Tilburg University

Put-call parities and the value of early exercise for put options on a performance index de Roon, F.A.; Veld, C.H.

Publication date:
1994

[Link to publication in Tilburg University Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

de Roon, F. A., & Veld, C. H. (1994). *Put-call parities and the value of early exercise for put options on a performance index*. (Research memorandum / Tilburg University, Faculty of Economics; Vol. FEW 639). Unknown Publisher.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

CBM
R

7626
1994
R.639

Faculty of Economics

research
memorandum

* C I N O 1 1 1 3 *

R21

YELG13
Futures markets

Tilburg University

**PUT-CALL PARITIES AND THE VALUE OF
EARLY EXERCISE FOR PUT OPTIONS ON A
PERFORMANCE INDEX**

Frans de Roon and Chris Veld

Research Memorandum FEW 639

Communicated by Prof.dr. Th.E. Nijman

PUT-CALL PARITIES AND THE VALUE OF EARLY EXERCISE FOR PUT OPTIONS ON A PERFORMANCE INDEX

by

Frans de Roon and Chris Veld¹

Tilburg University

Department of Business Administration and Center

P.O. Box 90153, 5000 LE TILBURG

The Netherlands

Tel: +3113-663257 (Chris Veld)

+3113-662083 (Frans de Roon)

Fax: +3113-662875

First draft: November 9, 1993

Second draft: February 7, 1994

Abstract

In this paper we use the put-call parity to calculate the premium for early exercise of put options on the DAX index. Because this is a performance index, it is not necessary to separate this premium from the early exercise premium of a call option. We find the early exercise premium of a put option to be positively correlated with the moneyness and the standard deviation of the returns on the index.

Jel code: G 13

¹ The authors thank Theo Nijman and Jason Wei for helpful comments and suggestions. Of course, only the authors are responsible for any remaining errors.

PUT-CALL PARITIES AND THE VALUE OF EARLY EXERCISE FOR PUT OPTIONS ON A PERFORMANCE INDEX

Abstract

In this paper we use the put-call parity to calculate the premium for early exercise of put options on the DAX index. Because this is a performance index, it is not necessary to separate this premium from the early exercise premium of a call option. We find the early exercise premium of a put option to be positively correlated with the moneyness and the standard deviation of the returns on the index.

1. Introduction

In an interesting study Zivney (1991) demonstrates that deviations from the European put-call parity are caused by the possibility of early exercise. He investigates this possibility for options on the S&P 100 index. Because options on the S&P 100 index are not corrected for dividend payments, both call and put options may contain a premium for early exercise, thereby causing deviations from the European put-call parity. The deviation from the put-call parity is very difficult to split in two parts. This is not the case if options on a performance index are considered. In a performance index it is assumed that dividends are reinvested in the index, which makes the index similar to a non dividend paying stock. As Merton (1973) has shown, rational investors will only early exercise call options just before an ex dividend date, so they will not exercise call options on a performance index before their expiration date. Deviations of the put-call parity for options on a performance index can therefore only be caused by the possibility of early exercise of put options.

As far as we know Zivney's concept has not been applied to options on a performance index. The reason for this is that options on a performance index are hardly available. Fortunately, since May 1993, options on the DAX index are traded on the Amsterdam Stock Exchange (ASE)¹. The DAX index is an example of a performance index [see e.g. Grünbichler and Callahan (1994)]. This therefore gives us a good opportunity to investigate the value of early exercise for American put options. Knowledge about this premium is helpful in developing an option formula for American put options.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2 put-call parities will be discussed and we will derive a new parity relation for American options on an index with a known dividend yield. In this section it will also be discussed what can be expected when we model the early exercise premium for put options on the DAX. In section 3 the data

¹ We notice that these securities were issued under the name index *warrants*. However, they are in fact index options, except that they are traded on the stock exchange, instead of the options exchange. Because this only involves some institutional differences which are not important for valuation purposes, we will simply refer to these index warrants as index options.

and the regression analysis, which will be used when investigating the premium for early exercise, will be discussed. In section 4 the results will be presented and discussed. Finally section 5 will give a summary and some conclusions.

