Tilburg University

Industries with private and public enterprises

Ruys, P.H.M.

Publication date:
1987

[Link to publication in Tilburg University Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Ruys, P. H. M. (1987). *Industries with private and public enterprises*. (Research memorandum / Tilburg University, Department of Economics; Vol. FEW 290). Unknown Publisher.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

CBM
R

7626
1987
290

ITY
90 SITEIT
BRABANT

POSTBOX 90153
5000 LE TILBURG
THE NETHERLANDS

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS
RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

INDUSTRIES WITH PRIVATE AND PUBLIC
ENTERPRISES

Pieter H.M. Ruys

FEW 290

September 1987

Accepted for publication in the European Economic
Review, 1988.

Industries with private and public enterprises

by Pieter H.M. Ruys ^{*)}

Tilburg University

5000 LE Tilburg, the Netherlands

1. Introduction

In this paper attention will be focussed on an industry with public enterprises and institutions, rather than on a public enterprise itself. Public enterprises can be used by the government as an instrument to implement an industrial policy. Since there exist a variety of instruments and objectives, we firstly categorize industries as well as market structures (section 2). Next, we mention some recent results (section 3). In the last section a general equilibrium model is introduced that offers a framework to policy makers when designing an efficient organization of an industry with external effects.

2. Regulation and organization of an industry

The performance criteria for individual (private or public) enterprises are derived in our approach from the government policy regarding the industry to which the enterprises belong. An industry is a set of enterprises supplying closely related markets, and of rules and institutions serving or coordinating these enterprises. All markets are considered in which commodities are traded that are close substitutes or complements. Industries are therefore serving multiproduct markets, such as transportation, health or agriculture. Some products may be weakly marketable, i.e., their average operating cost is not covered by the consumers' price, e.g. urban transport. Other products may be strongly marketable, e.g. private cars. The output of an industry, however, is always assumed to consists of marketable, private goods, possibly with external effects in production or consumption.

---

*) Comments by Dieter Böss, Rob Gilles, Gerard van der Laan and Dolf Talman are gratefully acknowledged. This research project is part of the VF program Equilibrium and Disequilibrium.

An industry is called regulated if the government wants to determine the supply and the consumers' prices on the important markets of the industry. An industry is called organized if there is an institution, possibly non-governmental, that is concerned with the optimal performance of the markets in the industry, and that also has the power to impose the necessary measures and conditions. This organization may actively be supported by the government, such as anti-cartel legislation. If there is no interference with markets or market performance in an industry, we call it a free industry.

There may be a great variety in institutional behavior and legal foundation of the enterprises within an industry. We will categorize them according to the degree of (economic) freedom an enterprise has in determining its own existential role in the industry: can it determine its own marketing decisions and goals? Since privately owned enterprises may be fully or partly regulated, and government owned enterprises may be profit maximizes, ownership is not a sufficient indication for behavior. Enterprises are called private if their marketing decisions and goals are free, and public if these are enforced by the government. Enterprises are called specialized if their marketing decisions and goals depend on statutory contracts, which can either be entered freely (private firms) or be enforced by a public body (public firms). For example, an autonomously profit-maximizing private clinic, or an autonomous non-profit hospital are both private enterprises. If they are, however, statutorily embedded in a nationalized health system, they are specialized enterprises.

The set of buyers and sellers, each characterized by their institutional behavior, market size, and number, is called the market structure of an industry. It is affected by the technology and the strategy of the enterprises, by external effects, and by the government policy. The supply side of the market structure ranges from only private firms, to only public firms. An intermediate market structure may refer to a mixed structure of private and public firms or to a privatized structure of partly privately owned enterprises. This categorization is summarized in diagram 1. According on the external effects or the monopoly profits are considered to be more important, the industry moves usually from the left to the

right in the diagram (regulation), or from above to below (nationalization).

