Foreign firms lower their prices and sell more in both markets. Domestic firms lose ground because of a more severe import competition. Labour demand in the domestic economy declines which puts a downward pressure on wages. Labour supply increases simultaneously, because the real wage rate (w/p_c) rises as the price of imported goods declines. When domestic wages fall producers in the home country can fight back by lowering their prices and increasing output. Excess supply of goods is distributed internationally. A reduction in the real interest rate then becomes necessary to restore equilibrium in both markets.²⁾ The relative price of the foreign good decreases, as might be expected. Output increases in both regions.

Figure 1: Positive supply shock abroad.

A demand shock in one country leads to a shift of the market equilibrium curves of both countries as shown in Figures 2a and 2b. The main implications of a demand shock are summarized in the following proposition.

2) In a model with capital accumulation a supply shock may affect the marginal efficiency of capital. Under these circumstances the interest rate may rise as shown for instance in Van de Klundert (1986).

Proposition 5. A positive demand shock in the foreign country ($\dot{c}^* > 0$) leads to a deterioration of the terms of trade in the domestic country if there exists a "home market bias" ($\mu > 1 - \mu^*$). The real interest rate rises to choke off excess demand.

The meaning of the condition $\mu > 1 - \mu^*$ is straightforward. The inequality states that there is a "home market bias" such that the share of domestic firms exceeds the share of foreign firms in the home market when the countries are equal in size. A demand pull will then have a stronger effect on the consumption of the domestic good than on the imported good in the country where the shock applies. A similar condition is reported in Venables (1985), where a Cournot model is applied to explain intrasector trade. Under the condition stated above output in the foreign country rises, while output in the domestic country falls. Branson and Rotemberg (1980) also note the possibility of an opposite outcome and call it the "Hong Kong" case. In their view it is related to the market structure of monopolistic competition. However, a demand pull in one country raises output in the other country when there is a "foreign market bias" whatever its cause. The outcomes for μ and μ^* depend on the relative size of countries and on the solution for relative prices. It is through the latter effect that the market structure will be of influence. The different possibilities are illustrated in Figure 2a for the normal case and in Figure 2b for the "Hong Kong" case.

Figure 2a: Positive demand shock abroad; normal case

Figure 2b: Positive demand shock abroad; "Hong Kong" case

The result of a demand pull can be explained as follows. On impact of a positive shock abroad firms operating in the foreign market raise their price and their output. There will be excess demand in all markets. The rate of interest rises to choke off demand for goods. Wages increase to restore equilibrium in the labour market. Prices in both countries rise. For $\mu > 1 - \mu^*$ the terms of trade of the foreign country improve, implying an increase in the supply of labour and the volume of output. In the home country labour supply and output decrease.

4. Conclusions

Price discriminating monopolists may drive a wedge between the relative price of commodities and the terms of trade or real exchange rate in a macroeconomic two-country model. In the present analysis monopolistic competition relates to product differentiation. The higher the elasticity of substitution between goods the lower profit margins will be. In this sense competition is less severe. When the elasticity of substitution differs across countries the terms of trade of the region where monopolistic competition is less severe will be higher than under perfect competition. If labour supply is endogenous output will be lower in both countries.

A positive supply shock in the foreign country raises the terms of trade of the domestic country (real exchange rate depreciation). A positive demand shock in the foreign country leads to a deterioration of the terms of trade at home (real exchange rate appreciation), if there is a "home market bias". Output in the domestic country declines. In the opposite case of a "foreign market bias" a demand pull abroad raises output in the domestic economy.

The model is kept rather simple and could be extended in several directions. Nominal wage rigidity as well as real wage could be introduced to study problems of unemployment. The microeconomic foundations of the model would gain by analysing intertemporal choice of consumers and producers. Fixed cost could be assumed to explain the number of firms on long-term. However, this would not fundamentally change the conclusions of the present analysis.