2. Put-call parities and the value of early exercise

Depending upon the assumptions that are made about the possibility of early exercise and about dividend payments different put-call parities - barring arbitrage possibilities - can be derived. Assuming for instance that early exercise is not possible and that a continuous and known dividend is paid on an index, the following European put-call parity can be derived (Chance, 1987):

$$\hat{C}_t = P_t + I_t e^{-q(T-t)} - X e^{-r(T-t)} \quad (1)$$

In which:

P_t	=	the market value of the put option at time t ,
I_t	=	the market value of the index at time t ,
q	=	the known annualized continuous dividend yield of the index,
X	=	the exercise price of the option,
T	=	the expiration date of the option,
r	=	the known annualized continuous interest rate until the time to the expiration date $(T-t)$, and
$\hat{C}$	=	the value of the call option according to the parity relation.

If the assumption is made that early exercise is possible then it is no longer possible to derive the put-call parity in the form of an equality. Instead it is only possible to give a lower and an upper bound for the value of the call option in terms of the other variables. If the assumption that the index has a known dividend yield is still made and in addition it is assumed that the interest rate is known and equal for all maturities until T , then the lower

and upper bounds for the value of the call options become:

$$\hat{C}_t^{lower} \geq P_t + I_t e^{-q(T-t)} - X \quad (2)$$

$$\hat{C}_t^{upper} \leq P_t + I_t - X e^{-r(T-t)}. \quad (3)$$

The derivation of these bounds is presented in the appendix².

In case no dividends are paid on the index (i.e. $q=0$) it is clear that the upper bound for the American call option, equation (3), is the same as the value of the call option according to the European put-call parity, equation (1). The difference between the upper and lower bound for the American call option can then be interpreted as the maximum premium that will be paid on the put option, since the value of an American call option on a no dividend paying index will be equal to the value of its European counterpart.

The actual premium for early exercise will be equal to the actual difference between the value of the call option and the European put-call parity. Zivney (1991) investigates the premium for early exercise in a similar way, but since he uses options on the S&P 100, which is a dividend paying index, he has to make the additional assumption that the in-the-money option will have a larger premium for early exercise than the out-of-the-money option. Since we use options on a non dividend paying index we do not have to make that assumption. Moreover we know that the difference between the actual value of the American call option on the index and the value according to the European put-call parity will be solely determined by the premium for early exercise of the American put option.

The investor has an option to exercise early. Zivney (1991) investigates whether the premium for early exercise depends on (1) the moneyness; (2) the interest rate and (3) the time to maturity. Since we will only calculate the value of early exercise for put options, we will restrict ourselves to explaining his hypotheses for put options. Since the probability

² Still other put-call parities can be derived if it is assumed for instance that discrete dividend payments are made. The parities that result in this case can be found in Jarrow & Rudd (1983).

of early exercise of an American put option will be larger when the option is deeper in-the-money (Merton, 1973), it may be expected that the premium for early exercise will increase when the value of the index decreases for any given exercise price. If the risk free interest rate increases then the present value of the exercise value decreases (see e.g. Geske and Johnson, 1984), thereby making the possibility of early exercise more attractive. The risk free interest rate therefore is expected to have a positive influence on the premium for early exercise. Finally, the time to expiration is also expected to have a positive effect on the early exercise premium. This is easy to see when we consider two American put options which differ only with respect to their expiration dates, T_1 and T_2 , where $T_2 > T_1$. Since the option with the longer maturity (T_2-t) has the same possibilities as the option with the shorter maturity (T_1-t) plus all the options associated with the longer maturity (T_2-T_1), its value can never be smaller than the value of the option with the shorter maturity. In his study Zivney (1991) indeed finds the positive signs he a priori expected. In addition to the factors investigated by Zivney (1991) it is also possible to study the effect of the volatility. This effect is also expected to be positive, since a higher volatility implies that the probability for the put option to become in-the-money increases, thereby increasing the value of early exercise.

3. Data description and methodology

We use closing prices for options on the DAX index, traded on the ASE, for the period of May 12, 1992, when they were first traded, until October 7, 1993. These closing prices are taken from Datastream. Since we only want to use dates for which both the call and the put options were traded, this results in a total of 175 observations. Closing values for the DAX index, closing values for the German Mark/Dutch Guilder exchange rate and estimates of the risk free interest rate were also taken from Datastream. For the risk free interest rate we used the yield on German treasury bills with a maturity closest to the maturity of the options. Data for the exchange rate are needed because the options are traded in Dutch Guilders, while the DAX index and the exercise price are listed in German Marks. Wei (1992) shows that the value of the option traded in Dutch Guilders will be equal to the value of a similar option traded in German Marks, multiplied by the current exchange rate.

