Tilburg University

Efficient estimation of power functions

Kleijnen, J.P.C.; Denis, H.M.M.T.; Kerckhoffs, R.M.G.

Publication date:
1984

[Link to publication in Tilburg University Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Kleijnen, J. P. C., Denis, H. M. M. T., & Kerckhoffs, R. M. G. (1984). *Efficient estimation of power functions*. (Research memorandum / Tilburg University, Department of Economics; Vol. FEW 161). Unknown Publisher.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

CBM
R

7626
1984
161

Bestemming

Eco

TIJDSCHRIFTENBUREAU
BIBLIOTHEEK
KATHOLIEKE
HOGESCHOOL
TILBURG

Nr.

Rui

faculteit der economische wetenschappen

RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

TILBURG UNIVERSITY
DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS

Postbus 90153 - 5000 LE Tilburg
Netherlands

EFFICIENT ESTIMATION OF POWER FUNCTIONS

Jack P.C. Kleijnen

Har M.M.T. Denis

Roger M.G. Kerckhoffs

Department of Business and Economics
Tilburg University (Katholieke Hogeschool
Tilburg), Tilburg, Netherlands

Tilburg University
Department of Economics
Postbox 90153
5000 LE Tilburg
Netherlands

September 1984

This manuscript is a complete revision of:

Kleijnen, J.P.C., Superefficient estimation of power functions
in simulation experiments, November 1983 (version 1)/February
1984 (version 2).

EFFICIENT ESTIMATION OF POWER FUNCTIONS

J.P.C. Kleijnen, H.M.M.T. Denis, R.M.G. Kerckhoffs

Tilburg University (Katholieke Hogeschool Tilburg)
Department of Business and Economics
Postbus 90153 - 5000 LE Tilburg, The Netherlands

ABSTRACT

A conceptually and computationally simple procedure is presented for the Monte Carlo estimation of a power function. The procedure asks "what would be the extreme parameter values in the null-hypothesis that would not be rejected, given the sample outcome?" Computationally the procedure requires sorting of these extremes values. The resulting estimate covers the whole range of the power function. The procedure is applied to the Student, the sign and the χ^2 statistics. The procedure gives good estimates for test statistics with symmetric distributions.

1. INTRODUCTION

The clue of our procedure is very simple (so that at first we felt that our procedure must have been published before; nevertheless a search of the literature gave no results). The procedure aims at efficient Monte Carlo estimation of power functions. The traditional procedure takes a sample x_j ($j = 1, \dots, n$) from the parent distribution with the (true) parameter θ ; computes a test statistic t and observes whether t is significant. This process is repeated r times (using r different random number seeds), resulting in an unbiased estimator of the power at the value θ . Next a different value for θ is selected, keeping θ_0 of the null-hypothesis (H_0) fixed. And the procedure repeats, resulting in an unbiased estimator of the power at the new θ value. Our new procedure takes samples from the parent distribution without changing the true value of the parameter θ , and yet it estimates the power function over the whole range of θ . The clue is that for each sample outcome we ask: what would be the extreme value(s) of θ_0 in H_0 that we would still accept? For example, when using the Student statistic

$$t_{n-1} = \frac{\bar{x} - \theta}{s_x^-} \quad (1.1)$$

to test $H_0 : \theta \leq \theta_0$ then the maximum value of θ_0 , not leading to rejection of H_0 , is $\bar{x} + t_{n-1}^{\alpha} s_x^-$. In a two-sided test there are two extreme values, namely $\bar{x} - t_{n-1}^{\alpha/2} s_x^-$ and $\bar{x} + t_{n-1}^{\alpha/2} s_x^-$. In the following section we formalize our procedure; in section 3 we present computational algorithms; in section 4 we apply the procedure to the Student, the sign and the χ^2 tests; in section 5 we present our conclusions.

FIG. 1. Binary Variable y and Estimated Power in One-sided Test.

FIG. 2. Binary Variable y and Estimated Power in Two-sided Test.

FIG. 3. Switching θ_0 and θ_1 in the Student test.