Appendix

From equations (3.2.3) and (3.2.4) labour demand can be written as:

$$\dot{l} = -\frac{1}{\epsilon}(\dot{w}-\dot{p}_1) + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\dot{z} = -\frac{1}{\epsilon}(\dot{w}-\dot{p}_c - (1-\theta)(\dot{p}_1-\dot{p}_2)) + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\dot{z} \quad (A.1)$$

Equilibrium in the labour market in the domestic country implies

$$\dot{w}-\dot{p}_c = \frac{1-\theta}{1+\epsilon\nu}(\dot{p}_1-\dot{p}_2) + \frac{1}{1+\epsilon\nu}\dot{z} - \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon\nu} \frac{\dot{l}}{\dot{s}} \quad (A.2)$$

Substitution of this result in (3.2.7) gives

$$\dot{l} = \frac{\nu(1-\theta)}{1+\epsilon\nu}(\dot{p}_1-\dot{p}_2) + \frac{\nu}{1+\epsilon\nu}\dot{z} + \frac{1}{1+\epsilon\nu} \frac{\dot{l}}{\dot{s}} \quad (A.3)$$

Equations (3.2.1)-(3.2.6) may be used to give

$$\dot{c}_1 = -\xi r - \eta(1-\theta)(\dot{p}_1-\dot{p}_2) + \dot{c} \quad (A.4)$$

$$\dot{c}_1^* = -\xi^* r^* - \eta^* \theta^* (\dot{p}_1^* - \dot{p}_2^*) + \dot{c}^* \quad (A.5)$$

Substitution of equations (A.3), (A.4) and (A.5) in the equilibrium relation for the goods market in the domestic country results in

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{\beta\nu(1-\theta)}{1+\epsilon\nu} + \mu\eta(1-\theta) + (1-\mu)\eta^* \theta^* \right] (\dot{p}_1 - \dot{p}_2) &= -[\mu\xi + (1-\mu)\xi^*] \dot{r} \\ &+ \mu\dot{c} + (1-\mu)\dot{c}^* - \frac{\beta}{1+\epsilon\nu} \frac{\dot{l}}{\dot{s}} - \frac{\beta\nu}{1+\epsilon\nu} \dot{z} \end{aligned} \quad (A.6)$$

A similar expression can be derived for equilibrium in the goods market in the foreign country:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{\beta^*\nu^*(1-\theta^*)}{1+\epsilon^*\nu^*} + \mu^*\eta^*(1-\theta^*) + (1-\mu^*)\eta\theta \right] (\dot{p}_2^* - \dot{p}_1^*) &= -[\mu^*\xi^* + (1-\mu^*)\xi] \dot{r}^* \\ &+ \mu^*\dot{c}^* + (1-\mu^*)\dot{c} - \frac{\beta^*}{1+\epsilon^*\nu^*} \frac{\dot{l}^*}{\dot{s}^*} - \frac{\beta^*\nu^*}{1+\epsilon^*\nu^*} \dot{z}^* \end{aligned} \quad (A.7)$$

The solution for the relative commodity price follows from equations (A.6) and (A.7). Assuming $\beta=\beta^*$, $\epsilon=\epsilon^*$, $\nu=\nu^*$ and $\xi=\xi^*$ the outcome can be simplified to

$$\dot{p}_1 - \dot{p}_2 = \frac{1}{\Delta + \Delta^*} [(\mu + \mu^* - 1)(\dot{c} - \dot{c}^*) - \frac{\beta}{1 + \epsilon\nu}(\dot{l}_s - \dot{l}_s^*) - \frac{\beta\nu}{1 + \epsilon\nu}(\dot{z} - \dot{z}^*)], \quad (\text{A.8})$$

where

$$\Delta = \frac{\beta\nu(1-\Theta)}{1 + \epsilon\nu} + \mu\eta(1-\Theta) + (1-\mu)\eta^*\Theta$$

$$\Delta^* = \frac{\beta\nu(1-\Theta^*)}{1 + \epsilon\nu} + \mu^*\eta^*(1-\Theta^*) + (1-\mu^*)\eta\Theta$$