The behavior of the premium for early exercise with respect to the variables that were discussed in section 2 will be investigated by means of the following multiple regression equation:

$$D_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * M_t + \beta_2 * \sigma_{\text{impl},t-1} + \beta_3 * r_t + \beta_4 * (T-t) + \beta_5 * D_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \quad (4)$$

The dependent variable D_t is defined as:

$$D_t = \frac{\hat{C}_t - C_t}{\hat{C}_t} \quad (5)$$

As discussed in section 2 the premium for early exercise is equal to $\hat{C}_t - C_t$, the difference between the value of the call option according to the parity model and the actual value of the call option, which is also equal to the difference between the American put value and the European put value. We use the relative difference in terms of the model price as the dependent variable to correct for heteroskedasticity problems. The regressor M_t measures the relative amount by which the put option is in-the-money:

$$M_t = \frac{X - I_t}{X} \quad (6)$$

As discussed in section 2 this variable is expected to have a positive effect on D_t . The volatility is measured by the implied standard deviation of the call option, $\sigma_{\text{impl},t-1}$, according to the Black & Scholes (1973) formula. Since Black & Scholes (1973) assume that early exercise is not possible and since an American call option on the DAX behaves like its European counterpart it seems appropriate to use the implied standard deviation of the call option rather than the put option. The implied standard deviation at time $t-1$ rather than time t is used, since σ_t is a function of the dependent variable and will probably not be uncorrelated with the error term ε_t . As also discussed in section 2 the interest rate, r_t , and the time to maturity, $(T-t)$, are expected to have a positive effect on the premium and thus on D_t . To correct for autocorrelation problems D_{t-1} is also used as a regressor.

4. Results and discussion

In figure 1 we have included the lower and upper bounds of the put-call parity (equations (2) and (3)) as well as the actual call prices.

[Insert Figure 1]

As can be seen in this figure the call price is almost always between the upper and lower bound. It turns out that the call price is above the upper bound on only two days. These observations are omitted in the analysis that follows.

Summary statistics for the variables, the premium and the premium as a fraction of the value of the call option according to the European put-call parity are included in table 1.

[Insert Table 1]

As table 1 shows the premium for early exercise is always positive with an average of 0.616 Dutch guilders, which corresponds with $D_t = 30.3\%$.

Estimation of equation (4) yields the following result (t-values in parentheses):

$$D_t = \frac{-0.09}{(-1.54)} + \frac{0.99}{(7.54)} M_t + \frac{0.54}{(4.10)} \sigma_{impl,t-1} + \frac{1.71}{(1.56)} r_t - \frac{0.03}{(-1.00)} (T-t) + \frac{0.54}{(9.44)} D_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t, \quad (7)$$

with: $\hat{R}^2 = 0.9293$.

The value of the Durbin's h-statistic is 1.904, implying that there is no autocorrelation. The value of $\hat{R}^2$ shows that the selected variables do a good job in explaining the value of early exercise. As expected the signs for M_t and $\sigma_{impl,t-1}$ are both positive, indicating that the early exercise premium indeed behaves like an option with respect to these variables. The size of the coefficient for M_t shows that this variable is not only statistically but also economically significant. If the value of the index changes with e.g. 1% in terms of the exercise price, the premium changes (ceteris paribus) by 0.99% in terms of the upper bound of the call price. Changes of 1% in M_t are of course not uncommon. The coefficient for $\sigma_{impl,t-1}$ is also economically significant, since each percentage point change in the volatility translates (ceteris paribus) in a 0.54% change in the premium. Changes of 1%

point in the volatility are not unlikely given the standard deviation of the volatility of 0.73% (see table 1). The positive value of $D_{t,1}$ shows the positive autocorrelation, implying that a high premium at time t will probably be followed by a high premium at time $t+1$. The coefficient of r_t is positive as expected, but not statistically significant. Since the interest rate typically doesn't change very much from day to day, there's no economic significance either. Finally, the negative sign of the time to maturity is contrary to what might be expected. For this variable there's a lack of both statistical and economical significance however.

5. Summary and conclusions

In this paper we have calculated the value of early exercise of a put option from deviations of the European put-call parity. Because we have considered put options on a performance index, it turned out to be possible to isolate this premium. As hypothesized by us, the premium for early exercise was significantly and positively correlated with the moneyness and the volatility of the index. The relationship of the premium with the interest rate is positive, as expected, but not significant. Contrary to our expectations we find a negative relation with the time to maturity, however this relation is not significant. The results of this study may be particularly useful for the development of a correct model for the pricing of American put options.