2. ANALYSIS OF THE NEW PROCEDURE

We specify a null-hypothesis H_0 (for instance, $H_0 : \theta \leq \theta_0$) and an alternative hypothesis H_1 (for instance, $H_1 : \theta > \theta_0$). We further select a test statistic t (for example, the Student statistic t_{n-1} of eq. 1.1). Then we define the binary (or indicator) random variable y_θ :

$$\begin{aligned} y_\theta &= 1 \text{ if } H_0 \text{ rejected for } \theta_0 = \theta \\ &= 0 \text{ if } H_0 \text{ not rejected for } \theta_0 = \theta \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

One realization ($y_{\theta 1}$) is shown by the solid lines in the upper part of FIG. 1 (we suppress the index $n-1$ in all figures). A second replication ($y_{\theta 2}$) results in a different range of rejected θ_0 values; see the dashed lines in FIG. 1. The curly bracket corresponds to the interval of possible θ_0 values accepted in both replications. If we took only these two replicates, then our estimate of the power function (over the whole range of θ) would be shown by the lower part of FIG. 1. For a two-sided test FIG. 2 would result. In general, if we have r replicates then we estimate the power function through the average $\bar{y}_\theta = \sum_{i=1}^r y_{\theta i} / r$. The resulting estimated power function shows r jumps in the one-sided test, and $2r$ jumps (up and down) in the two-sided test.

We shall first prove that the estimate $\bar{y}_\theta$ is unbiased in case we use the Student statistic of eq. (1.1) to test $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$ versus $H_1 : \theta \neq \theta_0$ where θ is the mean of the normally and independently distributed x_j (or $x_j \sim \text{NID}(\theta, \sigma^2)$) where $j = 1, \dots, n$. The classical procedure estimates the power by varying the "true" value θ . Our procedure, however, keeps θ fixed (at, say, $\theta = 0$) and varies θ_0 . It is simple to prove that both procedures give identical results, because the Student statistic has a symmetric distribution [als see FIG. 3 where $t(\delta)$ denotes the noncentral t

FIG. 4. Switching σ_0^2 and σ_1^2 in the χ^2 test.

with non-centrality parameter δ ; Johnson and Kotz (1970, p. 204) state that the distribution of $t(-\delta)$ is the mirror-image (reflected at $t = 0$) of that of $t(\delta)$:

$$P(H_0 \text{ rejected} \mid \theta) = P\left(\frac{|\bar{x} - \theta|}{s_x} > t_{n-1}^{\alpha/2} \mid \theta\right) =$$

$$P\left(\frac{|\bar{x} - \theta|}{s_x} > t_{n-1}^{\alpha/2} \mid \theta = \theta_0\right) = P(|t_{n-1}(\delta)| > t_{n-1}^{\alpha/2}) \quad (2.2)$$

In the one-sided test $H_0 : \theta \leq \theta_0$ we have:

$$P\left(\frac{\bar{x} - \theta}{s_x} > t_{n-1}^{\alpha} \mid \theta\right) = P\left(\frac{\bar{x} - \theta}{s_x} < -t_{n-1}^{\alpha} \mid \theta_0\right) \quad (2.3)$$

In general we conjecture that our procedure gives correct results, if we apply the Student statistic to test the location parameter of a symmetric parent distribution. We shall come back to this conjecture at the end of the present section.

Next we derive that our procedure does not work if the test statistic has an asymmetric distribution, for example, a χ^2 distribution. In FIG. 4 the two horizontally shaded areas of the upper part represent:

$$P(H_0 : \sigma^2 = 1 \text{ rejected} \mid \sigma^2 = 0.5) = 0.20 \quad (2.4)$$

In the lower part two completely different areas represent:

$$P(H'_0 : \sigma^2 = 0.5 \text{ rejected} \mid \sigma^2 = 1) = 0.45 \quad (2.5)$$

More generally, our procedure overestimates the true power in the range $\sigma_1^2 < \sigma_0^2 = 1$.

Finally we consider the sign statistic to test the mean θ of a symmetric distribution, i.e., we define $y_j = 1$ if $x_j < \theta_0$ and

FIG. 5. Switching θ_0 and θ_1 in the sign test.