Both the public sector and the regulation of the industry have been grown impressively in all European countries during the last decades. Still, there does not exist too much economic theory about public decision

Diagram 1: Industry structure and market structure

Market structure	Industry structure		
	Free	Organized	Regulated
Private firms	competitive oligopolistic monopolistic	anti-cartel	agriculture
Specialized Mixed or Privatized	licencing	see section 3	health; transportation; steel
Public firms	-	cooperative public utilities	public utilities; socialist countries

making and even the existing theory (Boiteux, Hotelling a.o.) has been applied to a very limited extent. To this conclusion arrive Deleau (1987) for France and Kay, Mayer and Thompson (1986) for the United Kingdom. It is being realized at this moment how extremely difficult it is to formulate and to implement the objective of "social welfare" which the public manager ought to maximize. But an unambiguous and controllable formulation could be even more dangerous for public enterprises, because it is the government who ultimately specifies it, and the (short term) interests of a government may very easily conflict with the (long term) interests of an industry.

The alleged inefficiency of public enterprises and regulated industries is now being cured with measures such as privatization and deregulation. It should be stressed that such measures only work if no serious market failures occur in the industry. Even if all enterprises in the industry produce efficiently, the industry as a whole may perform inefficiently or unsatisfactorily. Efficient bus companies, e.g., may not prevent congestion in urban areas. It seems worthwhile to look after other methods than privatization or deregulation in order to improve the efficiency of an industry.

3. Some industrial policy measures

The first objective of an industrial policy mentioned here is to reduce the social cost of monopoly power. If one wants to leave the industry free, one might (partially) nationalize the monopoly and distribute the profits (see Bös, 1987). Or, if one wants the enterprises private, one might regulate the industry. A recently adopted measure is the "RPI minus X" formula, proposed by Littlechild (1983). This means that the rise of the tariff index of a basket of outputs of a public enterprise should not be larger than the UK retail price index minus X%. Measures that improve the performance of markets and organize an industry are: breaking up the monopoly, and using public firms as policy instruments. This approach has been proposed a.o. by Cremer, Marchand and Thisse (1987). They show that the introduction of a public firm, with the task to maximize total surplus under a budget constraint, results in a socially superior allocation. A similar approach has been adopted by Harris and Wiens (1980), Beato and Mas Colell (1984), and De Fraja and Delbono (1986). The last paper reports that at Nash equilibria, social welfare may be higher when the public firm is instructed to maximize profits rather than total surplus.

A second objective of industrial policy might be to reduce the inefficiency of public firms. Bös (1987) proposes again (partial) privatization of the public enterprise. This influences its objectives, causing a trade off between efficiency and effectivity regarding governmental goals. However, as has been remarked by Yarrow (1986), it is competition that is the best remedy for the problems mentioned.

If the government wants to improve competition despite the presence of external effects in the industry, it is necessary to assign all relevant social cost and benefits to the agents, such that their opportunity sets are influenced. For more competition corresponds with more decentralization, one needs to organize the industry such that specialized markets can perform satisfactorily. One suggestion, made by Starkie (1984), is to separate in public transport the track and signalling systems of railways (the infrastructure) from the operation of train services. Similar suggestions come from Vickers and Yarrow (1985), who argue that it is feasible to restructure British Telecom along such lines to promote competition.

These suggestions fit perfectly with and can be based upon a general equilibrium model designed by Ruys (1984) and Ruys and van der Laan (1987). In that model the symbiosis of the public infrastructure and the private operations is made explicit. An outline is given in the next section.

4. The organization of an industry with external effects

A new concept of goods will be used in the general equilibrium model. It is based on the observation that some private commodities are closely related to public goods, e.g. cars and roads. Such a pair of commodities is called a compound good, if there exists for each agent a feasibility constraint relating a quantity of the private part (called semi private good) with a minimal quantity of the public part (called semi public good) required by that agent. This relation could be represented implicitly in the utility or production function, but will be specified here explicitly and separately. Compound goods are very informative. The demand for the semi private good will be used here as an indicator for the need of the semi public good.

We assume that the semi private goods are produced and supplied by (specialized) private firms on a competitive market. The semi public goods are provided by (specialized) public institutions, but may be produced by the private sector. We assume that such a semi public good is completely financed by a mark-up on the producer's price of the related semi private

good. This means that the compound good can be provided by the industry without subsidy from the government.