References

Black, F. and M. Scholes, 1973, The Pricing of Options and Corporate Liabilities, *Journal of Political Economy* 81, 637-654.

Chance, D.M., 1987, Parity Tests of Index Options, *Advances in Futures and Options Research* 2, 47-64.

Geske, R. and H.E. Johnson, 1984, The American Put Valued Analytically, *The Journal of*

Finance 39, 1511-1524.

Grünbichler, A. and T.W. Callahan, 1994, Stock Index Futures Arbitrage in Germany: The Behavior of the DAX Index Futures Prices, Review of Futures Markets (forthcoming).

Jarrow, R.A. and A. Rudd, 1983, Option pricing (Richard D. Irwin, Homewood, Illinois).

Merton, R.C., 1973, Theory of Rational Option Pricing, Bell Journal of Economics and Management Science 4, 141-183.

Wei, J.Z., 1992, Pricing Nikkei Put Warrants, Journal of Multinational Financial Management 2, 45-75.

Zivney, T.L., 1991, The Value of Early Exercise in Option Prices: An Empirical Investigation, Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis 26, 129-138.

Table 1: Summary statistics for the early exercise premium

	quantiles						
	average	std.dev.	smallest	5%	50%	95%	largest
$\hat{C}_t - C_t$ (in guilders)	0.616	0.171	0.067	0.133	0.535	1.404	1.964
$D_t = (\hat{C}_t - C_t) / \hat{C}_t$	30.3%	6.82%	2.4%	4.7%	27.2%	60.7%	75.4%
$M_t = (X - I_t) / X$	4.2%	2.65%	-15.2%	-10.5%	5.5%	14.8%	17.7%
$\sigma_{\text{impl}, t-1}$	17.5%	0.73%	13.7%	14.6%	16.3%	25.1%	29.3%
r_t	6.3%	0.40%	5.9%	5.9%	7.1%	8.9%	8.9%
T-t (in years)	1.2	0.42	0.51	0.61	1.26	1.85	1.89

Note: standard deviations are corrected for autocorrelation

Figure 1: Upper and Lower bounds of the put-call parity for options on the DAX index for May 12, 1992 to October 7, 1993.

Appendix: Derivation of the American put-call parity

Both equation (2) and (3) can be derived by constructing a portfolio which must always have a positive value in order to exclude arbitrage possibilities. For the derivation of the lower bound, equation (2), we need to construct a portfolio by taking the following positions:

1. A short position in the underlying index of $I_t e^{-q(T-t)}$;
2. A short position in a put option written on the index;
3. A long position in a call option written on the index with the same exercise price (X) and the same expiration date (T) as the put option;
4. A long position in a risk free bond with value X.

It is assumed that if dividends have to be paid because of the short position in the index, additional units of the index are sold short by the amount of the dividend obligation.

Exercise is possible at the expiration date T, or at a time τ for which: $t < \tau < T$. For ease of exposition we will only consider the possibility of early exercise of the written put option, since early exercise of the call option can never reduce value for a rational investor. Thus, early exercise will only take place if the put option is in-the-money, i.e.: $X \geq I_\tau$.

The pay off for the investor in case of early exercise is given in table 2.

Value at time t		Exercise at τ	Exercise at T	
		$X \geq I_\tau$	$X \geq I_T$	$X < I_T$
short index	$-I_t e^{-q(T-t)}$	$-I_\tau e^{-q(T-\tau)}$	$-I_T$	$-I_T$
short put	$-P_t$	$I_\tau - X$	$I_T - X$	0
long call	C_t	0	0	$I_T - X$
long bond	X	$X e^{r(T-t)}$	$X e^{r(T-t)}$	$X e^{r(T-t)}$
Total Pay off:		$I_\tau (1 - e^{-q(T-\tau)}) + X(e^{r(T-t)} - 1) \geq 0$	$X(e^{r(T-t)} - 1) \geq 0$	$X(e^{r(T-t)} - 1) \geq 0$

Table 2: The pay off for the investor when exercised.

Since the value at exercise is positive at any moment, the value of the portfolio must also be positive at time t, resulting in equation (2).

For the derivation of equation (3) we need a slightly different portfolio consisting of:

1. A long position in the index of I_t ;
2. A long position in a put option written on the index;
3. A short position in a call option written on the index with the same exercise price, X and the same expiration date, T , as the put option;
4. A short position in a risk free bond with value $Xe^{-r(T-t)}$.