$y_j = 0$ if $x_j \geq \theta_0$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$) and $S = \sum y_j$. Under the null hypothesis the sign statistic has a symmetric distribution, namely a binomial distribution with parameters $p = 0.5$ and n . Under the alternative hypothesis we have $p \neq 0.5$. FIG. 5 (upper part) shows a situation where $\theta_0 < \theta_1$ so that $p < 0.5$ (the picture ignores the discrete character of S ; our computations in the next section do account for this discreteness). Our procedure switches H_0 and H_1 , i.e., the lower part of FIG. 5 shows that p becomes $1-p$, and the distribution of S becomes the mirror image of the upper part of FIG. 5. Consequently the upper and lower parts give identical values for the power.

We emphasize that both the Student and the sign test have symmetric distributions so that their power functions depend on the absolute magnitude of $\theta - \theta_0$; these magnitudes are the same in the upper and lower parts of FIG. 3 and FIG. 5. The χ^2 test has a non-symmetric distribution and its power function depends on θ_0/θ which equals 2 in the upper part of FIG. 4 and 0.5 in the lower part.

3. COMPUTATION PROCEDURE

Computationally we obtain the estimated power function as follows.

Case (i): One-sided Test

The r replications yield r extreme values, for instance, the upper limits $u_i = \bar{x}_i + t_{n-1}^\alpha s_{\bar{x}_i}$ (see FIG. 1). Sorting these r extremes, results in the order statistics $u_{(i)}$ with $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then the estimated power function has jumps of size $1/r$ at the values $u_{(i)}$.

Our procedure transforms the estimation of a power function into the estimation of the distribution function $F(u)$. Consequently we can use standard tests when comparing $F(u)$ to a known power function (see the χ^2 goodness-of-fit test in the next section) or

FIG. 6. Estimation of y_θ .

when comparing different estimated power functions corresponding to different test statistics (to test if the variable u_I is stochastically smaller than another variable u_{II} , or $F(u_I) > F(u_{II})$, we can use the sign test).

Case(ii): Two-sided Test

With a two-sided test it may happen that the upper limit in replicate 1 is smaller than the lower limit in replicate 2 ($u_1 < \ell_2$) so that the estimated power function jumps up and down (FIG. 2 did not illustrate this possibility). We now sort ℓ_i and u_i separately. The estimated function decreases with $1/r$ when $\theta = \ell_{(1)}$ and increases with $1/r$ when $\theta = u_{(1)}$; see FIG. 6. We can again treat the estimated power function as a distribution function: the right-hand side satisfies the conditions $0 < \hat{F}(u) < 1$ and $F(u) < F(u+\Delta)$ provided we take Δ large enough so that the jumps up and down within the interval Δ result in a net increase; in practice this condition is not restrictive. The left-hand side of $\hat{F}(u)$ can be reflected: $\hat{F}(u)$ becomes $\hat{F}(-u)$ where $u < \theta_0$. (If we know that the power function is symmetric then we might estimate the right-hand side also using the left-hand estimate; we did not apply this idea.)

4. APPLICATIONS

Next we apply the new procedure to a number of situations. In all situations the parent distribution is normal. The multiplicative random number generator has multiplier 13^{13} and modulus 2^{59} (the computer is an ICL 2960). The number of replications is $r = 1000$, i.e., the estimated power function has steps of height $1/1000$. One-sided tests use $\alpha = 0.025$; two-sided test use $\alpha = 0.05$.

Student Statistic for Testing θ in $x \sim \text{NID}(\theta, \sigma^2)$

We use $n = 10$ observation from $N(0,1)$ to compute the Student statistic. The true power function was evaluated by Owen (1965) at eleven values of θ so that we distinguish twelve classes (for other θ values see Hill, 1978). We compare the theoretical number of observations per class to the empirical number, using the χ^2 goodness-of-fit test (with eleven degrees of freedom and a 2.5% significance level). We repeat this process ten times. None of the ten χ^2_{11} values is significant. For the two-sided test we test the left-hand side and the right-hand side of the power function separately. Again the empirical power function does not deviate significantly from the true function, in all ten repetitions.