Since consumers have different feasibility constraints, their shadow prices are also different. A shadow price is zero, of course, if the constraint is not binding for the consumer. Let p_y^i be the price of semi private good y for consumer i , then

$$p_y^i = p_y + t_{yz} + T_{yz}^i,$$

where p_y is the producer's price, t_{yz} the producer's shadow price of his feasibility constraint in producing y , with respect to the semi public good z , and T_{yz}^i i -th consumer's shadow price. Since these shadow prices or tariffs are virtual prices or taxes, we know from disequilibrium theory that an efficient (and feasible) allocation is obtained if all agents are confronted with these prices.

The individual tariffs in our model are not determined by the government, but by the (specialized) private firms supplying directly the semi private goods to the market and maximizing profits. They know the customer's behavior much better than a distant government, and compare for example responsive pricing in elasticity or in transport. Since both the firm and the customer have interest in the provision of the semi public good, and on the other hand competition between firms is mitigating excessive tariffs, it is not unreasonable to expect that diversified tariffs can be set which approximate the individual shadow prices. The revenue of these tariffs is handed over to the (specialized) public institution. This institution has the task to determine the size of the semi public good such that its social cost equals its social benefits. Generally, this boils down to equalize the revenue from the semi-private goods and the production costs of the semi public good. Precise conditions can be found in the papers mentioned above. There it has also been shown that an equilibrium in this economy is Pareto optimal. One may notice that the tax burden is relieved to the amount collected through tariffs.

As an example, we propose some implications of this model for the organization of the transportation industry. This industry is characterized by

multiple modes of transportation (car, taxi, bicycle, bus, train), and various types of enterprises (private or public, professional or household activity). In diagram 2, the organization of such an industry has been depicted. It is assumed in this diagram that the roadsystem and the infrastructure are not considered by the consumers as (pure) public goods, entering their utility functions. If that were the case, there would be a direct demand from travellers (voters) for the provision of those public goods, as expressed by their Lindahl prices.

Some final remarks will be made on the performance of such a mechanism and on problems related with the eventual implementation.

It has been remarked already that in case of external effects which differ in valuation between agents (producers and/or consumers), our mechanism allows for an efficient allocation in the industry and for competition in production. This is made possible by a separation of public and private decisions, together with a correct assignment of social cost and social benefits to the (decentralized) decision units. These decision units have obtained a convexified technology, and have only to decide about (semi) private goods.

For a good performance of our mechanism it is, firstly, required that there is a real need for coordination between, e.g., the various modes of transport within the industry. For, if the social costs and benefits of these various modes are more or less equal for both producers and consumers, there is no need to inform the decision units.

Secondly, the production units should be relatively small or such that the body deciding about semi-private production can relatively easily be replaced. That is required for competition among producers.

Thirdly, no other discrimination of consumers and producers is allowed then on economic grounds. This may contradict, for example, the nationality principle adapted at the moment in European transport policy. This nationality principle says that each country should tax only its own citizens for the national transport infrastructure, such that no European citizen is taxed twice. If the cost of that infrastructure is more or less

Diagram 2: The transportation industry

equal, then this principle protects the traveller against abuse made by local governments of tolls, and contributes considerably to global welfare. But if the costs of infrastructure vary significantly, the nationality principle does not discriminate citizens economically where the optimality conditions require such discrimination. A similar problem arises, of course, within nations, if one compares travelling in cities with travelling in the country. But, given the increasing problems caused by transportation on the environment, and given the serious intention to improve competition on a European scale, a reassessment of established policies seems inevitable.