If we now assume that the dividends which are received on the index are continuously reinvested in the index, equation (3) can be derived in a similar way as equation (2).

IN 1993 REEDS VERSCHENEN

- 588 Rob de Groof and Martin van Tuijl
The Twin-Debt Problem in an Interdependent World
Communicated by Prof.dr. Th. van de Klundert
- 589 Harry H. Tigelaar
A useful fourth moment matrix of a random vector
Communicated by Prof.dr. B.B. van der Genugten
- 590 Niels G. Noorderhaven
Trust and transactions; transaction cost analysis with a differential behavioral assumption
Communicated by Prof.dr. S.W. Douma
- 591 Henk Roest and Kitty Koelemeijer
Framing perceived service quality and related constructs A multilevel approach
Communicated by Prof.dr. Th.M.M. Verhallen
- 592 Jacob C. Engwerda
The Square Indefinite LQ-Problem: Existence of a Unique Solution
Communicated by Prof.dr. J. Schumacher
- 593 Jacob C. Engwerda
Output Deadbeat Control of Discrete-Time Multivariable Systems
Communicated by Prof.dr. J. Schumacher
- 594 Chris Veld and Adri Verboven
An Empirical Analysis of Warrant Prices versus Long Term Call Option Prices
Communicated by Prof.dr. P.W. Moerland
- 595 A.A. Jeunink en M.R. Kabir
De relatie tussen aandeelhoudersstructuur en beschermingsconstructies
Communicated by Prof.dr. P.W. Moerland
- 596 M.J. Coster and W.H. Haemers
Quasi-symmetric designs related to the triangular graph
Communicated by Prof.dr. M.H.C. Paardekooper
- 597 Noud Gruijters
De liberalisering van het internationale kapitaalverkeer in historisch-institutioneel perspectief
Communicated by Dr. H.G. van Gemert
- 598 John Görtzen en Remco Zwethuul
Weekend-effect en dag-van-de-week-effect op de Amsterdamse effectenbeurs?
Communicated by Prof.dr. P.W. Moerland
- 599 Philip Hans Franses and H. Peter Boswijk
Temporal aggregation in a periodically integrated autoregressive process
Communicated by Prof.dr. Th.E. Nijman

- 600 René Peeters
On the p-ranks of Latin Square Graphs
Communicated by Prof.dr. M.H.C. Paardekooper
- 601 Peter E.M. Borm, Ricardo Cao, Ignacio García-Jurado
Maximum Likelihood Equilibria of Random Games
Communicated by Prof.dr. B.B. van der Genugten
- 602 Prof.dr. Robert Bannink
Size and timing of profits for insurance companies. Cost assignment for products with multiple deliveries.
Communicated by Prof.dr. W. van Hulst
- 603 M.J. Coster
An Algorithm on Addition Chains with Restricted Memory
Communicated by Prof.dr. M.H.C. Paardekooper
- 604 Ton Geerts
Coordinate-free interpretations of the optimal costs for LQ-problems subject to implicit systems
Communicated by Prof.dr. J.M. Schumacher
- 605 B.B. van der Genugten
Beat the Dealer in Holland Casino's Black Jack
Communicated by Dr. P.E.M. Borm
- 606 Gert Nieuwenhuis
Uniform Limit Theorems for Marked Point Processes
Communicated by Dr. M.R. Jaïbi
- 607 Dr. G.P.L. van Roij
Effectisering op internationale financiële markten en enkele gevolgen voor banken
Communicated by Prof.dr. J. Sijben
- 608 R.A.M.G. Joosten, A.J.J. Talman
A simplicial variable dimension restart algorithm to find economic equilibria on the unit simplex using $n(n+1)$ rays
Communicated by Prof.Dr. P.H.M. Ruys
- 609 Dr. A.J.W. van de Gevel
The Elimination of Technical Barriers to Trade in the European Community
Communicated by Prof.dr. H. Huizinga
- 610 Dr. A.J.W. van de Gevel
Effective Protection: a Survey
Communicated by Prof.dr. H. Huizinga
- 611 Jan van der Leeuw
First order conditions for the maximum likelihood estimation of an exact ARMA model
Communicated by Prof.dr. B.B. van der Genugten