The χ^2 Statistic for Testing σ^2 when $x \sim \text{NID}(\mu, \sigma^2)$

$H_0 : \sigma^2 < \sigma_0^2$ means that the extreme value u is $\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \bar{x})^2 / (\chi_v^{2\alpha})$ where $P(\chi_v^2 > \chi_v^{2\alpha}) = \alpha$ and $v = n-1$. First we use only $n = 10$ observations from $N(0,1)$. We compute the true value of the power function (our computer has a subroutine for evaluating $P(\chi_v^2 > a)$ for given v and a). We note that the power function does not reach its minimum at $\sigma^2 = \sigma_0^2 = 1$; it does reach the value α at $\sigma^2 = \sigma_0^2 = 1$. All ten repetitions result in significant deviations between the true and the estimated power functions. Next we use $n = 30$ observations to estimate σ^2 . All ten repetitions still yield significant deviations. However, these deviations are smaller because when n increases, then the χ_n^2 distribution becomes more symmetric. Finally we repeat the computations for a two-sided test, and we find completely analogous results.

The Sign Test for Testing θ in $x \sim \text{NID}(\theta, \sigma^2)$

We obtain a $1-\alpha$ confidence interval for θ by sorting the observations x ; the L -th and $(U+1)$ th ordered observation form the extreme points of the confidence interval; L and U are the $\alpha/2$ critical points of the binomial distribution with $p = 0.5$; see FIG. 5 and

Conover (1971). We use $n = 20$ observations from $N(0,1)$ so that $L = 4$ and $U = 17$. The power function was computed by Dixon (1953). No χ^2 goodness-of-fit value is significant (as we expect; see section 2).

We also measured the computer time, but only for the following situation (computer time heavily depends on the programmer's skill): a one-sided test using the Student statistic with $n = 10$ observations and only $r = 100$ replications. Our procedure takes 8,600 milli-seconds whereas independent seeds take 203,000 msec.; our procedure estimates the power function over the whole range of θ whereas the traditional procedures estimated the function at $r = 100$ values of θ .

5. CONCLUSIONS

Our new procedure estimates the power function not at only a few prespecified values of θ (the parameter to be tested). Instead each sampled value of the test statistic creates a step in the estimated power function. So our procedure is both efficient (less computer time) and effective (the whole range is covered; the estimate can be tested because it behaves as an estimated distribution function). Yet the conceptual and computational details are simple.

Unfortunately the procedure is not always applicable. This restriction is shown by the experiment with the χ^2 statistic for testing the variance of a Gaussian variable. Good estimates result for the Student statistic and the sign statistic for testing the mean of a symmetric distribution. We explained this behavior, observing that a non-symmetrically distributed test statistic does not permit exchanging θ_0 and θ in the power function. In other words, if the power function depends on $|\theta_0 - \theta|$ only, then our procedure works.

Future research may further clarify the performance of our new efficient procedure for estimating the power function. Such research might also reveal relationships with other ideas in the literature, such as observed significance levels and common random numbers; see Dempster and Schatzoff (1965), Nozari (1984), Kleijnen (1984).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank H. Moors (Tilburg University) for his many helpful comments on earlier versions.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Conover, W.J., (1971). Practical nonparametric statistics. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York.
- Dempster, A.P. & Schatzoff, M., (1965). Computed significance level as a sensitivity index for test statistics. Journal American Statistical Association, 60, no. 310, 420-436.
- Dixon, W.J., (1953). Power functions of the sign test and power efficiency for normal alternatives. Annals Mathematical Statistics, 24, 467-473.
- Hill, I.D., (1978). A remark on algorithm AS76: an integral useful in calculating non-central t and bivariate normal probabilities. Applied Statistics, 27, 379.
- Johnson, N.L. & Kotz, S., (1970). Continuous univariate distributions-2. Houghton Mifflin Company, Boston.
- Kleijnen, J.P.C., (1984). Superefficient estimation of power functions in simulation experiments. Tilburg University, Tilburg (Netherlands), Version 3.
- Nozari, A., (1984). Generalized and ordinary least squares with estimated and unequal variances. Communications in Statistics, Simulation and Computation, 13, no. 4, 521-537.

Owen, D.B., (1965). Power of Student's t-test. Journal American Statistical Association, 60, 1965, 320-333.

Keywords and Phrases: type II error; Monte Carlo; significance level.