References

- Beato, P., and A. Mas-Colell, 1984, The marginal cost pricing as a regulation mechanism in mixed markets, in: M. Marchand, P. Pestieau and H. Tulkens, eds., *The Performance of Public Enterprises* (North-Holland, Amsterdam), 81-100.
- Bös, D., 1987, Privatization of public enterprises, *European Economic Review*, 31, 352-360.
- Cremer, H., M. Marchand and J.F. Thisse, 1987, The public firm as an instrument for regulating an oligopolistic market, CORE Discussion Paper presented at the 1987 congress of the EEA.
- De Fraya, G., and F. Delbono, 1986, *Alternative strategies of a public enterprise in oligopoly*, mimeo Linacre College, Oxford.
- Deleau, M., 1987, *Modélisation économique et décisions publiques: quelques enseignements de l'expérience française*, paper presented at the CORE symposium in January 1987.
- Harris, R.G., and E.G. Wiens, 1980, Government enterprise: an instrument for the internal regulation of industry, *Canadian Journal of Economics*, 13, 125-132.

- Kay, J., C. Mayer and D. Thompson, 1986, eds., *Privatisation and Regulation: The UK Experience* (Clarendon Press, Oxford).
- Ruys, P.H.M., 1984, Algemeen evenwicht met semi-publieke goederen, in: P.A. Verheyen, ed., *De praktijk van de econometrie* (Stenfert Kroese, Leiden), 85-96.
- Ruys, P.H.M., and G. van der Laan, 1987, Computation of an industrial equilibrium, in: A.J.J. Talman and G. van der Laan, eds., *Modelling and Computation of Economic Equilibria* (North-Holland, Amsterdam), 205-230.
- Starkie, D.N.M., 1984, BR: privatisation without tears, *Economic Affairs*, 16-19.
- Vickers, J., and G. Yarrow, 1985, *Privatization and the Natural Monopolies* (Public Policy Centre, London).
- Yarrow, G., 1986, Privatization in theory and practice, *Economic Policy: a European Forum*, 1, no 2 (April 1986), 324-377.

IN 1986 REEDS VERSCHENEN

- 202 J.H.F. Schilderinck
Interregional Structure of the European Community. Part III
- 203 Antoon van den Elzen and Dolf Talman
A new strategy-adjustment process for computing a Nash equilibrium in a noncooperative more-person game
- 204 Jan Vingerhoets
Fabrication of copper and copper semis in developing countries. A review of evidence and opportunities
- 205 R. Heuts, J. van Lieshout, K. Baken
An inventory model: what is the influence of the shape of the lead time demand distribution?
- 206 A. van Soest, P. Kooreman
A Microeconomic Analysis of Vacation Behavior
- 207 F. Boekema, A. Nagelkerke
Labour Relations, Networks, Job-creation and Regional Development. A view to the consequences of technological change
- 208 R. Alessie, A. Kapteyn
Habit Formation and Interdependent Preferences in the Almost Ideal Demand System
- 209 T. Wansbeek, A. Kapteyn
Estimation of the error components model with incomplete panels
- 210 A.L. Hempenius
The relation between dividends and profits
- 211 J. Kriens, J.Th. van Lieshout
A generalisation and some properties of Markowitz' portfolio selection method
- 212 Jack P.C. Kleijnen and Charles R. Standridge
Experimental design and regression analysis in simulation: an FMS case study
- 213 T.M. Doup, A.H. van den Elzen and A.J.J. Talman
Simplicial algorithms for solving the non-linear complementarity problem on the simplotope
- 214 A.J.W. van de Gevel
The theory of wage differentials: a correction
- 215 J.P.C. Kleijnen, W. van Groenendaal
Regression analysis of factorial designs with sequential replication
- 216 T.E. Nijman and F.C. Palm
Consistent estimation of rational expectations models