- 612 Tom P. Faith
 Bertrand-Edgeworth Competition with Sequential Capacity Choice
 Communicated by Prof.Dr. S.W. Douma
- 613 Ton Geerts
 The algebraic Riccati equation and singular optimal control: The discrete-time case
 Communicated by Prof.dr. J.M. Schumacher
- 614 Ton Geerts
 Output consistency and weak output consistency for continuous-time implicit systems
 Communicated by Prof.dr. J.M. Schumacher
- 615 Stef Tijs, Gert-Jan Otten
 Compromise Values in Cooperative Game Theory
 Communicated by Dr. P.E.M. Borm
- 616 Dr. Pieter J.F.G. Meulendijks and Prof.Dr. Dick B.J. Schouten
 Exchange Rates and the European Business Cycle: an application of a 'quasi-empirical' two-country model
 Communicated by Prof.Dr. A.H.J.J. Kolnaar
- 617 Niels G. Noorderhaven
 The argumentational texture of transaction cost economics
 Communicated by Prof.Dr. S.W. Douma
- 618 Dr. M.R. Jaïbi
 Frequent Sampling in Discrete Choice
 Communicated by Dr. M.H. ten Raa
- 619 Dr. M.R. Jaïbi
 A Qualification of the Dependence in the Generalized Extreme Value Choice Model
 Communicated by Dr. M.H. ten Raa
- 620 J.J.A. Moors, V.M.J. Coenen, R.M.J. Heuts
 Limiting distributions of moment- and quantile-based measures for skewness and kurtosis
 Communicated by Prof.Dr. B.B. van der Genugten
- 621 Job de Haan, Jos Benders, David Bennett
 Symbiotic approaches to work and technology
 Communicated by Prof.dr. S.W. Douma
- 622 René Peeters
 Orthogonal representations over finite fields and the chromatic number of graphs
 Communicated by Dr.ir. W.H. Haemers
- 623 W.H. Haemers, E. Spence
 Graphs Cospectral with Distance-Regular Graphs
 Communicated by Prof.dr. M.H.C. Paardekooper

- 624 Bas van Aarle
The target zone model and its applicability to the recent EMS crisis
Communicated by Prof.dr. H. Huizinga
- 625 René Peeters
Strongly regular graphs that are locally a disjoint union of hexagons
Communicated by Dr.ir. W.H. Haemers
- 626 René Peeters
Uniqueness of strongly regular graphs having minimal p -rank
Communicated by Dr.ir. W.H. Haemers
- 627 Freek Aertsen, Jos Benders
Tricks and Trucks: Ten years of organizational renewal at DAF?
Communicated by Prof.dr. S.W. Douma
- 628 Jan de Klein, Jacques Roemen
Optimal Delivery Strategies for Heterogeneous Groups of Porkers
Communicated by Prof.dr. F.A. van der Duyn Schouten
- 629 Imma Curiel, Herbert Hamers, Jos Potters, Stef Tijs
The equal gain splitting rule for sequencing situations and the general nucleolus
Communicated by Dr. P.E.M. Borm
- 630 A.L. Hempenius
Een statische theorie van de keuze van bankrekening
Communicated by Prof.Dr.Ir. A. Kapteyn
- 631 Cok Vrooman, Piet van Wijngaarden, Frans van den Heuvel
Prevention in Social Security: Theory and Policy Consequences
Communicated by Prof.Dr. A. Kolnaar

IN 1994 REEDS VERSCHENEN

- 632 B.B. van der Genugten
Identification, estimating and testing in the restricted linear model
Communicated by Dr. A.H.O. van Soest
- 633 George W.J. Hendrikse
Screening, Competition and (De)Centralization
Communicated by Prof.dr. S.W. Douma
- 634 A.J.T.M. Weeren, J.M. Schumacher, and J.C. Engwerda
Asymptotic Analysis of Nash Equilibria in Nonzero-sum Linear-Quadratic Differential Games. The Two-Player case.
Communicated by Prof.dr. S.H. Tijs
- 635 M.J. Coster
Quadratic forms in Design Theory
Communicated by Dr.ir. W.H. Haemers
- 636 Drs. Erwin van der Krabben, Prof.dr. Jan G. Lambooy
An institutional economic approach to land and property markets - urban dynamics and institutional change
Communicated by Dr. F.W.M. Boekema
- 637 Bas van Aarle
Currency substitution and currency controls: the Polish experience of 1990.
Communicated by Prof.dr. H. Huizinga
- 638 J. Bell
Joint Ventures en Ondernemerschap: Interpreneurship
Communicated by Prof.dr. S.W. Douma

Bibliotheek K. U. Brabant

17 000 01157004 2