IN 1983 REEDS VERSCHENEN

- 126 H.H. Tigelaar
Identification of noisy linear systems with multiple arma inputs.
- 127 J.P.C. Kleijnen
Statistical Analysis of Steady-State Simulations: Survey of Recent Progress.
- 128 A.J. de Zeeuw
Two notes on Nash and Information.
- 129 H.L. Theuns en A.M.L. Passier-Grootjans
Toeristische ontwikkeling - voorwaarden en systematiek; een selectief literatuuroverzicht.
- 130 J. Plasmans en V. Somers
A Maximum Likelihood Estimation Method of a Three Market Disequilibrium Model.
- 131 R. van Montfort, R. Schippers, R. Heuts
Johnson S_U -transformations for parameter estimation in arma-models when data are non-gaussian.
- 132 J. Glombowski en M. Krüger
On the Rôle of Distribution in Different Theories of Cyclical Growth.
- 133 J.W.A. Vingerhoets en H.J.A. Coppens
Internationale Grondstoffenovereenkomsten.
Effecten, kosten en oligopolisten.
- 134 W.J. Oomens
The economic interpretation of the advertising effect of Lydia Pinkham.
- 135 J.P.C. Kleijnen
Regression analysis: assumptions, alternatives, applications.
- 136 J.P.C. Kleijnen
On the interpretation of variables.
- 137 G. van der Laan en A.J.J. Talman
Simplicial approximation of solutions to the nonlinear complementarity problem with lower and upper bounds.

IN 1984 REEDS VERSCHENEN

- 138 G.J. Cuypers, J.P.C. Kleijnen en J.W.M. van Rooyen
Testing the Mean of an Asymmetric Population:
Four Procedures Evaluated
- 139 T. Wansbeek en A. Kapteyn
Estimation in a linear model with serially correlated errors when
observations are missing
- 140 A. Kapteyn, S. van de Geer, H. van de Stadt, T. Wansbeek
Interdependent preferences: an econometric analysis
- 141 W.J.H. van Groenendaal
Discrete and continuous univariate modelling
- 142 J.P.C. Kleijnen, P. Cremers, F. van Belle
The power of weighted and ordinary least squares with estimated
unequal variances in experimental design
- 143 J.P.C. Kleijnen
Superefficient estimation of power functions in simulation
experiments
- 144 P.A. Bekker, D.S.G. Pollock
Identification of linear stochastic models with covariance
restrictions.
- 145 Max D. Merbis, Aart J. de Zeeuw
From structural form to state-space form
- 146 T.M. Doup and A.J.J. Talman
A new variable dimension simplicial algorithm to find equilibria on
the product space of unit simplices.
- 147 G. van der Laan, A.J.J. Talman and L. Van der Heyden
Variable dimension algorithms for unproper labellings.
- 148 G.J.C.Th. van Schijndel
Dynamic firm behaviour and financial leverage clienteles
- 149 M. Plattel, J. Peil
The ethico-political and theoretical reconstruction of contemporary
economic doctrines
- 150 F.J.A.M. Hoes, C.W. Vroom
Japanese Business Policy: The Cash Flow Triangle
an exercise in sociological demystification
- 151 T.M. Doup, G. van der Laan and A.J.J. Talman
The $(2^{n+1}-2)$ -ray algorithm: a new simplicial algorithm to compute
economic equilibria

IN 1984 REEDS VERSCHENEN (vervolg)

- 152 A.L. Hempenius, P.G.H. Mulder
Total Mortality Analysis of the Rotterdam Sample of the Kaunas-
Rotterdam Intervention Study (KRIS)
- 153 A. Kapteyn, P. Kooreman
A disaggregated analysis of the allocation of time within the
household.
- 154 T. Wansbeek, A. Kapteyn
Statistically and Computationally Efficient Estimation of the
Gravity Model.
- 155 P.F.P.M. Nederstigt
Over de kosten per ziekenhuisopname en levensduurmodellen
- 156 B.R. Meijboom
An input-output like corporate model including multiple
technologies and make-or-buy decisions
- 157 P. Kooreman, A. Kapteyn
Estimation of Rationed and Unrationed Household Labor Supply
Functions Using Flexible Functional Forms
- 158 R. Heuts, J. van Lieshout
An implementation of an inventory model with stochastic lead time
- 159 P.A. Bekker
Comment on: Identification in the Linear Errors in Variables Model
- 160 P. Meys
Functies en vormen van de burgerlijke staat
Over parlementarisme, corporatisme en autoritair etatisme

Bibliotheek K. U. Brabant

17 000 01059782 2