- 217 P.M. Kort
The firm's investment policy under a concave adjustment cost function
- 218 J.P.C. Kleijnen
Decision Support Systems (DSS), en de kleren van de keizer ...
- 219 T.M. Doup and A.J.J. Talman
A continuous deformation algorithm on the product space of unit simplices
- 220 T.M. Doup and A.J.J. Talman
The 2-ray algorithm for solving equilibrium problems on the unit simplex
- 221 Th. van de Klundert, P. Peters
Price Inertia in a Macroeconomic Model of Monopolistic Competition
- 222 Christian Mulder
Testing Korteweg's rational expectations model for a small open economy
- 223 A.C. Meijdam, J.E.J. Plasmans
Maximum Likelihood Estimation of Econometric Models with Rational Expectations of Current Endogenous Variables
- 224 Arie Kapteyn, Peter Kooreman, Arthur van Soest
Non-convex budget sets, institutional constraints and imposition of concavity in a flexible household labor supply model
- 225 R.J. de Groof
Internationale coördinatie van economische politiek in een twee-regio-twee-sectoren model
- 226 Arthur van Soest, Peter Kooreman
Comment on 'Microeconomic Demand Systems with Binding Non-Negativity Constraints: The Dual Approach'
- 227 A.J.J. Talman and Y. Yamamoto
A globally convergent simplicial algorithm for stationary point problems on polytopes
- 228 Jack P.C. Kleijnen, Peter C.A. Karremans, Wim K. Oortwijn, Willem J.H. van Groenendaal
Jackknifing estimated weighted least squares
- 229 A.H. van den Elzen and G. van der Laan
A price adjustment for an economy with a block-diagonal pattern
- 230 M.H.C. Paardekooper
Jacobi-type algorithms for eigenvalues on vector- and parallel computer
- 231 J.P.C. Kleijnen
Analyzing simulation experiments with common random numbers

- 232 A.B.T.M. van Schaik, R.J. Mulder
On Superimposed Recurrent Cycles
- 233 M.H.C. Paardekooper
Sameh's parallel eigenvalue algorithm revisited
- 234 Pieter H.M. Ruys and Ton J.A. Storcken
Preferences revealed by the choice of friends
- 235 C.J.J. Huys en E.N. Kertzman
Effectieve belastingtarieven en kapitaalkosten
- 236 A.M.H. Gerards
An extension of König's theorem to graphs with no odd- K_4
- 237 A.M.H. Gerards and A. Schrijver
Signed Graphs - Regular Matroids - Grafts
- 238 Rob J.M. Alessie and Arie Kapteyn
Consumption, Savings and Demography
- 239 A.J. van Reeken
Begrippen rondom "kwaliteit"
- 240 Th.E. Nijman and F.C. Palmer
Efficiency gains due to using missing data. Procedures in regression models
- 241 S.C.W. Eijffinger
The determinants of the currencies within the European Monetary System

IN 1987 REEDS VERSCHENEN

- 242 Gerard van den Berg
Nonstationarity in job search theory
- 243 Annie Cuyt, Brigitte Verdonk
Block-tridiagonal linear systems and branched continued fractions
- 244 J.C. de Vos, W. Vervaat
Local Times of Bernoulli Walk
- 245 Arie Kapteyn, Peter Kooreman, Rob Willemse
Some methodological issues in the implementation
of subjective poverty definitions
- 246 J.P.C. Kleijnen, J. Kriens, M.C.H.M. Lafleur, J.H.F. Pardoel
Sampling for Quality Inspection and Correction: AOQL Performance
Criteria
- 247 D.B.J. Schouten
Algemene theorie van de internationale conjuncturele en structurele
afhankelijkheden
- 248 F.C. Bussemaker, W.H. Haemers, J.J. Seidel, E. Spence
On (v,k,λ) graphs and designs with trivial automorphism group
- 249 Peter M. Kort
The Influence of a Stochastic Environment on the Firm's Optimal Dyna-
mic Investment Policy
- 250 R.H.J.M. Gradus
Preliminary version
The reaction of the firm on governmental policy: a game-theoretical
approach
- 251 J.G. de Gooijer, R.M.J. Heuts
Higher order moments of bilinear time series processes with symmetri-
cally distributed errors
- 252 P.H. Stevers, P.A.M. Versteijne
Evaluatie van marketing-activiteiten
- 253 H.P.A. Mulders, A.J. van Reeken
DATAAL - een hulpmiddel voor onderhoud van gegevensverzamelingen
- 254 P. Kooreman, A. Kapteyn
On the identifiability of household production functions with joint
products: A comment
- 255 B. van Riel
Was er een profit-squeeze in de Nederlandse industrie?
- 256 R.P. Gilles
Economies with coalitional structures and core-like equilibrium con-
cepts