References

- Akerlof, G.A. and J.L. Yellen (1985), "A Near-Rational Model of the Business Cycle, With Wage and Price Inertia". Quarterly Journal of Economics, Vol. 100, pp. 823-838.
- Attanasio, O. and F. van der Ploeg (1987), "Real effects of demand- and supply-side policies in interdependent economies", Centre for Labour Economics, London School of Economics, Discussion Paper No. 282.
- Blanchard, O., R. Dornbusch, J. Drèze, H. Giersch, R. Layard and M. Monti (1985), "Employment and Growth in Europe: A Two-Handed Approach", Report of the CEPS Macroeconomic Policy Group, Economic Papers No. 36 (June 1985).
- Blanchard, O.J. and N. Kiyotaki (1985), "Monopolistic Competition, Aggregate Demand Externalities and Real Effects of Nominal Money", NBER, Working Paper No. 1770 (December 1985).
- Branson, W.H. and J.J. Rotemberg (1980), "International Adjustment With Wage Rigidity", European Economic Review, Vol. 13, pp. 309-332.
- Buiter, W.H. (1984), "Fiscal Policy in Open, Interdependent Economies", Centre for Labour Economics, London School of Economics, Discussion Paper No. 202 (August, 1984).
- Chamberlin, E.H. (1933), The Theory of Monopolistic Competition, Cambridge: Harvard University Press. (Third printing, 1969).
- Dixit, A.K. and J.E. Stiglitz (1977), "Monopolistic Competition and Optimum Product Diversity", American Economic Review, Vol. 67, pp. 297-308.
- Dixit, A.K. and V. Norman (1980), Theory of International Trade, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Hart, O. (1982), "A Model of Imperfect Competition with Keynesian Features", Quarterly Journal of Economics, Vol. 97, pp. 109-138.
- Helpman, E. (1984), "Increasing Returns, Imperfect Markets, and Trade Theory" in R.W. Jones and P.B. Kenen (eds.), Handbook of International Economics. Amsterdam: North Holland.
- Klundert, Th. van de (1986), "Economic Resilience: A Two-Country Analysis". De Economist, Vol. 134, pp. 25-41.
- Klundert, Th. van de and P. Peters (1987), "Price Inertia in a Macroeconomic Model of Monopolistic Competition", Economica (forthcoming)
- Krugman, P.R. (1985), "Increasing Returns and the Theory of International Trade", NBER Working Paper No. 1752.
- Layard, P.R.G. and S.J. Nickell, (1985) "Unemployment, Real Wages and Aggregate Demand in Europe, Japan and the U.S.", Journal of Monetary Economics, Supplement: Cargenie-Rochester Public Policy Conference, no. 23.
- Lipton, D. and J. Sachs (1983), "Accumulation and Growth in a Two-Country Model. A Simulation Approach", Journal of International Economics, Vol. 15, pp. 135-159.
- Venables, A.J. (1985), "Trade and Trade Policy with Imperfect Competition: The Case of Identical Products and Free Entry", Journal of International Economics, Vol. 19, pp. 1-19.

IN 1986 REEDS VERSCHENEN

- 202 J.H.F. Schilderlinck
Interregional Structure of the European Community. Part III
- 203 Antoon van den Elzen and Dolf Talman
A new strategy-adjustment process for computing a Nash equilibrium in a noncooperative more-person game
- 204 Jan Vingerhoets
Fabrication of copper and copper semis in developing countries. A review of evidence and opportunities
- 205 R. Heuts, J. van Lieshout, K. Baken
An inventory model: what is the influence of the shape of the lead time demand distribution?
- 206 A. van Soest, P. Kooreman
A Microeconometric Analysis of Vacation Behavior
- 207 F. Boekema, A. Nagelkerke
Labour Relations, Networks, Job-creation and Regional Development. A view to the consequences of technological change
- 208 R. Alessie, A. Kapteyn
Habit Formation and Interdependent Preferences in the Almost Ideal Demand System
- 209 T. Wansbeek, A. Kapteyn
Estimation of the error components model with incomplete panels
- 210 A.L. Hempenius
The relation between dividends and profits
- 211 J. Kriens, J.Th. van Lieshout
A generalisation and some properties of Markowitz' portfolio selection method
- 212 Jack P.C. Kleijnen and Charles R. Standridge
Experimental design and regression analysis in simulation: an FMS case study
- 213 T.M. Doup, A.H. van den Elzen and A.J.J. Talman
Simplicial algorithms for solving the non-linear complementarity problem on the simplotope
- 214 A.J.W. van de Gevel
The theory of wage differentials: a correction
- 215 J.P.C. Kleijnen, W. van Groenendaal
Regression analysis of factorial designs with sequential replication
- 216 T.E. Nijman and F.C. Palm
Consistent estimation of rational expectations models