- 257 P.H.M. Ruys, G. van der Laan
Computation of an industrial equilibrium
- 258 W.H. Haemers, A.E. Brouwer
Association schemes
- 259 G.J.M. van den Boom
Some modifications and applications of Rubinstein's perfect equilibrium model of bargaining
- 260 A.W.A. Boot, A.V. Thakor, G.F. Udell
Competition, Risk Neutrality and Loan Commitments
- 261 A.W.A. Boot, A.V. Thakor, G.F. Udell
Collateral and Borrower Risk
- 262 A. Kapteyn, I. Woittiez
Preference Interdependence and Habit Formation in Family Labor Supply
- 263 B. Bettonvil
A formal description of discrete event dynamic systems including perturbation analysis
- 264 Sylvester C.W. Eijffinger
A monthly model for the monetary policy in the Netherlands
- 265 F. van der Ploeg, A.J. de Zeeuw
Conflict over arms accumulation in market and command economies
- 266 F. van der Ploeg, A.J. de Zeeuw
Perfect equilibrium in a model of competitive arms accumulation
- 267 Aart de Zeeuw
Inflation and reputation: comment
- 268 A.J. de Zeeuw, F. van der Ploeg
Difference games and policy evaluation: a conceptual framework
- 269 Frederick van der Ploeg
Rationing in open economy and dynamic macroeconomics: a survey
- 270 G. van der Laan and A.J.J. Talman
Computing economic equilibria by variable dimension algorithms: state of the art
- 271 C.A.J.M. Dirven and A.J.J. Talman
A simplicial algorithm for finding equilibria in economies with linear production technologies
- 272 Th.E. Nijman and F.C. Palm
Consistent estimation of regression models with incompletely observed exogenous variables
- 273 Th.E. Nijman and F.C. Palm
Predictive accuracy gain from disaggregate sampling in arima - models

- 274 Raymond H.J.M. Gradus
The net present value of governmental policy: a possible way to find the Stackelberg solutions
- 275 Jack P.C. Kleijnen
A DSS for production planning: a case study including simulation and optimization
- 276 A.M.H. Gerards
A short proof of Tutte's characterization of totally unimodular matrices
- 277 Th. van de Klundert and F. van der Ploeg
Wage rigidity and capital mobility in an optimizing model of a small open economy
- 278 Peter M. Kort
The net present value in dynamic models of the firm
- 279 Th. van de Klundert
A Macroeconomic Two-Country Model with Price-Discriminating Monopolists
- 280 Arnoud Boot and Anjan V. Thakor
Dynamic equilibrium in a competitive credit market: intertemporal contracting as insurance against rationing
- 281 Arnoud Boot and Anjan V. Thakor
Appendix: "Dynamic equilibrium in a competitive credit market: intertemporal contracting as insurance against rationing"
- 282 Arnoud Boot, Anjan V. Thakor and Gregory F. Udell
Credible commitments, contract enforcement problems and banks: intermediation as credibility assurance
- 283 Eduard Ponds
Wage bargaining and business cycles a Goodwin-Nash model
- 284 Prof.Dr. hab. Stefan Mynarski
The mechanism of restoring equilibrium and stability in polish market
- 285 P. Meulendijks
An exercise in welfare economics (II)
- 286 S. Jørgensen, P.M. Kort, G.J.C.Th. van Schijndel
Optimal investment, financing and dividends: a Stackelberg differential game
- 287 E. Nijssen, W. Reijnders
Privatisering en commercialisering; een oriëntatie ten aanzien van verzelfstandiging
- 288 C.B. Mulder
Inefficiency of automatically linking unemployment benefits to private sector wage rates

289 M.H.C. Paardekooper

A Quadratically convergent parallel Jacobi process for almost diagonal matrices with distinct eigenvalues

Bibliotheek K. U. Brabant

17 000 01059367 2