- 217 P.M. Kort
The firm's investment policy under a concave adjustment cost function
- 218 J.P.C. Kleijnen
Decision Support Systems (DSS), en de kleren van de keizer ...
- 219 T.M. Doup and A.J.J. Talman
A continuous deformation algorithm on the product space of unit simplices
- 220 T.M. Doup and A.J.J. Talman
The 2-ray algorithm for solving equilibrium problems on the unit simplex
- 221 Th. van de Klundert, P. Peters
Price Inertia in a Macroeconomic Model of Monopolistic Competition
- 222 Christian Mulder
Testing Korteweg's rational expectations model for a small open economy
- 223 A.C. Meijdam, J.E.J. Plasmans
Maximum Likelihood Estimation of Econometric Models with Rational Expectations of Current Endogenous Variables
- 224 Arie Kapteyn, Peter Kooreman, Arthur van Soest
Non-convex budget sets, institutional constraints and imposition of concavity in a flexible household labor supply model
- 225 R.J. de Groof
Internationale coördinatie van economische politiek in een twee-regio-twee-sectoren model
- 226 Arthur van Soest, Peter Kooreman
Comment on 'Microeconomic Demand Systems with Binding Non-Negativity Constraints: The Dual Approach'
- 227 A.J.J. Talman and Y. Yamamoto
A globally convergent simplicial algorithm for stationary point problems on polytopes
- 228 Jack P.C. Kleijnen, Peter C.A. Karremans, Wim K. Oortwijn, Willem J.H. van Groenendaal
Jackknifing estimated weighted least squares
- 229 A.H. van den Elzen and G. van der Laan
A price adjustment for an economy with a block-diagonal pattern
- 230 M.H.C. Paardekooper
Jacobi-type algorithms for eigenvalues on vector- and parallel computer
- 231 J.P.C. Kleijnen
Analyzing simulation experiments with common random numbers

- 232 A.B.T.M. van Schaik, R.J. Mulder
On Superimposed Recurrent Cycles
- 233 M.H.C. Paardekooper
Sameh's parallel eigenvalue algorithm revisited
- 234 Pieter H.M. Ruys and Ton J.A. Storcken
Preferences revealed by the choice of friends
- 235 C.J.J. Huys en E.N. Kertzman
Effectieve belastingtarieven en kapitaalkosten
- 236 A.M.H. Gerards
An extension of König's theorem to graphs with no odd- K_4
- 237 A.M.H. Gerards and A. Schrijver
Signed Graphs - Regular Matroids - Grafts
- 238 Rob J.M. Alessie and Arie Kapteyn
Consumption, Savings and Demography
- 239 A.J. van Reeken
Begrippen rondom "kwaliteit"
- 240 Th.E. Nijman and F.C. Palmer
Efficiency gains due to using missing data. Procedures in regression models
- 241 S.C.W. Eijffinger
The determinants of the currencies within the European Monetary System

IN 1987 REEDS VERSCHENEN

- 242 Gerard van den Berg
Nonstationarity in job search theory
- 243 Annie Cuyt, Brigitte Verdonk
Block-tridiagonal linear systems and branched continued fractions
- 244 J.C. de Vos, W. Vervaat
Local Times of Bernoulli Walk
- 245 Arie Kapteyn, Peter Kooreman, Rob Willemse
Some methodological issues in the implementation
of subjective poverty definitions
- 246 J.P.C. Kleijnen, J. Kriens, M.C.H.M. Lafleur, J.H.F. Pardoel
Sampling for Quality Inspection and Correction: AOQL Performance
Criteria
- 247 D.B.J. Schouten
Algemene theorie van de internationale conjuncturele en structurele
afhankelijkheden
- 248 F.C. Bussemaker, W.H. Haemers, J.J. Seidel, E. Spence
On (v,k,λ) graphs and designs with trivial automorphism group
- 249 Peter M. Kort
The Influence of a Stochastic Environment on the Firm's Optimal Dyna-
mic Investment Policy
- 250 R.H.J.M. Gradus
Preliminary version
The reaction of the firm on governmental policy: a game-theoretical
approach
- 251 J.G. de Gooijer, R.M.J. Heuts
Higher order moments of bilinear time series processes with symmetri-
cally distributed errors
- 252 P.H. Stevers, P.A.M. Versteijne
Evaluatie van marketing-activiteiten
- 253 H.P.A. Mulders, A.J. van Reeken
DATAAL - een hulpmiddel voor onderhoud van gegevensverzamelingen
- 254 P. Kooreman, A. Kapteyn
On the identifiability of household production functions with joint
products: A comment
- 255 B. van Riel
Was er een profit-squeeze in de Nederlandse industrie?
- 256 R.P. Gilles
Economies with coalitional structures and core-like equilibrium con-
cepts

- 257 P.H.M. Ruys, G. van der Laan
Computation of an industrial equilibrium
- 258 W.H. Haemers, A.E. Brouwer
Association schemes
- 259 G.J.M. van den Boom
Some modifications and applications of Rubinstein's perfect equilibrium model of bargaining
- 260 A.W.A. Boot, A.V. Thakor, G.F. Udell
Competition, Risk Neutrality and Loan Commitments
- 261 A.W.A. Boot, A.V. Thakor, G.F. Udell
Collateral and Borrower Risk
- 262 A. Kapteyn, I. Woittiez
Preference Interdependence and Habit Formation in Family Labor Supply
- 263 B. Bettonvil
A formal description of discrete event dynamic systems including perturbation analysis
- 264 Dr. Sylvester C.W. Eijffinger
A monthly model for the monetary policy in the Netherlands
- 265 F. van der Ploeg, A.J. de Zeeuw
Conflict over arms accumulation in market and command economies
- 266 F. van der Ploeg, A.J. de Zeeuw
Perfect equilibrium in a model of competitive arms accumulation
- 267 Aart de Zeeuw
Inflation and reputation: comment
- 268 A.J. de Zeeuw, F. van der Ploeg
Difference games and policy evaluation: a conceptual framework
- 269 Frederick van der Ploeg
Rationing in open economy and dynamic macroeconomics: a survey
- 270 G. van der Laan and A.J.J. Talman
Computing economic equilibria by variable dimension algorithms: state of the art
- 271 C.A.J.M. Dirven and A.J.J. Talman
A simplicial algorithm for finding equilibria in economies with linear production technologies
- 272 Th.E. Nijman and F.C. Palm
Consistent estimation of regression models with incompletely observed exogenous variables
- 273 Th.E. Nijman and F.C. Palm
Predictive accuracy gain from disaggregate sampling in arima - models

- 274 Raymond H.J.M. Gradus
The net present value of governmental policy: a possible way to find the Stackelberg solutions
- 275 Jack P.C. Kleijnen
A DSS for production planning: a case study including simulation and optimization
- 276 A.M.H. Gerards
A short proof of Tutte's characterization of totally unimodular matrices
- 277 Th. van de Klundert and F. van der Ploeg
Wage rigidity and capital mobility in an optimizing model of a small open economy
- 278 Peter M. Kort
The net present value in dynamic models of the firm

Bibliotheek K. U. Brabant

17 000 01059377 1